## Form 990

#### Return of Organization Exempt From Income Tax

OMB No. 1545-0047

Open to Public Inspection

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Under section 501(c), 527, or 4947(a)(1) of the Internal Revenue Code (except black lung benefit trust or private foundation)

The organization may have to use a copy of this return to satisfy state reporting requirements. A For the 2012 calendar year, or tax year beginning , 2012, and ending , 20 D Employer identification number C Name of organization B Check if applicable: NFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER 62-1570449 Doing Business As Number and street (or P.O. box if mail is not delivered to street address) Room/suite E Telephone number 250 (615) 872-5800 53 CENTURY BOULEVARD City, town or post office, state, and ZIP code Terminated NASHVILLE, TN 37214-3682 G Gross receipts \$ 2,080,692. Application pending F Name and address of principal officer. H(a) Is this a group return for DONALD A DANNER Yes X No 1201 F ST. NW, SUITE 200 WASHINGTON. H(b) Are all affiliates included? X | 501(c)(3) If "No," attach a list. (see instructions) Tax-exempt status: 501(c) ( (insert no.) 4947(a)(1) or 527 Website: ▶ WWW.NFIB.COM/LEGAL H(c) Group exemption number Form of organization: X Corporation L Year of formation: 1994 M State of legal domicile: TN Association Other > Summary Briefly describe the organization's mission or most significant activities: THE NFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER'S MISSION IS TO BE THE VOICE FOR Governance SMALL BUSINESS IN THE NATION'S COURTS AND THE LEGAL RESOURCE FOR SMALL BUSINESS OWNERS NATIONWIDE. Check this box ▶ \_\_\_\_ if the organization discontinued its operations or disposed of more than 25% of its net assets. Number of voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1a) 14. 13. Number of independent voting members of the governing body (Part VI, line 1b) Total number of individuals employed in calendar year 2012 (Part V, line 2a) 5 3. Total number of volunteers (estimate if necessary) 20. 0 7a Total unrelated business revenue from Part VIII, column (C), line 12 b Net unrelated business taxable income from Form 990-T, line 34 . . **Current Year** Contributions and grants (Part VIII, line 1h) 3,108,877. 2,080,333. Program service revenue (Part VIII, line 2g) 0 9 551 359. 10 Investment income (Part VIII, column (A), lines 3, 4, and 7d). 11 Other revenue (Part VIII, column (A), lines 5, 6d, 8c, 9c, 10c, and 11e) 3,109,428. 2,080,692. 12 Total revenue - add lines 8 through 11 (must equal Part VIII, column (A), line 12), . . . . . 13 Grants and similar amounts paid (Part IX, column (A), lines 1-3) 14 Benefits paid to or for members (Part IX, column (A), line 4) Salaries, other compensation, employee benefits (Part IX, column (A), lines 5-10) 541,732 617,955. 16a Professional fundraising fees (Part IX, column (A), line 11e) 10,280 37,249. Total fundraising expenses (Part IX, column (D), line 25) ▶ \_\_\_\_\_252,926. 2,404,307 1,455,103. 17 Other expenses (Part IX, column (A), lines 11a-11d, 11f-24e) 2,956,319. 2,110,307. Total expenses, Add lines 13-17 (must equal Part IX, column (A), line 25) 153,109 -29,615. 19 Revenue less expenses. Subtract line 18 from line 12...... 500 **Beginning of Current Year** End of Year 700,084 394,797. 20 Total assets (Part X, line 16) Total liabilities (Part X, line 26) 100,964. 21 376,636 323,448 293,833 Net assets or fund balances. Subtract line 21 from line 20, , Part II Signature Block Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return, including accompanying schedules and statements, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, it is true, correct, and complete. Declaration of preparer schedules are knowledge. Sign Signature of office Here JEFF SMITH, TREASURER Type or print name and title Print/Type preparers name Preparer's signature Date Paid BAXTER WILDER self-employed P01212441 Preparer Firm's name > KPMG LLP 13-5565207 Firm's EIN **Use Only** Firm's address > 401 COMMERCE STREET, SUITE 1000 NASHVILLE, TN 37219 615-244-1602 May the IRS discuss this return with the preparer shown above? (see instructions) Yes Х No

Page 3

Part	Checklist of Required Schedules			
	г		Yes	No
1	Is the organization described in section 501(c)(3) or 4947(a)(1) (other than a private foundation)? If "Yes,"	- 1		
	complete Schedule A	1	Х	
2	Is the organization required to complete Schedule B, Schedule of Contributors (see instructions)?	2	Х	
3	Did the organization engage in direct or indirect political campaign activities on behalf of or in opposition to	1	ļ	
	candidates for public office? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part I	3		X
4	Section 501(c)(3) organizations. Did the organization engage in lobbying activities, or have a section 501(h)			
	election in effect during the tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule C, Part II	4		X
5	Is the organization a section 501(c)(4), 501(c)(5), or 501(c)(6) organization that receives membership dues,			
	assessments, or similar amounts as defined in Revenue Procedure 98-19? If "Yes," complete Schedule C,			
	Part III	5		X
6	Did the organization maintain any donor advised funds or any similar funds or accounts for which donors	Ī	1	_
	have the right to provide advice on the distribution or investment of amounts in such funds or accounts? If	ļ		
	"Yes," complete Schedule D, Part I	6		<u> </u>
7	Did the organization receive or hold a conservation easement, including easements to preserve open space,	Ī		
	the environment, historic land areas, or historic structures? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part II	7		X
8	Did the organization maintain collections of works of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets? If "Yes,"			
-	complete Schedule D, Part III	8		Х
9	Did the organization report an amount in Part X, line 21, for escrow or custodial account liability; serve as a			
-	custodian for amounts not listed in Part X; or provide credit counseling, debt management, credit repair, or			
	debt negotiation services? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part IV	9		Х
10	Did the organization, directly or through a related organization, hold assets in temporarily restricted			
	endowments, permanent endowments, or quasi-endowments? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part V	10		Х
11	If the organization's answer to any of the following questions is "Yes," then complete Schedule D, Parts VI,	Sales .	長路	
• •	VII, VIII, IX, or X as applicable.			
	Did the organization report an amount for land, buildings, and equipment in Part X, line 10? If "Yes,"		maninistra?	HE1017,85
đ	complete Schedule D, Part VI	11a		x
h	Did the organization report an amount for investments-other securities in Part X, line 12 that is 5% or more	· · · ·		<del>-</del> -
. 0	of its total assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VII	11Ь		х
_		'''		<del>                                     </del>
С	Did the organization report an amount for investments-program related in Part X, line 13 that is 5% or more	11c		x
.•	of its total assets reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part VIII	- 16		<del> </del>
ď	Did the organization report an amount for other assets in Part X, line 15 that is 5% or more of its total assets	111		x
	reported in Part X, line 16? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part IX	11d 11e	Х	_ ^
_	Did the organization report an amount for other liabilities in Part X, line 25? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part X	116	^	-
f		200	v	
	the organization's liability for uncertain tax positions under FIN 48 (ASC 740)? If "Yes," complete Schedule D, Part X	11f	X	
12 a	Did the organization obtain separate, independent audited financial statements for the tax year? If "Yes,"	40	v	
	complete Schedule D, Parts XI and XII	12a	Х	<del> </del>
b	Was the organization included in consolidated, independent audited financial statements for the tax year? If "Yes," and if		.,	1
	the organization answered "No" to line 12a, then completing Schedule D, Parts XI and XII is optional	12b	Х	-
13	Is the organization a school described in section 170(b)(1)(A)(ii)? If "Yes," complete Schedule E	13	-	X
	Did the organization maintain an office, employees, or agents outside of the United States?	14a		X
þ	Did the organization have aggregate revenues or expenses of more than \$10,000 from grantmaking,	1		1
	fundraising, business, investment, and program service activities outside the United States, or aggregate			
	foreign investments valued at \$100,000 or more? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts I and IV	14b	<u> </u>	X
15	Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than \$5,000 of grants or assistance to any			
	organization or entity located outside the United States? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts II and IV	15	1	X
16	Did the organization report on Part IX, column (A), line 3, more than \$5,000 of aggregate grants or assistance			
	to individuals located outside the United States? If "Yes," complete Schedule F, Parts III and IV	16	<u> </u>	X
17	Did the organization report a total of more than \$15,000 of expenses for professional fundraising services			1
	on Part IX, column (A), lines 6 and 11e? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part I (see instructions)	17	X	
18	Did the organization report more than \$15,000 total of fundraising event gross income and contributions on	1		
	Part VIII, lines 1c and 8a? If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part II	18		X
19	Did the organization report more than \$15,000 of gross income from gaming activities on Part VIII, line 9a?		1	
	If "Yes," complete Schedule G, Part III	19		X
20 a	Did the organization operate one or more hospital facilities? If "Yes," complete Schedule H	20a		Х
	If "Yes" to line 20a, did the organization attach a copy of its audited financial statements to this return?	20b		

Page 4

Pari	Checklist of Required Schedules (continued)	1		
	Did the association and the organization of th		Yes	No
21	Did the organization report more than \$5,000 of grants and other assistance to any government or organization		ļ	
20	in the United States on Part IX, column (A), line 1? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and II.	21		<u> </u>
22	Did the organization report more than \$5,000 of grants and other assistance to individuals in the United States			
22	on Part IX, column (A), line 2? If "Yes," complete Schedule I, Parts I and III	22		X
23	Did the organization answer "Yes" to Part VII, Section A, line 3, 4, or 5 about compensation of the			
	organization's current and former officers, directors, trustees, key employees, and highest compensated			
24 a	employees? If "Yes," complete Schedule J	23	Х	
24 a	Did the organization have a tax-exempt bond issue with an outstanding principal amount of more than			
	\$100,000 as of the last day of the year, that was issued after December 31, 2002? If "Yes," answer lines 24b	24-		v
b	through 24d and complete Schedule K. If "No," go to line 25	24a		X
	Did the organization invest any proceeds of tax-exempt bonds beyond a temporary period exception?	24b		
С	Did the organization maintain an escrow account other than a refunding escrow at any time during the year	0.4-		
ď	to defease any tax-exempt bonds?	24c		
		24d		<del></del>
20 a	Section 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations. Did the organization engage in an excess benefit transaction with a disqualified person during the year? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part I	25.		х
b	Is the organization aware that it engaged in an excess benefit transaction with a disqualified person in a prior	25a		
U	year, and that the transaction has not been reported on any of the organization's prior Forms 990 or 990-EZ?			
	If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part I	25b		x
26	Was a loan to or by a current or former officer, director, trustee, key employee, highly compensated employee, or	250		
20	disqualified person outstanding as of the end of the organization's tax year? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part II.	26		x
27	Did the organization provide a grant or other assistance to an officer, director, trustee, key employee,	20		
	substantial contributor or employee thereof, a grant selection committee member, or to a 35% controlled			
	entity or family member of any of these persons? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part III	27		x
28	Was the organization a party to a business transaction with one of the following parties (see Schedule L,	21		-
	Part IV instructions for applicable filing thresholds, conditions, and exceptions):			1
а		28a		x
b	A family member of a current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee? If "Yes," complete			<del></del>
-	Schedule L, Part IV	28b		X
С	An entity of which a current or former officer, director, trustee, or key employee (or a family member thereof)			
	was an officer, director, trustee, or direct or indirect owner? If "Yes," complete Schedule L, Part IV	28c		l x
29	Did the organization receive more than \$25,000 in non-cash contributions? If "Yes," complete Schedule M	29		х
30	Did the organization receive contributions of art, historical treasures, or other similar assets, or qualified			1
	conservation contributions? If "Yes," complete Schedule M	30		X
31	Did the organization liquidate, terminate, or dissolve and cease operations? If "Yes," complete Schedule N,			
	Part I	31	ļ	l x
32	Did the organization sell, exchange, dispose of, or transfer more than 25% of its net assets? If "Yes,"			
	complete Schedule N, Part II	32		x
33	Did the organization own 100% of an entity disregarded as separate from the organization under Regulations			İ .
	sections 301.7701-2 and 301.7701-3? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part I	33	1	x
34	Was the organization related to any tax-exempt or taxable entity? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part II, III,			
	or IV, and Part V, line 1	34	x	
35 a	Did the organization have a controlled entity within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)?	35a	Х	
b	If "Yes" to line 35a, did the organization receive any payment from or engage in any transaction with a			
	controlled entity within the meaning of section 512(b)(13)? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2	35b	x	
36	Section 501(c)(3) organizations. Did the organization make any transfers to an exempt non-charitable			
	related organization? If "Yes," complete Schedule R, Part V, line 2	36	L x	Ŀ
37	Did the organization conduct more than 5% of its activities through an entity that is not a related organization			
	and that is treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes? If "Yes," complete Schedule R,			ĺ
	Part VI	37		Х
38	Did the organization complete Schedule O and provide explanations in Schedule O for Part VI, lines 11b and			
<u> </u>	19? Note. All Form 990 filers are required to complete Schedule O	38	X	<u> </u>
		-	000	

Form 990 (2012)
Part V S Page 5

art	Statements Regarding Other IRS Filings and Tax Compliance Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part V			
	The state of the s	T	Yes	No
1a E	Inter the number reported in Box 3 of Form 1096. Enter -0- if not applicable	1.00	0.4	
	Enter the number of Forms W-2G included in line 1a. Enter -0- if not applicable			
	Did the organization comply with backup withholding rules for reportable payments to vendors and			25,1
Г	eportable gaming (gambling) winnings to prize winners?	1c	Х	
2a E	Enter the number of employees reported on Form W-3, Transmittal of Wage and Tax	見		
	Statements, filed for the calendar year ending with or within the year covered by this return . 2a 3			AL P
ЬI	f at least one is reported on line 2a, did the organization file all required federal employment tax returns?	2b	X	er >
- 1	Note. If the sum of lines 1a and 2a is greater than 250, you may be required to e-file (see instructions)		沙娃	
	Did the organization have unrelated business gross income of \$1,000 or more during the year?	3a		X
	f "Yes," has it filed a Form 990-T for this year? If "No," provide an explanation in Schedule O	3b		
	At any time during the calendar year, did the organization have an interest in, or a signature or other authority			
	over, a financial account in a foreign country (such as a bank account, securities account, or other financial			
	account)?	4a	136 .25	X
	f "Yes," enter the name of the foreign country: ▶	1		
	See instructions for filing requirements for Form TD F 90-22.1, Report of Foreign Bank and Financial Accounts.	1202	HI (C	
	Was the organization a party to a prohibited tax shelter transaction at any time during the tax year?	5a		X
	Did any taxable party notify the organization that it was or is a party to a prohibited tax shelter transaction?	5b		Σ
	f "Yes" to line 5a or 5b, did the organization file Form 8886-T?	5c		H
	Does the organization have annual gross receipts that are normally greater than \$100,000, and did the	.		Ι,
	organization solicit any contributions that were not tax deductible as charitable contributions?	6a		2
	If "Yes," did the organization include with every solicitation an express statement that such contributions or	C.		
	gifts were not tax deductible?	6b	না বে:	- 4
	Organizations that may receive deductible contributions under section 170(c).	3.6		
	Did the organization receive a payment in excess of \$75 made partly as a contribution and partly for goods	7a		2
	and services provided to the payor?	7b		<del>  '</del>
	If "Yes," did the organization notify the donor of the value of the goods or services provided?	7.0		
	Did the organization sell, exchange, or otherwise dispose of tangible personal property for which it was	7c		1
	required to file Form 8282?	<b>MAN</b>	海路	
	If "Yes," indicate the number of Forms 8282 filed during the year	7e	- Participant	2
	Did the organization, during the year, pay premiums, directly or indirectly, on a personal benefit contract?	7f		-
	If the organization received a contribution of qualified intellectual property, did the organization file Form 8899 as required?	7g		$\vdash$
_	If the organization received a contribution of cars, boats, airplanes, or other vehicles, did the organization file a Form 1098-C?	7h		
	Sponsoring organizations maintaining donor advised funds and section 509(a)(3) supporting	25.7	10.00	113
	organizations. Did the supporting organization, or a donor advised fund maintained by a sponsoring			
	organization, have excess business holdings at any time during the year?	8	202246.98	Tanana
	Sponsoring organizations maintaining donor advised funds.	53 (4	UE S	
	Did the organization make any taxable distributions under section 4966?	9a	- PROCESSION OF THE PROPERTY O	- I and the second
	Did the organization make a distribution to a donor, donor advisor, or related person?	9b		$\top$
	Section 501(c)(7) organizations. Enter:		Kill I	
	Initiation fees and capital contributions included on Part VIII, line 12		43 (2) 24 (1)	
	Gross receipts, included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12, for public use of club facilities 10b		10 m	
	Section 501(c)(12) organizations. Enter:			
	Gross income from members or shareholders	5		
b	Gross income from other sources (Do not net amounts due or paid to other sources			
	against amounts due or received from them.)			17
	Section 4947(a)(1) non-exempt charitable trusts. Is the organization filing Form 990 in lieu of Form 1041?	12a		
b	if "Yes," enter the amount of tax-exempt interest received or accrued during the year 12b		RETURNING TO	
3	Section 501(c)(29) qualified nonprofit health insurance issuers.		1.39	
а	Is the organization licensed to issue qualified health plans in more than one state?	13a		
	Note. See the instructions for additional information the organization must report on Schedule O.			
b	Enter the amount of reserves the organization is required to maintain by the states in which			N.
	the organization is licensed to issue qualified health plans	70.11		
c	Enter the amount of reserves on hand			
	Did the organization receive any payments for indoor tanning services during the tax year?	14a		
4a			1	1
	If "Yes," has it filed a Form 720 to report these payments? If "No," provide an explanation in Schedule O	14b		

Part	response to line 8a, 8b, or 10b below, describe the circumstances, processes, or changes in Schedule O. See in:	structi	ons.	"No"
	Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part VI	• • •	•	X
Sect	ion A. Governing Body and Management			
			Yes	No
1a	Enter the number of voting members of the governing body at the end of the tax year	30		
	If there are material differences in voting rights among members of the governing body, or if the governing	• 8	1055	
	body delegated broad authority to an executive committee or similar committee, explain in Schedule O.	- 11		
b	Enter the number of voting members included in line 1a, above, who are independent		14	
2	Did any officer, director, trustee, or key employee have a family relationship or a business relationship with			
	any other officer, director, trustee, or key employee?	2		X
3	Did the organization delegate control over management duties customarily performed by or under the direct			
	supervision of officers, directors, or trustees, or key employees to a management company or other person?	3		X
4	Did the organization make any significant changes to its governing documents since the prior Form 990 was filed?	4		X
5	Did the organization become aware during the year of a significant diversion of the organization's assets?	5		X
6	Did the organization have members or stockholders?	6		X
7a	Did the organization have members, stockholders, or other persons who had the power to elect or appoint			
	one or more members of the governing body?	7a		X
þ	Are any governance decisions of the organization reserved to (or subject to approval by) members,			
	stockholders, or persons other than the governing body?	7b		х
8	Did the organization contemporaneously document the meetings held or written actions undertaken during	1	0404	1
	the year by the following:			
а	The governing body?	8a	X	
b	Each committee with authority to act on behalf of the governing body?	8b	Х	
9	Is there any officer, director, trustee, or key employee listed in Part VII, Section A, who cannot be reached at			
	the organization's mailing address? If "Yes," provide the names and addresses in Schedule O	9		x
Secti	on B. Policies (This Section B requests information about policies not required by the Internal Revenue	Code	.)	
			Yes	No
10a	Did the organization have local chapters, branches, or affiliates?	10a		Х
b	If "Yes," did the organization have written policies and procedures governing the activities of such chapters,			ŀ
	affiliates, and branches to ensure their operations are consistent with the organization's exempt purposes?	10b		
11a	Has the organization provided a complete copy of this Form 990 to all members of its governing body before filling the form?	11a		Х
b	Describe in Schedule O the process, if any, used by the organization to review this Form 990.		13	
12a	Did the organization have a written conflict of interest policy? If "No," go to line 13	12a	Х	<u></u>
b	Were officers, directors, or trustees, and key employees required to disclose annually interests that could give			
	rise to conflicts?	12b	Х	
C	Did the organization regularly and consistently monitor and enforce compliance with the policy? If "Yes,"			1
	describe in Schedule O how this was done	12c	Х	L
13	Did the organization have a written whistleblower policy?	13	Х	
14	Did the organization have a written document retention and destruction policy?	14	Х	
15	Did the process for determining compensation of the following persons include a review and approval by			Γ
	independent persons, comparability data, and contemporaneous substantiation of the deliberation and decision?	;	5	
а	The organization's CEO, Executive Director, or top management official	15a	х	
b	Other officers or key employees of the organization	15b		х
	If "Yes" to line 15a or 15b, describe the process in Schedule O (see instructions).	= , -		2015
16a	Did the organization invest in, contribute assets to, or participate in a joint venture or similar arrangement	-		
	with a taxable entity during the year?	16a		x
b	If "Yes," did the organization follow a written policy or procedure requiring the organization to evaluate its	35	zΑ	HIV 3
	participation in joint venture arrangements under applicable federal tax law, and take steps to safeguard the		- 12	25
	organization's exempt status with respect to such arrangements?	16b		
Sect	ion C. Disclosure			
17	List the states with which a copy of this Form 990 is required to be filed ▶ ATTACHMENT 2			
18	Section 6104 requires an organization to make its Forms 1023 (or 1024 if applicable), 990, and 990-T (Section 5	01(c)	(3)s c	only)
	available for public inspection. Indicate how you made these available. Check all that apply.	• •	•	••
	Own website Another's website X Upon request Other (explain in Schedule O)			
19	Describe in Schedule O whether (and if so, how), the organization made its governing documents, conflict of	f inte	rest	policy,
	and financial statements available to the public during the tax year.			
20	State the name, physical address, and telephone number of the person who possesses the books and records of t	he		
	Organization: ▶JEFF SMITH 53 CENTURY BLVD. SUITE 250 NASHVILLE, TN 37214-3682 615-872-5800			

2E1042 1 000

# Part VII Compensation of Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, Highest Compensated Employees, and Independent Contractors

Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part VII ............ x

Section A. Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees

- 1a Complete this table for all persons required to be listed. Report compensation for the calendar year ending with or within the organization's tax year.
- List all of the organization's current officers, directors, trustees (whether individuals or organizations), regardless of amount of compensation. Enter -0- in columns (D), (E), and (F) if no compensation was paid.
  - List all of the organization's current key employees, if any. See instructions for definition of "key employee."
- List the organization's five current highest compensated employees (other than an officer, director, trustee, or key employee) who received reportable compensation (Box 5 of Form W-2 and/or Box 7 of Form 1099-MISC) of more than \$100,000 from the organization and any related organizations.
- List all of the organization's former officers, key employees, and highest compensated employees who received more than \$100,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations.
- List all of the organization's former directors or trustees that received, in the capacity as a former director or trustee of the organization, more than \$10,000 of reportable compensation from the organization and any related organizations.

List persons in the following order: individual trustees or directors; institutional trustees; officers; key employees; highest compensated employees; and former such persons.

Check this box if neither the organization	nor any related	orga	niza			npen	sate	d any current offic	er, director, or trus	tee.
(A) Name and Title	(B) Average hours per week (list any	box	unles	ss pe	ition more rson	than o	an	(D) Reportable compensation from the	(E) Reportable compensation from related organizations	(F) Estimated amount of other compensation
	related organizations below dotted line)	Individual trustee or director	institutional trustee	Officer	Key employee	Highest compensated employee	Former	organization (W-2/1099-MISC)	(W-2/1099-MISC)	from the organization and related organizations
(1) DAVID M. GUERNSEY	1.00									
CHAIRMAN	1.00	x						C	34,000.	203.
(2) TIM CLAYTON	1.00									
DIRECTOR	1.00	х	1	1					17,200.	203.
(3) RUTH LOPEZ NOVODOR	1.00									
DIRECTOR	1.00	Х	l					l c	16,200.	203.
(4) A. JUNE LENNON	1.00					111				
DIRECTOR	1.00	х						ĺc	22,000.	135.
(5) THOMAS MICHAEL NOBIS	1.00					<u> </u>				
DIRECTOR	1.00	Х					İ	0	22,000.	203.
(6) MARIA COAKLEY DAVID	1.00						Г		72.	
DIRECTOR	1.00	х						0	22,000.	203.
(7) NEVIN GROCE	1.00		<b> </b>	-						-
DIRECTOR	1.00	х		l				(	22,000.	203.
(8) BETTY NEIGHBORS	1.00									
DIRECTOR	1.00	Х					1	) (	17,751.	203.
(9) KURT SUMMERS	1.00		Ī							
DIRECTOR	1.00	х						(	22,297.	203.
(10) JAMES HERR	1.00		Г							
DIRECTOR	1.00	x						(	17,200.	203.
(11)BRUCE O'DONOGHUE	1.00									
DIRECTOR	1.00	х							17,200.	203.
(12) STEVE SCHRAMM	1.00	T	Γ	1						
DIRECTOR	1.00	x							17,200.	203
(13)JEFF READY	1.00									
DIRECTOR	1.00	x							17,200.	203
(14) DONALD A. DANNER	1.00			Π						
PRESIDENT/CEO	39.00	Х	1	X		**		1	750,626.	29,789.

Page 8

(A)	(B)			(C	;)			(D)	(E)		(F)
Name and title	Average			Posi	ition			Reportable	Reportab	le	Estimated
	hours per					than o		compensation	compensation		amount of
	week (list any hours for					is both : or/truste		from	related		other compensation
	related							the organization	organizatio (W-2/1099-N		from the
	organizations	dire	stitu	Officer	y er	phe	Forme	(W-2/1099-MISC)	(** 271000 !!	,,,,,,	organization
	below dotted line)	ual	ton.	`	Key employee	6 g		,		1	and related organizations
	111101	Individual trustee or director	5		yee	age 1					
		6	Institutional trustee	977		Highest compensated employee					
	1					<u>e</u>	!				
L5) MARY BLASINSKY	1.00					!			200	a.,	22 767
SVP/SECRETARY	39.00		<u> </u>	Х				0	308,	/84.	33,767.
16) TAMMY S. BOEHMS	1.00			,,					202		22 420
SVP/CFO	39.00		-	Х			_	0	393,	353.	23,429.
17) JEFF SMITH	1.00										3.7.03.6
TREASURER	39.00		<u> </u>	Х				0	184,	138.	17,936.
18) SUSAN M ECKERLY	1.00						ĺ				
SVP	39.00	1		Х			ļ	0	326,	872.	30,024
19) KAREN R HARNED	40.00										
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR	0	-	_	X			_	220,587.			16,815
20) BETH MILITO	40.00	-		İ		i				_]	
SENIOR EXECUTIVE COUNSEL	0		<u> </u>		_	X	ļ	177,275.		0	7,103
		-						-		ļ	
	<del> </del>		$\vdash$		-		-				
								50			
		-									
	<del> </del>		╁	-			$\vdash$				
		-		=							
1b Sub-total							<u> </u>		1,014,	874.	32,360
c Total from continuation sheets to Part VII,	Section A .							397,862.	1,213,	147.	129,074
d Total (add lines 1b and 1c)											161,434
2 Total number of individuals (including but not reportable compensation from the organization				ed a	bov	e) wh	o re	eceived more than	\$100,000	of	
reportable compensation from the organization	Dit		2								Yes No
3 Did the organization list any former offi											3-10 113 113
employee on line 1a? If "Yes," complete Schee	dule J for su	ich ind	divid	lual							3 X
4 For any individual listed on line 1a, is the	sum of re	porta	ble	соп	npei	nsatio	n a	ind other comper	sation from	the	
organization and related organizations g	reater thai	n \$1	50,0	000	? 1	f "Ye	s,"	complete Schedu	ule J for s	such	
individual											4 X
5 Did any person listed on line 1a receive o	г ассгие со	mpei	nsat	tion	fror	n any	ur ur	related organizat	ion or indivi	dual	£ 2 2 4 2 5
for services rendered to the organization? If "	Yes," comple	te Sc	hed	ule .	J foi	r such	pe	rson			_ 5 X
Section B. Independent Contractors											
1 Complete this table for your five highest cor	mpensated	indep	end	lent	cor	itracto	ors	that received mor	e than \$100	0,000 0	f
compensation from the organization. Report year.	compensat	ion fo	r th	e ca	alen	dar ye	ear	ending with or wit	thin the orga	anizatio	n's tax
								(B) Description of s	entices		(C) compensation
(A)	ddroee						+	Description of 8	11063		- Inputioni
(A) Name and business a	ddress	_					- 1				
(A)	ddress						+				
(A) Name and business a	ddress										
(A) Name and business a	ddress										

Pari	Statement of Revenue Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part VIII									
Fair rive write.	the Line was a	Check if Schedule O contains a respor	nse to any questi	ion in this Part VIII		<u> </u>				
	ľ			(A) Total revenue	(B) Related or exempt function revenue	revenue	(D) Revenue excluded from tax under sections 512, 513, or 514			
fts, Grants	1a b c	Federated campaigns 1a  Membership dues 1b  Fundraising events								
Contributions, Gifts, and Other Similar A	d e f	Related organizations 1d  Government grants (contributions)	388,440. 1,691,893.							
	g h	Noncash contributions included in lines 1a-1f: \$ Total. Add lines 1a-1f		2,080,333.						
Program Service Revenue	2a b c									
Program	e f g	All other program service revenue	▶	0	Constitution of the second second second second second second second second second second second second second		no side so wil			
	3 4 5	Investment income (including dividends, inter other similar amounts)	▶	359.			359.			
	6a b c	Gross rents								
	7a	Gross amount from sales of assets other than inventory	(ii) Other							
	c d	Less: cost or other basis and sales expenses	·							
Other Revenue	8a	Gross income from fundraising events (not including \$ of contributions reported on line 1c).  See Part IV, line 18	1							
the	b	Less: direct expenses				E. 7.6.				
0	9a	Gross income from gaming activities.  See Part IV, line 19		111	en di en di en di en di en di en di en di en di en di en di en di en di en di en di en di en di en di en di en					
	b	Less: direct expenses			0		POPER AND			
	10a	Gross sales of inventory, less returns and allowances	,							
	b c	Less: cost of goods sold			0	and the second				
	11a	Windows in Control			210430466664430415320					
	d e	All other revenue			0					

62-1570449

Part IX Statement of Functional Expenses

Section 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations must complete all columns. All other organizations must complete column (A). Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part IX. Х (C) Management and Do not include amounts reported on lines 6b, 7b, (A) Total expenses (D) Fundraising Program service expenses 8b, 9b, and 10b of Part VIII. expenses general expenses Grants and other assistance to governments and organizations in the United States, See Part IV, line 21. Grants and other assistance to individuals in the United States. See Part IV, line 22 . . . . . . Grants and other assistance to governments. organizations, and individuals outside the United States. See Part IV, lines 15 and 16. . . . Benefits paid to or for members . . . . . . . . . Compensation of current officers, directors, trustees, and key employees . . . . . . . . . . 237,402. 237,402 Compensation not included above, to disqualified persons (as defined under section 4958(f)(1)) and persons described in section 4958(c)(3)(B) 277,916. 277,916. Pension plan accruals and contributions (include section 401(k) and 403(b) employer contributions) . . . . . 75,640 75,640. 26,997. 26,997. Fees for services (non-employees): a Management ......... 846,319. 839,318 7,001. 11,850. 11,850 37,249. 37,249. e Professional fundraising services. See Part IV, line 17 f Investment management fees ....... g Other. (If line 11g amount exceeds 10% of line 25, column 238,600. 88,550. 328,382. 1,232. (A) amount, list line 11g expenses on Schedule O.) ATCH 4 2,500. 56,916 59,416. 12 178,834. 43,113. 18,095 117,626. 13 Office expenses . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 14 15 Royalties 16 26,498 19,325 7,173 17 Payments of travel or entertainment expenses for any federal, state, or local public officials Conferences, conventions, and meetings . . . . 3,804. 2,210. 1,594 19 20 Payments to affiliates........ 21 22 Depreciation, depletion, and amortization 23 Other expenses. Itemize expenses not covered above (List miscellaneous expenses in line 24e. If line 24e amount exceeds 10% of line 25, column (A) amount, list line 24e expenses on Schedule O.) e All other expenses \_\_\_\_\_ 2,110,307. 1,817,437. 39,944. 252,926. Total functional expenses. Add lines 1 through 24e 25 Joint costs. Complete this line only if the organization reported in column (B) joint costs from a combined educational campaign and fundraising solicitation. Check here ▶ if following SOP 98-2 (ASC 958-720) . . . . . .

	990 (2 t X	Balance Sheet		-	Page 11
લા	·	Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Par	+ X		T
		Check is Corrected Cockhains a response to any question in this Fai	(A) Beginning of year	•	(B) End of year
Т	1	Cash - non-interest-bearing	O	1	0
-	2	Savings and temporary cash investments	572,932.	2	375,664.
-	3	Pledges and grants receivable, net	8,798.	3	15,030.
	4	Accounts receivable, net	541.	4	0
	5	Loans and other receivables from current and former officers, directors,		1100	이름을 제미됐
		trustees, key employees, and highest compensated employees.		Date	7-1
İ			o	5	0
	6	Complete Part II of Schedule L  Loans and other receivables from other disqualified persons (as defined under section 4958(f)(1)), persons described in section 4958(c)(3)(B), and contributing employers and sponsoring organizations of section 501(c)(9) voluntary employees' beneficiary organizations (see instructions). Complete Part II of Schedule L	11 6	6	0
2	7	Notes and loans receivable, net	0	7	0
Assets	8	Inventories for sale or use	I	8	0
۱.	9	Prepaid expenses and deferred charges	0	9	
,		Land, buildings, and equipment: cost or	-0 IT (59)	Ť	
-		other basis. Complete Part VI of Schedule D 10a	5 7 7		D 700 E
	b	Less: accumulated depreciation	d	10c	l o
.	11	Investments - publicly traded securities		11	
	12	Investments - other securities. See Part IV, line 11	0	12	Ö
- 1	13	Investments - program-related. See Part IV, line 11	9	13	
	14	Intangible assets		14	
-1	15	Other assets. See Part IV, line 11			4,103.
	16	Total assets. Add lines 1 through 15 (must equal line 34)			394,797.
_	17	Accounts payable and accrued expenses			70,211.
- 1	18	Grants payable	341,117.	18	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
	19	Deferred revenue		19	
	20	Tay exempt hand liabilities			
- 1	21	Tax-exempt bond liabilities  Escrow or custodial account liability. Complete Part IV of Schedule D		21	
3	22	Loans and other payables to current and former officers, directors,		4 1	,
5	22	trustees, key employees, highest compensated employees, and			12
Liamines		disqualified persons. Complete Part II of Schedule L		22	
	23	Secured mortgages and notes payable to unrelated third parties		23	
ŀ	24	Unsecured notes and loans payable to unrelated third parties			
ı	25	Other liabilities (including federal income tax, payables to related third		24	
ľ	25	parties, and other liabilities not included on lines 17-24). Complete Part X			
-			25 517	25	20.752
-	26	of Schedule D	35,517. 376,636.	_	30,753.
1	20	Organizations that follow SFAS 117 (ASC 958), check here X and complete lines 27 through 29, and lines 33 and 34.	370,036.	26	100,964.
2	27	at the second of	222 440	27	202 022
<u> </u>	28	Temporarily restricted net assets	323,448.	27	293,833.
0	29	Permanently restricted net assets		28	
Net Assets of Fund Balances	23	Organizations that do not follow SFAS 117 (ASC 958), check here and complete lines 30 through 34.	2 11	29	= 1 2 5 20
S.	30	Capital stock or trust principal, or current funds		20	
Set	31	Paid-in or capital surplus, or land, building, or equipment fund		30	
AS	32	Retained earnings, endowment, accumulated income, or other funds		31	
et	33	Total net assets or fund halances	323,448.	33	202 022
	34	Total net assets or fund balances  Total liabilities and net assets/fund balances	700 004	<del>                                     </del>	293,833.
_	J4	Total naphines and het assets/fully baldines ,	700,084.	34	394,797.

Form 990 (2012) Page 12 Reconciliation of Net Assets Part XI Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part XI . . . . . . . 2,080,692. 1 2,110,307. 2 2 -29,615. 3 3 4 323,448. 4 Net assets or fund balances at beginning of year (must equal Part X, line 33, column (A)) . . . . 0 5 5 0 6 6 0 7 7 0 8 8 0 Other changes in net assets or fund balances (explain in Schedule O) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 9 Net assets or fund balances at end of year. Combine lines 3 through 9 (must equal Part X, line 293,833. Part XII Financial Statements and Reporting Check if Schedule O contains a response to any question in this Part XII .............. Yes No Accounting method used to prepare the Form 990: Cash X Accrual Other If the organization changed its method of accounting from a prior year or checked "Other," explain in Schedule O. 2a Were the organization's financial statements compiled or reviewed by an independent accountant? Х 2a If "Yes," check a box below to indicate whether the financial statements for the year were compiled or reviewed on a separate basis, consolidated basis, or both: Separate basis Consolidated basis \_\_\_ Both consolidated and separate basis X 2b If "Yes," check a box below to indicate whether the financial statements for the year were audited on a separate basis, consolidated basis, or both: X Both consolidated and separate basis Separate basis \_\_\_ Consolidated basis c If "Yes" to line 2a or 2b, does the organization have a committee that assumes responsibility for oversight X 2c of the audit, review, or compilation of its financial statements and selection of an independent accountant? If the organization changed either its oversight process or selection process during the tax year, explain in Schedule O. 3a As a result of a federal award, was the organization required to undergo an audit or audits as set forth in 3a Х 

b If "Yes," did the organization undergo the required audit or audits? If the organization did not undergo the required audit or audits, explain why in Schedule O and describe any steps taken to undergo such audits

Form 990 (2012)

3b

#### **SCHEDULE A** (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

# **Public Charity Status and Public Support**

OMB No. 1545-0047

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Complete if the organization is a section 501(c)(3) organization or a section 4947(a)(1) nonexempt charitable trust.

➤ Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ. ➤ See separate instructions.

Open to Public Inspection

Name of t	he organization							Employ	er identi	fication number
NFIB S	MALL BUSINESS	LEGAL CENTER					- 1		62-	1570449
Part I	Reason for Publ	ic Charity Status	(All organizations mus	st com	plete	this pa	rt.) See	e instru	ctions.	
The orga			ause it is: (For lines 1 thr			<del></del>				
1	A church, convention	on of churches, or a	association of churches d	lescribe	ed in s	ection 1	70(b)(1	)(A)(i).		
2			1)(A)(ii). (Attach Schedule							
3			ervice organization descrit		section	n 170(b)	(1)(A)(	iii).		
4			rated in conjunction wit					•	170(b	(1)(A)(iii). Enter the
	hospital's name, city		•		•				•	,,,,,,,
5			efit of a college or unive	ersity o	wned	or ope	rated b	y a gov	/ernmei	ntal unit described in
	section 170(b)(1)(A	(iv). (Complete Pa	art II.)				.50			
6	A federal, state, or	local government of	or governmental unit desc	cribed i	n secti	ion 170(	(b)(1)(A	ι)(ν).		<u> </u>
7	-		s a substantial part of its	s supp	ort fro	m a gov	/ernme	ntal uni	it or fro	m the general public
. 🗀	described in sectio		· ·							
8	•		on 170(b)(1)(A)(vi). (Com	•	•		4			
9	-	•	s: (1) more than 331/3%							
	•		exempt functions - subj							
	· · ·		me and unrelated busin				-		1 511	tax) from businesses
			e 30, 1975. See section	٠, ,		•		-		
10		-	ed exclusively to test for	•	-					
11 X	_	-	ated exclusively for the			-				
			pported organizations de					-		
			es the type of supporting	_						=
	a X Type I	b Type II	c Type III-Function	-	-			• •		inctionally integrated
e X		-	the organization is not			-			-	
			gers and other than one	or mo	re pub	licly su	pported	lorgani	izations	described in section
_	509(a)(1) or section								_	
f	<del>=</del>		n determination from the	e IRS	that it	is a Ty	/pe 1, T	ype II.	or Type	e III supporting
	organization, check									
g	· · · ·		nization accepted any gift	t or co	ntributi	on from	any of	the		
	following persons?									· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		•	ctly controls, either alor		-	er with	person	s desci	ribed in	
			ly of the supported organ	uzation	γ					11g(i)   X
	(ii) A family meml	•	* * * *							11g(ii) X
	, ,	• •	on described in (i) or (ii) a							11g(iii) X
<u>h</u>			ut the supported organization			T				
(i) N	lame of supported organization	(ii) EIN	(iii) Type of organization (described on lines 1-9	огдалі	Is the zation in		ou notify		s the zation in	(vii) Amount of monetary support
	0.30	ļ	above or IRC section	col. (i)	listed in overning	in col	. (i) of	col. (i) o	rganized	1
		İ	(see instructions))	docu	ment?	yoursu	<del></del>		U.S.?	
				Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes	No	
(A)				1						_
NF	IB, INC.	94-0707299	501(C)(6)	X	ļ					0
(B)										
<u></u>				-		ļ	<u> </u>		-	
(C)		]						}		
(D)						<u> </u>				
(E)										
				a* ,		1		100		
					1					
Total		19		1		1.04	16 10 1	100000	Burns of 12	

Page 2

Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2012

Part II

Support Schedule for Organizations Described in Sections 170(b)(1)(A)(iv) and 170(b)(1)(A)(vi) (Complete only if you checked the box on line 5, 7, or 8 of Part I or if the organization failed to qualify under Part III. If the organization fails to qualify under the tests listed below, please complete Part III.)

Sect	ion A. Public Support	a -6000*				8	
Calen	dar year (or fiscal year beginning in)	(a) 2008	(b) 2009	(c) 2010	(d) 2011	(e) 2012	(f) Total
	Gifts, grants, contributions, and membership fees received. (Do not include any "unusual grants.")						-
	Tax revenues levied for the organization's benefit and either paid to or expended on its behalf						
3	The value of services or facilities furnished by a governmental unit to the organization without charge						-
4	Total. Add lines 1 through 3	CONTRACTOR AND A	COLORDO VIOLENTA	MARINE MARKET AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND	OF THE RESIDENCE OF		
5	The portion of total contributions by each person (other than a governmental unit or publicly supported organization) included on line 1 that exceeds 2% of the amount shown on line 11, column (f)						
6	Public support. Subtract line 5 from line 4.	COLUMN TO SERVICE STATE OF THE		在70万万元的	The second of the second		
	tion B. Total Support	4 1 0000	#1,000	( ) 5040	(-0.0044	(-) 2042	(D Total
Caler	ndar year (or fiscal year beginning in)	(a) 2008	(b) 2009	(c) 2010	(d) 2011	(e) 2012	(f) Total
7 8	Amounts from line 4						24
	sources			ļ		<del> </del>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
9	Net income from unrelated business activities, whether or not the business is regularly carried on						
10	Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part IV.)		Jana Pana Later De Miller	**************************************			
11	Total support. Add lines 7 through 10	位。68年10月	4 被推集等				
12	Gross receipts from related activities, etc. (					12	
13	First five years. If the Form 990 is organization, check this box and stop here						
Sec	tion C. Computation of Public Sup			11		<del></del>	
14	Public support percentage for 2012 (				•	1 1	<u>%</u>
15	Public support percentage from 2011	•				15	<u>%</u>
16a	331/3% support test - 2012. If the	-					
_	this box and stop here. The organizat						
b	331/3% support test - 2011. If the						
47-	check this box and stop here. The org 10%-facts-and-circumstances test -						
1/a	10% or more, and if the organizatio	n meets the "fa	cts-and-circum	stances" test, c	heck this box a	and stop here.	Explain in
	Part IV how the organization meets			•	•	-	supported
	organization						
b	10%-facts-and-circumstances test -						
	15 is 10% or more, and if the org Explain in Part IV how the organiza	tion meets the	"facts-and-circu	ımstances" test	. The organizati	ion qualifies as	a publicly
18	supported organization Private foundation. If the organization	n did not check	a box on line 1	3, 16a, 16b, 17	a, or 17b, chec	k this box and se	е
	instructions						990 or 990-EZ) 2012

Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2012 Page 3

#### Part III Support Schedule for Organizations Described in Section 509(a)(2)

(Complete only if you checked the box on line 9 of Part I or if the organization failed to qualify under Part II. If the organization fails to qualify under the tests listed below, please complete Part II.)

Sect	tion A. Public Support						
Calen	ndar year (or fiscal year beginning in) 🕨	(a) 2008	(b) 2009	(c) 2010	(d) 2011	(e) 2012	(f) Total
1	Gifts, grants, contributions, and membership fees					ĺ	
	received. (Do not include any "unusual grants.")						
2	Gross receipts from admissions, merchandise			700			
	sold or services performed, or facilities		5	]			
	furnished in any activity that is related to the						
	organization's tax-exempt purpose						
3	Gross receipts from activities that are not an						
	unrelated trade or business under section 513						
4	Tax revenues levied for the						-
	organization's benefit and either paid	ı		[			
	to or expended on its behalf	ı				ŀ	
5	The value of services or facilities						
	furnished by a governmental unit to the					}	
	organization without charge					1	
6	Total. Add lines 1 through 5						
-	Amounts included on lines 1, 2, and 3						
	received from disqualified persons			ļ			
b	Amounts included on lines 2 and 3			1			
	received from other than disqualified						
	persons that exceed the greater of \$5,000						
_	or 1% of the amount on line 13 for the year	<u> </u>		<del> </del>			
8 8	Add lines 7a and 7b Public support (Subtract line 7c from		-	-, 0			
0	., ,			1 D. W. W. S.		- 3" NIT" 'VI	
500	tion B. Total Support	<u> </u>		247			
	ndar year (or fiscal year beginning in)	(a) 2008	(b) 2009	(c) 2010	(d) 2011	(e) 2012	(f) Total
_		(4) 2000	(5) 2000	(0) 20 10	(0,2011	(0,2012	(1) 1014
9 10 a	Amounts from line 6,					E	
ь	Unrelated business taxable income (less		*1				
	section 511 taxes) from businesses						
	acquired after June 30, 1975					!	
C	Add lines 10a and 10b						
11	Net income from unrelated business activities not included in line 10b, whether or not the business is regularly carried on						
12	Other income. Do not include gain or loss from the sale of capital assets (Explain in Part IV.)			n 8			
13	Total support. (Add lines 9, 10c, 11, and 12.)						
14	First five years. If the Form 990 is for		on's first, second	, third, fourth or	r fifth tax vear	as a section 501	(c)(3)
• •	organization, check this box and stop here	-	•		•		
Sec	tion C. Computation of Public Su						
15	Public support percentage for 2012 (line 8			umn (fl)		15	%
16	Public support percentage from 2011 Sch						
	tion D. Computation of Investme				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	, [ 14 ]	/0
				12 column (6)	<del></del>	17	. %
17	investment income percentage for 2012 (I			•		' <del>                                    </del>	%
18	Investment income percentage from 2011						
19 a	331/3% support tests - 2012. If the or						
	17 is not more than 331/3%, check the						
b	331/3% support tests - 2011. If the org						
	line 18 is not more than 331/3 %, check		-	*			
20	Private foundation. If the organization	did not check	a box on line	14, 19a, or 19	b, check this t	oox and see inst	ructions

JSA 2E1221 1.000 Schedule A (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2012

Page 4

**Supplemental Information.** Complete this part to provide the explanations required by Part II, line 10; Part II, line 17a or 17b; and Part III, line 12. Also complete this part for any additional information. (See instructions).

#### Schedule B

(Form 990, 990-EZ, or 990-PF) Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

#### **Schedule of Contributors**

► Attach to Form 990, Form 990-EZ, or Form 990-PF.

OMB No. 1545-0047

2012

Name of the organization		Employer identification number
NFIB SMALL BUSINESS L	EGAL CENTER	
Organization tune (about ana):		62-1570449
Organization type (check one):		
Filers of:	Section:	
Form 990 or 990-EZ	X 501(c)(3 ) (enter number) organization	
	4947(a)(1) nonexempt charitable trust not treated as a private for	oundation
	527 political organization	
Form 990-PF	501(c)(3) exempt private foundation	
	4947(a)(1) nonexempt charitable trust treated as a private found	ation
	501(c)(3) taxable private foundation	
instructions. General Rule		
	iling Form 990, 990-EZ, or 990-PF that received, during the year, \$5,000	or more (in money or
	ne contributor. Complete Parts I and II.	, , , ,
Special Rules		
under sections 509(a	(3) organization filing Form 990 or 990-EZ that met the 33 1/3 % supportance (1) and 170(b)(1)(A)(vi) and received from any one contributor, during 0,000 or (2) 2% of the amount on (i) Form 990, Part VIII, line 1h, or (ii) Form III.	the year, a contribution of
during the year, total	(7), (8), or (10) organization filing Form 990 or 990-EZ that received from contributions of more than \$1,000 for use exclusively for religious, charings, or the prevention of cruelty to children or animals. Complete Parts I,	table, scientific, literary,
during the year, cont not total to more tha year for an <i>exclusivel</i>	(7), (8), or (10) organization filing Form 990 or 990-EZ that received from tributions for use exclusively for religious, charitable, etc., purposes, but to \$1,000. If this box is checked, enter here the total contributions that we religious, charitable, etc., purpose. Do not complete any of the parts un ization because it received nonexclusively religious, charitable, etc., contributions.	these contributions did ere received during the less the General Rule tributions of \$5,000 or
990-EZ, or 990-PF), but it mus	s not covered by the General Rule and/or the Special Rules does not file t answer "No" on Part IV, line 2 of its Form 990; or check the box on line F, to certify that it does not meet the filing requirements of Schedule B (F	Schedule B (Form 990, H of its Form 990-EZ or on

Schedule B (Form 990, 990-EZ, or 990-PF) (2012)

For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990, 990-EZ, or 990-PF.

Name of organization NFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER

Employer identification number 62-1570449

Part I	Contributors (see instructions). Use duplicate copies of Part	if additional space is need	ed.
(a) No.	(b) Name, address, and ZIP + 4	(c) Total contributions	(d) Type of contribution
1_		\$388,440.	Person Payroll Noncash  (Complete Part II if there is a noncash contribution.)
(a) No.	(b) Name, address, and ZIP + 4	(c) Total contributions	(d) Type of contribution
2_		\$5,000.	Person X Payroll Noncash  (Complete Part II if there is a noncash contribution.)
(a) No.	(b) Name, address, and ZIP + 4	(c) Total contributions	(d) Type of contribution
3_		\$6,000.	Person X Payroll Noncash  (Complete Part II if there is a noncash contribution.)
(a) No.	(b) Name, address, and ZIP + 4	(c) Total contributions	(d) Type of contribution
4_		\$915,000.	Person X Payroll Noncash (Complete Part II if there is a noncash contribution.)
(a) No.	(b) Name, address, and ZIP + 4	(c) Total contributions	(d) Type of contribution
5 _		\$100,000.	Person X Payroll Noncash (Complete Part II if there is a noncash contribution.)
(a) No.	(b) Name, address, and ZIP + 4	(c) Total contributions	(d) Type of contribution
6_		\$125,000.	Person X Payroll Noncash  (Complete Part II if there is a noncash contribution.)

Name of organization NFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER

Employer identification number

62-1570449

Part II	Noncash Property (see instructions). Use duplicate copies of Par	rt II if additional space is need	ed.
(a) No. from Part I	(b)  Description of noncash property given	(c) FMV (or estimate) (see instructions)	(d) Date received
		\$	
(a) No. from Part I	(b) Description of noncash property given	(c) FMV (or estimate) (see instructions)	(d) Date received
		\$	
(a) No. from Part I	. (b)  Description of noncash property given	(c) FMV (or estimate) (see instructions)	(d) Date received
		\$	
(a) No. from Part I	(b)  Description of noncash property given	(c) FMV (or estimate) (see instructions)	(d) Date received
		\$	
(a) No. from Part I	(b)  Description of noncash property given	(c) FMV (or estimate) (see instructions)	(d) Date received
		\$	
(a) No. from Part I	(b)  Description of noncash property given	(c) FMV (or estimate) (see instructions)	(d) Date received
		\$	

Part I	(b) Fairpose of gift	(b) t alpose of gift (5) 555 5. gift						
j		(e) Transfer of gift						
			Bullett and the of the service of th					
	Transferee's name, address, at	nd ZIP + 4	Relationship of transferor to transferee					
(a) No								
(a) No. from Part l	(b) Purpose of gift	(c) Use of gift	(d) Description of how gift is held					
raiti								
		(e) Transfer of gift						
	Transferee's name, address, a	nd ZIP + 4	Relationship of transferor to transferee					
(a) No. from Part I	(b) Purpose of gift	(c) Use of gift	(d) Description of how gift is held					
	(e) Transfer of gift							
	Turneture la rema eddese e		Relationship of transferor to transferee					
	Transferee's name, address, a	ang ZIP + 4	Relationship of transferor to danseree					
(a) No.								
from Part I	(b) Purpose of gift	(c) Use of gift	(d) Description of how gift is held					
		(e) Transfer of gift						
		, ,						
	Transferee's name, address,	and ZIP + 4	Relationship of transferor to transferee					
		1						

5300HP 1841

#### **SCHEDULE D** (Form 990)

# **Supplemental Financial Statements**

▶ Complete if the organization answered "Yes," to Form 990, Part IV, line 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11a, 11b, 11c, 11d, 11e, 11f, 12a, or 12b.

OMB No. 1545-0047

Open to Public Inspection

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

► Attach to Form 990. ► See separate instructions. Name of the organization

Employer identification number 62-1570449 NFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER

Pari	Organizations Maintaining Donor Advis organization answered "Yes" to Form 99	sed Funds or Other Similar Funds : 30. Part IV. line 6.	or Accounts. Complete if the
	J. Jan. D. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J. J.	(a) Donor advised funds	(b) Funds and other accounts
1	Total number at end of year		
	Aggregate contributions to (during year)		
	Aggregate grants from (during year)		
	Aggregate value at end of year		
5	Did the organization inform all donors and donor a	dvisors in writing that the assets held	in donor advised
	funds are the organization's property, subject to the		
6	Did the organization inform all grantees, donors, an		
	only for charitable purposes and not for the benefit		
	conferring impermissible private benefit?		Yes No
Par			Form 990, Part IV, line 7.
1	Purpose(s) of conservation easements held by the		
	Preservation of land for public use (e.g., recre		n of an historically important land area
	Protection of natural habitat	Preservatio	n of a certified historic structure
	Preservation of open space		
2	Complete lines 2a through 2d if the organization he	eld a qualified conservation contribution	in the form of a conservation
	easement on the last day of the tax year.		Held at the End of the Tax Year
	The second second		
<b>a</b>	Total number of conservation easements		•
b	Total acreage restricted by conservation easements Number of conservation easements on a certified to		
c d	Number of conservation easements on a certified in (c)		
u	historic structure listed in the National Register.		2d
3	Number of conservation easements modified, tran	sferred released extinguished or terr	ninated by the organization during the
3	tax year	olonou, roloudou, oxaliguiditeu, et ion	
4	Number of states where property subject to conse	rvation easement is located >	
5	Does the organization have a written policy regard	ing the periodic monitoring, inspection,	handling of
•	violations, and enforcement of the conservation ea	sements it holds?	Yes No
6	Staff and volunteer hours devoted to monitoring, in	nspecting, and enforcing conservation	easements during the year
	<b></b>		
7	Amount of expenses incurred in monitoring, inspec	cting, and enforcing conservation ease	ments during the year
	<b>&gt;</b> \$		
8	Does each conservation easement reported on lin	e 2(d) above satisfy the requirements o	
	(i) and section 170(h)(4)(B)(ii)?		Yes No
9	In Part XIII, describe how the organization reports	conservation easements in its revenue	and expense statement, and
	balance sheet, and include, if applicable, the text		ancial statements that describes the
De	organization's accounting for conservation easement ill Organizations Maintaining Collections		ther Similar Assets
Pa	T III Organizations Maintaining Collections Complete if the organization answered	"Yes" to Form 990. Part IV. line 8.	iner Official Addets.
_			its revenue statement and halance sheet
1a	If the organization elected, as permitted under S works of art, historical treasures, or other simil	ar assets held for public exhibition,	education, or research in furtherance of
	public service, provide, in Part XIII, the text of the f	ootnote to its financial statements that	describes these items.
b	If the organization elected, as permitted under	SFAS 116 (ASC 958), to report in it	is revenue statement and balance sheet
	works of art, historical treasures, or other simil public service, provide the following amounts relati	ar assets need for public exhibition, in	education, of research in furtherance of
	(i) Revenues included in Form 990, Part VIII, line	1	<b>&gt;</b> \$
	(ii) Assets included in Form 990, Part X		<b>&gt;</b> \$
2	If the organization received or held works of a	art, historical treasures or other simi	lar assets for financial gain, provide the
~	following amounts required to be reported under S		
а	Revenues included in Form 990, Part VIII, line 1.		
b	Assets included in Form 990, Part X		
_			

_	-
മറമ	

	ule D (Form 990) 2012	-											ige <b>2</b>
Par	III Organizations Maintaini	ng Collec	ctions of	Art, Hist	orical T	reasu	res,	or Otl	ner Simila	ar Asse	ts (con	tinue	(d)
3	Using the organization's acquisition collection items (check all that apply	n, accessi /):	ion, and o	ther record	s, check	any o	f the	followi	ing that ar	e a signi	ficant u	se of	its
а	Public exhibition			d 🗀	Loan o	r excha	ange i	orogran	าร				
þ	Scholarly research			e									
С	Preservation for future gener	ations			-								
4	Provide a description of the organ	ization's c	ollections	and explai	in how th	ney fur	ther	the org	anization's	exempt	purpos	e in F	Part
	XIII.			•		•		·		•			
5	During the year, did the organizatio assets to be sold to raise funds rath									_	Yes		No
Par													
	line 9, or reported an am							11:544-01					
1a	Is the organization an agent, trustee	e, custodia	n or other	r intermedia	ry for co	ntributi	ons c	or other	assets not				
	included on Form 990, Part X?				•					_	Yes		No
b	If "Yes," explain the arrangement in	Part XIII a	ind comple	ete the follo	wing tab	le:							
	-				-				Ar	nount			
C	Beginning balance					!	1c		•				
d	Additions during the year			. <u>.</u>			1d						
е	Distributions during the year						1e						
	Ending balance								·				
2a	Did the organization include an am	ount on Fo	orm 990, F	Part X, line 2	21?					L	Yes		No
b	If "Yes," explain the arrangement in	Part XIII.	Check her	re if the exp	lanation	has be	en pr	ovided	in Part XIII.				
Par	t V Endowment Funds. Com	plete if t	he organ	nization and	swered '	'Yes" t	lo Fo	rm 990	), Part IV,	line 10.			
	1	(a) Curr	ent year	(b) Prior	year	(c) Tw	o year	s back	(d) Three ye	ears back	(e) Four	years I	back
1a	Beginning of year balance				¥								
b	Contributions												
C	Net investment earnings, gains,								177				
	and losses												
	Grants or scholarships												
e	Other expenditures for facilities												
	and programs												
	Administrative expenses												
g	End of year balance			L		<u> </u>							
2	Provide the estimated percentage				(line 1g,	columi	n (a))	held as	:				
a	Board designated or quasi-endown	_ <del>_</del>		_%									
b	Permanent endowment	%	٥,										
C	Temporarily restricted endowment		%	0.00/									
3.0	The percentages in lines 2a, 2b, as		•		غدطة حدثة	h-	ld	ما معامم:	nintarad far	4h.a			
Ja	Are there endowment funds not in organization by:	me hosse	7551011 OF U	ne organiza	mon mat	are ne	iu an	u aqını	iistered ioi	uie	Г	Yes	No
	(i) unrelated organizations										3a(i)	169	140
	(ii) related organizations										3a(ii)		
b	If "Yes" to 3a(ii), are the related org										3b		<del></del>
4	Describe in Part XIII the intended u			•									
_	rt VI Land, Buildings, and Equ				<del></del>								
ı aı	Description of property	aipriiciie.	•	r other basis	(b) Cost		acia	(c) Ac	cumulated	1	d) Book va	alue.	
	Description of property			stment)		other)	asis		reciation		uj book va	alue	
1a	Land								c 9				
b	Buildings	-											
C	Leasehold improvements												
d	Equipment	[		15									
	Other												
Tota	I. Add lines 1a through 1e. (Columi	ı (d) must	equal Fon	m 990, Part	X, colum	n (B), li	ne 10	(c).)	🕨				

Schedule D (Form 990) 2012 Page 3

Part VII	Investments - Other Securities. See Fo	orm 9	90, Part X, line	12.	<u> </u>	
98	(a) Description of security or category (including name of security)	(b)	) Book value		(c) Method of valuati Cost or end-of-year mark	
(1) Financi	al derivatives			-		
(2) Closely	-held equity interests					
(3) Other_					2	
<u>(A)</u>						
<u>(B)</u>						
(C)						
<u>(D)</u>						
<u>\</u> \_/ (F)						
(G)			20			
(H)						
(l)						
	nn (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col. (B) line 12.)			也	DE OFFICIAL DES 12 TENTES	
Part VIII				e 13.		<u></u>
	(a) Description of investment type	(b	) Book value		(c) Method of valuat Cost or end-of-year mark	
_ (1)						
(2)	III					
(3)						
(4)		ļ				
(5) (6)						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
(7)						
(8)						
(9)						
(10)			•	2.		
Total. (Colun	nn (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col. (B) line 13.)				P 7	
Part IX	Other Assets. See Form 990, Part X, I	ine 15	,			
	(a)	Descr	iption			(b) Book value
(1)						
(2)						
(3)						
(5)						
(6)						
(7)						
(8)						
(9)						
(10)						
	lumn (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col. (B)				<u></u>	
Part X	Other Liabilities, See Form 990, Part	<, line				ene er 1. om ekt suskerheers to Fish Helion er ektenber, er
1.	(a) Description of liability		(b) Book valu	e		
	eral income taxes		3.7	744	<b>"种","这样"等是"大"的"一"。</b>	
	TO AFFILIATES ATION ACCRUAL			144. 609.		
(4)	ATTON ACCROAD		19,	005.		
(5)						
(6)						
(7)						
(8)			93			
(9)						
(10)						
(11)						
Total. (Colu	ımn (b) must equal Form 990, Part X, col. (B) line 25.	<b>)</b>	30,	753.		

JSA 2E1270 1.000

Part	Reconciliation of Revenue per Audited Financial Statements With Revenue per Return	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
1	Total revenue, gains, and other support per audited financial statements	1	2,297,717.
2	Amounts included on line 1 but not on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12:		
а	Net unrealized gains on investments		
b	Donated services and use of facilities 2b 217,025.	125	
С	Recoveries of prior year grants 2c	191	
d	Other (Describe in Part XIII.)		
е	Add lines 2a through 2d	2e	217,025.
3	Subtract line 2e from line 1	3	2,080,692.
4	Amounts included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 12, but not on line 1:	- 1	
а	Investment expenses not included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 7b	14	
b	Other (Describe in Part XIII.)		
С	Add lines 4a and 4b	4c	
5	Total revenue. Add lines 3 and 4c. (This must equal Form 990, Part I, line 12.)	5	2,080,692.
Part	XII Reconciliation of Expenses per Audited Financial Statements With Expenses per Retu	ırn	
1	Total expenses and losses per audited financial statements	1	2,327,332.
2	Amounts included on line 1 but not on Form 990, Part IX, line 25:		
а	Donated services and use of facilities 217,025.		
þ	Prior year adjustments 2b	11	
C	Other losses 2c l		
d	Other (Describe in Part XIII.)  Add lines 2a through 2d	1 1	
е	Add lines 2a through 2d	2e	217,025.
3	Subtract line 2e from line 1	3	2,110,307.
4	Amounts included on Form 990, Part IX, line 25, but not on line 1:	1	
а	Investment expenses not included on Form 990, Part VIII, line 7b	1 1	
þ	Other (Describe in Part XIII.)	]	
C	Add lines 4a and 4b	4c	
5	Total expenses. Add lines 3 and 4c. (This must equal Form 990, Part I, line 18.)	5	2,110,307.
Part	XIII Supplemental Information		41
Part V	elete this part to provide the descriptions required for Part II, lines 3, 5, and 9; Part III, lines 1a and 4; Part I 7, line 4; Part X, line 2; Part XI, lines 2d and 4b; and Part XII, lines 2d and 4b. Also complete this part to propation.	ovide a	ny additional
S	BE PAGE 5		
	\$k		
		<b></b>	·

Part XIII Supplemental Information (continued)

FIN 48 (ASC TOPIC 740) FOOTNOTE

SCHEDULE D, XIII

THE LEGAL CENTER IS EXEMPT FROM THE PAYMENT OF INCOME TAXES ON RELATED INCOME UNDER THE PROVISIONS OF SECTION 501(A) OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE AS AN ENTITY DESCRIBED UNDER 501(C)(3). THE LEGAL CENTER IS, HOWEVER, SUBJECT TO FEDERAL AND STATE INCOME TAX ON UNRELATED BUSINESS INCOME. THE LEGAL CENTER DID NOT HAVE ANY MATERIAL UNRELATED BUSINESS INCOME TAX LIABILITY FOR THE THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 AND 2011; NOR DID THE LEGAL CENTER HAVE ANY SIGNIFICANT UNCERTAIN TAX POSITIONS FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 AND 2011.

#### **SCHEDULE G** (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

Supplemental Information Regarding
Fundraising or Gaming Activities

Complete if the organization entered more than \$15,000 on Form 990-EZ, line 6a.

OMB No. 1545-0047

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

► Attach to Form 990 or Form 990-EZ. ► See separate instructions.

Open to Public Inspection

ame of the organization					Employer identification	n number					
IFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGA					62-1570449						
Dort	s. Complete if the organ are not required to comp			'Yes" to Form 99	90, Part IV, line 1	7.					
1 Indicate whether the organiza		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		activities. Check a	Il that apply.						
a X Mail solicitations	Ti 0		_	non-government gr							
b X Internet and email solicit	ations f			overnment grants							
c X Phone solicitations	g		_	ising events							
d X In-person solicitations				_							
2a Did the organization have a workey employees listed in Fo	orm 990, Part VII) or entity	in connec	tion with p	rofessional fundrai	sing services?	X Yes No					
b If "Yes," list the ten highest p compensated at least \$5,000	paid individuals or entities by the organization.	(fundraise	rs) pursua	int to agreements	under which the f	fundraiser is to be					
(i) Name and address of individual or entity (fundraiser)	(II) Activity	custody o	draiser have r control of outlons?	(iv) Gross receipts from activity	(v) Amount paid to (or retained by) fundraiser listed in col. (i)	(vi) Amount paid to (or retained by) organization					
		Yes	No								
1 NATIONAL CAPITAL	TELE										
TELESERVICES, LLC	MARKETING		Х	39,715.	37,249.	2,466.					
2											
3											
4											
5											
6		-				-					
7											
8											
9											
10						<u> </u>					
Total	32	•	<b>.</b>	39,715	37,249	2,466.					
3 List all states in which the registration or licensing.	organization is registered										
AL, AK, AZ, AR, CA, CO, CT, DE											
IA, KS, KY, LA, ME, MD, MA, MI			NM, NY, N	IC, ND, OH,							
OK, OR, PA, RI, SC, SD, TN, TX	,UT,VT,VA,WA,WV,WI	,WY,									
						<u></u>					
		- 1	<del></del>								
						<u> </u>					
			<del> </del>								
#1						<del></del>					

Page 2

(a) Event #1 (b) Event #2 (c) Other events (a) of Coll (p) through coll (p	ar	rt II Fundraising Events. Complete than \$15,000 of fundraising even gross receipts greater than \$5,000.	t contributions and gros			
Gross receipts   Contributions   Contributio		3 11 1 3		(b) Event #2	(c) Other events	(add col. (a) through
2 Less: Contributions			(event type)	(event type)	(total number)	col. (c))
2 Less: Contributions						
3 Gross income (fine 1 minus		1 Gross receipts	<u> </u>			
3 Gross income (fine 1 minus		2 Less: Contributions				
4 Cash prizes. 5 Noncash prizes. 6 Rent/facility costs. 7 Food and beverages. 8 Entertainment 9 Other direct expenses summary. Add lines 4 through 9 in column (d). 11 Net income summary. Combine line 3, column (d), and line 10.  (a) Bingo (b) Pult laba/facility costs (e) Other gaming (d) Total gaming (accil, (a) through col., (a) through col., (a) through col., (a) through col., (a) through col., (b) Pult laba/facility costs (c) Other direct expenses. 4 Rent/facility costs 5 Other direct expenses. 4 Rent/facility costs 5 Other direct expenses. 5 Other direct expenses summary. Add lines 2 through 5 in column (d). 8 Net gaming income summary. Combine line 1, column d, and line 7.  Enter the state(s) in which the organization operates gaming activities: a is the organization licensed to operate gaming activities in each of these states?   Yes    Ves   Yes   Yes    Ves   Yes   Yes    Ves   Yes    Ver   Yes		3 Gross income (line 1 minus				
8 Rent/facility costs	ŀ	line 2)				
8 Rent/facility costs		4 Cash prizes				
8 Rent/facility costs		5 Noncash prizes				
8 Entertainment	l				- 12	
8 Entertainment 9 Other direct expenses	İ	6 Rent/facility costs		1		<u> </u>
8 Entertainment 9 Other direct expenses		7 Food and beverages			\$/	
9 Other direct expenses		ed.				
10 Direct expense summary. Add lines 4 through 9 in column (d) 11 Net income summary. Combine line 3, column (d), and line 10.    Caming. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 19, or reported more than \$15,000 on Form 990-EZ, line 6a.    Caming. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 19, or reported more than \$15,000 on Form 990-EZ, line 6a.    Caming. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 19, or reported more than \$15,000 on Form 990-EZ, line 6a.    Caming. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 19, or reported more than \$15,000 on Form 990-EZ, line 6a.    Caming. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 19, or reported more than \$15,000 on Form 990-EZ, line 6a.    Caming. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 19, or reported more dealing to the part IV, line 19, or reported more than \$15,000 on Form 990-EZ, line 6a.    Caming. Complete if the organization spaming (a) in the form 990-EZ, line 6a.   Caming. Complete if the organization in answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 19, or reported more dealine in the spaming (a) in the spami		8 Entertainment			10	
10 Direct expense summary. Add lines 4 through 9 in column (d) 11 Net income summary. Combine line 3, column (d), and line 10.    Caming. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 19, or reported more than \$15,000 on Form 990-EZ, line 6a.    Caming. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 19, or reported more than \$15,000 on Form 990-EZ, line 6a.    Caming. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 19, or reported more than \$15,000 on Form 990-EZ, line 6a.    Caming. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 19, or reported more than \$15,000 on Form 990-EZ, line 6a.    Caming. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 19, or reported more than \$15,000 on Form 990-EZ, line 6a.    Caming. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 19, or reported more dealing to the part IV, line 19, or reported more than \$15,000 on Form 990-EZ, line 6a.    Caming. Complete if the organization spaming (a) in the form 990-EZ, line 6a.   Caming. Complete if the organization in answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 19, or reported more dealine in the spaming (a) in the spami	l	9 Other direct expenses				
Gaming. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 19, or reported more than \$15,000 on Form 990-EZ, line 6a.  (a) Bingo (b) Pull tabafinstant bingo/progressive bingo (c) Other gaming (c). (a) through col. (a) through col. (b) Pull tabafinstant bingo/progressive bingo (c) Other gaming (c). (a) through col. (b) Pull tabafinstant bingo/progressive bingo (c) Other gaming (c). (d) Total gaming (a) through col. (e) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c). (e) Other gaming (c). (e) Other gaming (c). (e) Other gaming (c). (e) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c). (e) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c). (e) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other	l					, "
Gaming. Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 19, or reported more than \$15,000 on Form 990-EZ, line 6a.  (a) Bingo (b) Pull tabafinstant bingo/progressive bingo (c) Other gaming (c). (a) through col. (a) through col. (b) Pull tabafinstant bingo/progressive bingo (c) Other gaming (c). (a) through col. (b) Pull tabafinstant bingo/progressive bingo (c) Other gaming (c). (d) Total gaming (a) through col. (e) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c). (e) Other gaming (c). (e) Other gaming (c). (e) Other gaming (c). (e) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c). (e) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c). (e) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other gaming (c) Other		10 Direct expense summary. Add lines	4 through 9 in column (c	l)		(
2 Cash prizes  3 Noncash prizes  4 Rent/facility costs  5 Other direct expenses  6 Volunteer labor  7 Direct expense summary. Add lines 2 through 5 in column (d)  8 Net gaming income summary. Combine line 1, column d, and line 7.  Enter the state(s) in which the organization operates gaming activities:  a Is the organization licensed to operate gaming activities in each of these states?  If "No," explain:  Were any of the organization's gaming licenses revoked, suspended or terminated during the tax year?  Yes	T		EZ, line 6a.	(b) Pull tabs/instant		(d) Total gaming (add col. (a) through col. (d
2 Cash prizes  3 Noncash prizes  4 Rent/facility costs  5 Other direct expenses  6 Volunteer labor  7 Direct expense summary. Add lines 2 through 5 in column (d)  8 Net gaming income summary. Combine line 1, column d, and line 7.  Enter the state(s) in which the organization operates gaming activities:  a Is the organization licensed to operate gaming activities in each of these states?  If "No," explain:  Were any of the organization's gaming licenses revoked, suspended or terminated during the tax year?  Yes		1				
3 Noncash prizes  4 Rent/facility costs  5 Other direct expenses	1	1 Gross revenue			1	
3 Noncash prizes  4 Rent/facility costs  5 Other direct expenses	ļ	2 Cash prizes				
4 Rent/facility costs  5 Other direct expenses	l			1		
5 Other direct expenses		3 Noncash prizes				
5 Other direct expenses	١	4 Rent/facility costs				
7 Direct expense summary. Add lines 2 through 5 in column (d)  8 Net gaming income summary. Combine line 1, column d, and line 7  Enter the state(s) in which the organization operates gaming activities:  a Is the organization licensed to operate gaming activities in each of these states?  b If "No," explain:  Were any of the organization's gaming licenses revoked, suspended or terminated during the tax year?  Yes	ļ					
6 Volunteer labor  7 Direct expense summary. Add lines 2 through 5 in column (d)  8 Net gaming income summary. Combine line 1, column d, and line 7  Enter the state(s) in which the organization operates gaming activities:  a Is the organization licensed to operate gaming activities in each of these states?  b If "No," explain:  a Were any of the organization's gaming licenses revoked, suspended or terminated during the tax year?  Yes	4	5 Other direct expenses		0/4   <b>V</b> os 0/	/ Vac 9/	
8 Net gaming income summary. Combine line 1, column d, and line 7		6 Volunteer labor	<del>-       -                          </del>	``  <del></del>	III	
8 Net gaming income summary. Combine line 1, column d, and line 7						
Enter the state(s) in which the organization operates gaming activities:  a Is the organization licensed to operate gaming activities in each of these states?  b If "No," explain:  a Were any of the organization's gaming licenses revoked, suspended or terminated during the tax year?  Yes		7 Direct expense summary. Add lines	2 through 5 in column (	α)		
b If "No," explain:  a Were any of the organization's gaming licenses revoked, suspended or terminated during the tax year?  Yes		8 Net gaming income summary. Com	bine line 1, column d, a	nd line 7	<b>.</b>	
	a	a Is the organization licensed to operate	gaming activities in eac	II Of these states?		
и и тез, едиап.						
	ľ	u i res, explain.				
Schedule G (Form 990 or 99	_					

#### NFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER

Schedu	ule G (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2012 Page 3
11	Does the organization operate gaming activities with nonmembers?
12	Is the organization a grantor, beneficiary or trustee of a trust or a member of a partnership or other entity
	formed to administer charitable gaming?
13	Indicate the percentage of gaming activity operated in:
а	
b	An outside facility
14	Enter the name and address of the person who prepares the organization's gaming/special events books and
	records:
	Name ▶
	Address >
45.0	Does the organization have a contract with a third party from whom the organization receives gaming
19 a	revenue?
b	If "Yes," enter the amount of gaming revenue received by the organization ▶ \$ and the
	amount of gaming revenue retained by the third party ▶ \$
C	If "Yes," enter name and address of the third party:
	Name ►
	Address >
16	Gaming manager information:
	Name ▶
	Gaming manager compensation ▶ \$
	Carring Metagor companies of P
	Description of services provided ▶
	Description of services provided >
	Director/officer Employee Independent contractor
	Director/officer Employee Independent contractor
17	Mandatory distributions:
а	
	retain the state gaming license? Yes No
b	Enter the amount of distributions required under state law to be distributed to other exempt organizations
	or spent in the organization's own exempt activities during the tax year 🕨 \$
Pai	supplemental Information. Complete this part to provide the explanation required by Part I, line 2b,
	columns (iii) and (v), and Part III, lines 9, 9b, 10b, 15b, 15c, 16, and 17b, as applicable. Also complete this
	part to provide any additional information (see instructions).
	• 9,
	(c

#### **SCHEDULE J** (Form 990)

Department of the Treasury

Internal Revenue Service

Compensation Information
For certain Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees

▶ Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 23.

► Attach to Form 990. ► See separate instructions.

OMB No. 1545-0047

Open to Public Inspection

Name of the organization NFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER Employer identification number 62-1570449

Part	Questions Regarding Compensation		V. 1	Ne
	and the state of t	- L	Yes	No_
1a	Check the appropriate box(es) if the organization provided any of the following to or for a person listed in Form		S 1.	
	990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a. Complete Part III to provide any relevant information regarding these items.	1		
	First-class or charter travel  Housing allowance or residence for personal use	8	10000	
	Travel for companions Payments for business use of personal residence	10	8	
	Tax indemnification and gross-up payments Health or social club dues or initiation fees	2.3		
	X Discretionary spending account Personal services (e.g., maid, chauffeur, chef)		15	
ь	If any of the boxes on line 1a are checked, did the organization follow a written policy regarding payment		ņ	
	or reimbursement or provision of all of the expenses described above? If "No," complete Part III to	46	x	
	explain	1b		_
2				х
	directors, trustees, and the CEO/Executive Director, regarding the items checked in line 1a?			
_	at the second of			
3	Indicate which, if any, of the following the filing organization used to establish the compensation of the	115-		
i)	organization's CEO/Executive Director. Check all that apply. Do not check any boxes for methods used by a	: × =	25	be.
	related organization to establish compensation of the CEO/Executive Director, but explain in Part III.		29/16	2
	Compensation committee Written employment contract	1		-
	Independent compensation consultant Compensation survey or study	21	500	
	Form 990 of other organizations  Approval by the board or compensation committee		100	32
4	During the year, did any person listed in Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, with respect to the filing organization or a related organization:		-	la la
а	Receive a severance payment or change-of-control payment?	4a		X
b	Participate in, or receive payment from, a supplemental nonqualified retirement plan?	4b	Х	
С	Participate in, or receive payment from, an equity-based compensation arrangement?	4c		Х
	If "Yes" to any of lines 4a-c, list the persons and provide the applicable amounts for each item in Part III.	1	r.	
		İ		
	Only section 501(c)(3) and 501(c)(4) organizations must complete lines 5-9.			=
5	For persons listed in Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organization pay or accrue any	151		
	compensation contingent on the revenues of:	45	1	
а	The organization?	5a		X
b	Any related organization?	5b		X
	If "Yes" to line 5a or 5b, describe in Part III.		4	. 25
6	For persons listed in Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organization pay or accrue any	-		
	compensation contingent on the net earnings of:			1
а	The organization?	6a		X
	Any related organization?	6b		X
-	If "Yes" to line 6a or 6b, describe in Part III.		-	
7	For persons listed in Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, did the organization provide any non-fixed	1	1	
•	payments not described in lines 5 and 6? If "Yes," describe in Part III	7	2	۲
8	Were any amounts reported in Form 990, Part VII, paid or accrued pursuant to a contract that was subject			
•	to the initial contract exception described in Regulations section 53.4958-4(a)(3)? If "Yes," describe			
	in Part III	8		X
9	If "Yes" to line 8, did the organization also follow the rebuttable presumption procedure described in			
-	Regulations section 53.4958-6(c)?	9		

Page 2

Schedule J (Form 990) 2012

Officers, Directors, Trustees, Key Employees, and Highest Compensated Employees. Use duplicate copies if additional space is needed.

For each individual whose compensation must be reported in Schedule J, report compensation from the organization on row (i) and from related organizations, described in the instructions, on row (ii). Do not list any individua s that are not listed on Form 990, Part VII. Part II

Note. The sum of columns (B)(i)-(iii) for each listed individual must equal the total amount of Form 990, Part VII, Section A, line 1a, applicable column (D) and (E) amounts for that individual.

III di vidadi.		Control of the contro	noites named Collin and to rest for a second second	Compensation		older of mold (C)	enumber of columns	(F) Compansation
	1	(B) Breakdown	OI VY-Z MICIOL 1039-IMO	in the second	(C) Retirement and	(D) NOIREXEDIE	(E) (C) (C)	reported as deferred in
(A) Name and Title		(i) Base ccmpensation	(ii) Bonus & incentive compensation	(iii) Other reportable compensation	other deterred	Sileile		prior Form 990
DONALD A DANNER	5	0			0	0	0	0
No.	3 8	523.878.	180,250.	46,498.	11,575.	18,214.	780,415.	0
TNGKV	1	9		0	0	0		0
SVP/SECRETARY	3 8	219,106.	75,740.	13,938.	14,900.	18,867.	342,551.	
TAMMY S. BOEHMS	8			0	0	- 1		0
•	: 8	285,594.	97,528.	10,231.	11,538.	11,891.	416,782.	
JEFF SMITH	8	0		- 1	0		); 	
4 TREASURER	: 8	154,323.	28,019.	1,796.	9,164.	8,772.	202,074.	
SUSAN M ECKERLY	€			0		1	0	
K SVP	=	240,015.	82,915.	3,942.	11,332.	18,692.	356,896.	
KAREN R HARNED	€	189,736.	28,800.	2,051.	2,266.	14,549.	237,402.	0   0
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR					0	0	0	
BETH MILITO	=	160,342.	14,906.	2,027.	6,179.	924.	184,378.	
7 SENIOR EXECUTIVE COUNSEL	•	) 		0	0	0	9	
	€				1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
ec	8							
	8							
o	€							
	8				                 		1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
10	8							
	€			 				
7	€							
	ε			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				1
12	€							
	€		       1         	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
13	€							
	€	1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
14	8							
	ε	1               	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 1 1 1 1 1		!		
15	€							
	€			1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
2	•							
							Sch	Schedule J (rom 394) 2012

5300HP 1841

Schedule J (Form 990) 2012

# Part III Supplemental Information

Complete this part to provide the information, explanation, or descriptions required for Part I, lines 1a, 1b, 3, 4a, 4b, 4c, 5a, 5b, 6a, 6b, 7, and 8, and for Part II. Also complete this part for any additional information.

DISCRETIONARY SPENDING ACCOUNT

SCHEDULE J, PART I, LINE 1

THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR AND SENIOR EXECUTIVE COUNSEL OF THE COMPANY

IN COMPLIANCE WITH IRS RECEIVE CELLULAR PHONE/DATA SERVICE ALLOWANCE. CODE SECTION 132, THESE FRINGE BENEFITS ARE TREATED AS TAXABLE SALARY,

SUBJECT TO WITHHOLDING, ON THE EMPLOYEE'S W-2.

COMPENSATION ESTABLISHED BY RELATED ORGANIZATION

SCHEDULE J, PART I, LINE 3

NFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER RELIES ON THE NATIONAL

FEDERATION OF INDEPENDENT BUSINESS, INC., A RELATED ORGANIZATION, TO

ESTABLISH THE CEO/EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S COMPENSATION. NATIONAL FEDERATION

OF INDEPENDENT BUSINESS, INC. USES ONE OR MORE OF THE METHODS DESCRIBED

ON SCHEDULE J, LINE 3 TO ESTABLISH THE CEO/EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S

COMPENSATION.

52737

Schedule J (Form 990) 2012

Part III Supplemental Information

Complete this part to provide the information, explanation, or descriptions required for Part I, lines 1a, 1b, 3, 4a, 4b, 4c, 5a, 5b, 6a, 6b, 7, and 8, and for Part II. Also complete this part for any additional information.

SUPPLEMENTAL NONQUALIFIED RETIREMENT PLAN

SCHEDULE J, LINE 4B

THE NATIONAL FEDERATION OF INDEPENDENT BUSINESS, INC. PROVIDES

SUPPLEMENTAL EXECUTIVE RETIREMENT PLANS (SERPS). THESE NONQUALIFIED PLANS

COVER CERTAIN KEY MANAGMENT AND EXECUTIVE PERSONNEL. PARTICIPATION IN ALL

SERPS HAS BEEN FROZEN AND FUTURE BENEFIT ACCRUALS FOR THE PLANS HAVE

NO PAYMENTS WERE MADE TO ANY SUPPLEMENTAL EXECUTIVE RETIREMENT CEASED.

PLAN IN 2012.

INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PLAN

SCHEDULE J, LINE

THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR AND SENIOR EXECUTIVE COUNSEL OF NFIB SMALL

BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER PARTICIPATE IN AN INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PLAN WITH

A PORTION OF THE INCENTIVE BASED ON MANAGEMENT'S REVIEW OF THEIR

PERFORMANCE DURING THE YEAR.

52737

#### SCHEDULE O (Form 990 or 990-EZ)

### Supplemental Information to Form 990 or 990-EZ

2012
Open to Public Inspection

OMB No. 1545-0047

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service Name of the organization Complete to provide information for responses to specific questions on Form 990 or 990-EZ or to provide any additional information.

▶ Attach to Form 990 or 990-EZ.

Employer identification number

NFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER

62-1570449

VOLUNTEERS

FORM 990, PART I, LINE 6

IN 2012, THE NFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER USED THE SERVICES OF TWENTY VOLUNTEERS. THE LEGAL CENTER HAS A FOURTEEN MEMBER ADVISORY BOARD CONSISTING OF PRO BONO ATTORNEYS ADVISING THE LEGAL CENTER OF WHICH CASES TO GET INVOLVED IN HELPING SMALL BUSINESSES. THE LEGAL CENTER ALSO HAD SIX PRO BONO ATTORNEYS WRITE LEGAL BRIEFS FOR THE CENTER.

SUMMARY OF LEGAL CASES FOR 2012

FORM 990, PART III, LINE 4A

APAC-TENNESSEE, INC. V. BRYANT - LIMITING NONECONOMIC DAMAGE AWARDS
MISSISSIPPI SUPREME COURT

APAC-TENNESSEE, INC. V. BRYANT CONCERNS THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE MISSISSIPPI'S \$1 MILLION LIMIT ON NONECONOMIC DAMAGES IN GENERAL CIVIL ACTIONS. NFIB SUPPORTS THE LIMIT ON NONECONOMIC DAMAGES IN THESE TYPES OF CASES. THIS IS THE SECOND APPEAL PENDING BEFORE THE MISSISSIPPI SUPREME COURT INVOLVING THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE CAP.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED.

ARKANSAS GAME & FISH COMM. V. US - PROPERTY RIGHTS
U.S. SUPREME COURT

THE CASE WILL DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT PROPERTY OWNERS CAN BE COMPENSATED

UNDER THE FIFTH AMENDMENT FOR DAMAGES CAUSED TO THEIR PROPERTY AS THE RESULT OF TEMPORARY GOVERNMENT INVASIONS (I.E. FLOODING, ETC.).

HISTORICALLY, PROPERTY OWNERS FACE SIGNIFICANT LEGAL HURDLES WHEN SEEKING COMPENSATION FOR PROPERTY DAMAGE CAUSED BY SHORT-TERM GOVERNMENT POLICIES AND PROJECTS.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 7/3/12.

AUTOMOTIVE UNITED TRADES ORGANIZATION (AUTO) V. WASHINGTON - GAS TAX CHALLENGE

WASHINGTON SUPREME COURT VICTORY!

GAS STATION OWNERS ARE SUING WASHINGTON FOR \$90 MILLION IN FUEL TAX FUNDS THAT THEY SAY HAS BEEN UNLAWFULLY PAID TO INDIAN TRIBES. AUTO SEEKS TO STOP THE STATE FROM PAYING THE TRIBES REFUND ON THE GAS TAX BECAUSE THE TRIBES DID NOT PAY THE TAXES WHICH THE STATE IS ISSUING REFUNDS. THE TAX REFUNDS PERMIT TRIBAL GAS STATIONS TO SELL FUEL AT A MUCH LOWER PRICE (AROUND 5 CENTS PER GALLON LESS). MIXED IN WITH THIS IS A DIFFICULT PROCEDURAL ISSUE WHERE THE COUNTY JUDGE DISMISSED THE CASE SINCE TRIBES CANNOT BE SUED IN STATE OR FEDERAL COURT. HOWEVER, AUTO ARGUES THAT THE TRIBES ARE INDISPENSABLE PARTIES TO THE SUIT WHERE THE SUIT CANNOT BE LITIGATED FAIRLY AND COMPLETELY IN THEIR ABSENCE.

STATUS: DECIDED. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 7/5/11. COURT GRANTED REVIEW 9/8/11.

COURT RULED IN FAVOR OF PETITIONERS ON 8/30/12.

BANKHEAD V. ARVINMERITOR - DEFENDING LIMITS ON PUNITIVE DAMAGES
CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT

THIS DECISION ADDS TO THE DISARRAY IN CALIFORNIA COURTS AS TO HOW TO ASSESS A COMPANY'S FINANCIAL HEALTH IN ASSESSING A PUNITIVE DAMAGES AMOUNT. PLAINTIFFS ATTEMPT TO INFLATE A COMPANY'S ASSETS AND WORTH AND DIMINISH ITS LIABILITY TO SUPPORT A HIGHER PUNITIVE AWARD. WITH THIS OPINION, PLAINTIFF ATTORNEYS WOULD UNDERMINE THE NET WORTH OF A COMPANY WHEN IT SUITS THEM AND ENGAGE IN ATTEMPTS TO PRESENT EVIDENCE OF HOW MUCH THE CEO AND OTHER EXECUTIVES GET PAID, HOW MUCH OF CREDIT LINE A COMPANY HAS, AND OTHER EVIDENCE OF ASSETS WITHOUT CORRESPONDING DEFERENCE TO LIABILITIES.

STATUS: DECIDED. AMICUS LETTER BRIEF FILED 6/4/12. COURT DECLINED REQUEST TO REVIEW PUNITIVE DAMAGE AWARD.

BARABIN V. ASTENJOHNSON - ANY ASBESTOS EXPOSURE TOO SPECULATIVE TO HOLD DEFENDANT LIABLE

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT VICTORY!

PLAINTIFF BARABIN ARGUES THAT HE CAN SUE AND WIN BASED ON "ANY EXPOSURE"

TO ASBESTOS NO MATTER HOW MINUTE. HERE, PLAINTIFF WAS EXPOSED TO ASBESTOS

IN 1974 WHEN HE CAME INTO CONTACT WITH A PAPER MACHINE PART THAT

CONTAINED TRACE AMOUNTS OF ASBESTOS, BUT HE IS UNABLE TO CONFIRM THAT DEFENDANT MANUFACTURED THE PART.

STATUS: DECIDED. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 05/26/11. COURT VACATED JUDGMENT ON 11/16/12 AND ORDERED HEARING ON DAMAGES.

BRINKER V. SUPERIOR COURT - EXPANSION OF MANDATORY MEAL AND REST BREAK CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT VICTORY!

VOIDING A TRIAL COURT'S 2006 DECISION THAT AN ESTIMATED 59,000 TO 63,000 CURRENT AND FORMER EMPLOYEES COULD JOIN A LAWSUIT AGAINST THE DALLAS-BASED COMPANY, THE CALIFORNIA 4TH DISTRICT COURT OF APPEAL RULED THAT EMPLOYER BRINKER ONLY HAD TO "MAKE AVAILABLE" MEAL AND REST BREAKS TO ITS WORKERS, NOT TO "ENSURE" THE BREAKS WERE TAKEN. THE APPELLATE COURT RULED THAT EMPLOYERS NEED ONLY MAKE MEAL BREAKS AVAILABLE TO EMPLOYEES; IF THE EMPLOYEE FOR SOME REASON DOESN'T WANT TO TAKE IT OR VOLUNTARILY WORKS THROUGH IT, THE EMPLOYER CANNOT BE PENALIZED. THE EMPLOYEES HAVE APPEALED THE DECISION TO THE STATE SUPREME COURT.

STATUS: DECIDED. AMICUS BRIEF FILED ON 8/19/09. COURT RULED IN FAVOR OF BRINKER ON APRIL 12, 2012.

CCA ASSOCIATES V. UNITED STATES - REGULATORY TAKING
U.S. SUPREME COURT CERT PETITION

THE COURT IS BEING ASKED TO DECIDE WHETHER THE UNITED STATES OWES JUST

5300HP 1841

COMPENSATION BECAUSE IT PROHIBITED A SMALL BUSINESS FROM REDEVELOPING A
RENTAL PROPERTY OVER THE COURSE OF AN EIGHT YEAR PERIOD, DURING WHICH
TIME THE BUSINESS LOST OVER \$700,000 IN NET INCOME. SMALL BUSINESSES ALL
ACROSS THE COUNTRY FACE AN UPHILL BATTLE IN SEEKING COMPENSATION FOR
GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS WHICH TAKE AWAY THE VALUE OF THEIR PROPERTIES. THE
EXISTING TAKINGS TEST IS HIGHLY UNPREDICTABLE. THIS CASE PRESENTS AN
OPPORTUNITY TO ENCOURAGE THE SUPREME COURT TO RETOOL THE TAKINGS TEST IN
A WAY THAT IS FAIR TO LANDOWNERS.

STATUS: PETITION FOR CERTIORARI DENIED ON 10/9/12.

CHRISTOPHER V. SMITHKLINE BEECHAM - WAGE AND HOUR (FLSA)
SUPREME COURT OF UNITED STATES

THE CASE CENTERS AROUND THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT, WHICH STATES

EMPLOYERS ARE EXEMPT FROM PAYING OVERTIME TO "OUTSIDE SALESMEN." THE

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR'S WAGE AND HOUR DIVISION IS RE-INTERPRETING THE LAW

TO COMPEL THE RESPONDENT, GLAXOSMITHKLINE TO PAY OVERTIME TO THE

PETITIONERS, WHOM THE EMPLOYER CONSIDERED "OUTSIDE SALESMEN" FOR THE

PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY. IF THE PETITIONER IS SUCCESSFUL, IT WOULD GIVE

BROAD POWER TO THE DEPARTMENT OF LABOR TO MAKE RULES BY RE-INTERPRETING

STATUTE, AND WOULD COMPEL SMALL BUSINESSES TO PAY OVERTIME TO OUTSIDE

SALESMEN, CONTRARY TO THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED IN SUPPORT OF SMITHKLINE ON 3/27/12;

Name of the organization
NFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER

Employer identification number 62-1570449

ORAL ARGUMENTS OCCURRED ON 4/16/12

CHERRY V. SHAW COASTAL - LABOR & EMPLOYMENT AND TITLE VII
U.S. FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS

THE DISTRICT COURT DISMISSED PLAINTIFF'S LAWSUIT BROUGHT UNDER TITLE VII
CLAIMING A SAME-SEX HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT. WHILE NFIB CONCEDES THE
EMPLOYEE ACCUSED OF CREATING THE HOSTILE ENVIRONMENT WAS APPROPRIATELY
TERMINATED AND THAT HIS CONDUCT WAS RUDE AND OBNOXIOUS, NFIB BELIEVES HIS
CONDUCT DOES NOT REPRESENT AN ACTIONABLE LEGAL CLAIM UNDER TITLE VII.
NFIB URGED THE FIFTH CIRCUIT TO REINFORCE THE WELL-SETTLED PRINCIPLE THAT
TITLE VII IS NOT A GENERAL CIVILITY CODE. CONDUCT MUST BE SEVERE OR
PERSUASIVE TO BE ACTIONABLE.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 6/22/11.

CITY OF ARLINGTON, TEXAS V. FCC - REGULATORY
U.S. SUPREME COURT

THE COURT HAS BEEN ASKED TO DECIDE WHETHER, CONTRARY TO THE DECISIONS OF AT LEAST TWO OTHER CIRCUITS, A COURT SHOULD APPLY CHEVRON TO REVIEW AN AGENCY'S DETERMINATION OF ITS OWN JURISDICTION.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 11/26/12. ORAL ARGUMENT SET FOR 1/16/13.

COLE V. HARVEYLAND - STATE EMPLOYMENT LAW EXEMPTION FOR SMALL BUSINESS WASHINGTON COURT OF APPEALS

THE ISSUE IS WHETHER WASHINGTON COURTS HAVE JURISDICTION TO HEAR

EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION SUITS AGAINST EMPLOYERS WITH FEWER THAN EIGHT

EMPLOYEES. THE WASHINGTON LAW AGAINST DISCRIMINATION SAYS THAT SMALL

EMPLOYERS ARE EXEMPT FROM THE LAW. THE COURT WILL DETERMINE WHETHER THE

EXEMPTION OPERATES AS A JURISDICTIONAL BAR.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED IN SUPPORT OF EMPLOYER ON 11/1/10.

COLEMAN V. SOCCER ASSOCIATION OF MARYLAND - DEFENDING CONTRIBUTORY
NEGLIGENCE

MARYLAND COURT OF APPEALS

THE PLAINTIFF, A VOLUNTEER SOCCER COACH IN COLUMBIA, MD., WAS HORSING AROUND AND JUMPED UP TO HANG ONTO THE CROSSBAR OF A SOCCER GOAL. THE GOAL TIPPED OVER AND INJURED HIM. THE JURY FOUND THAT HE WAS AT LEAST PARTIALLY RESPONSIBLE FOR HIS OWN HARM, BARRING HIM FROM ANY RECOVERY UNDER MD. LAW. FOR YEARS, PLAINTIFFS HAVE TRIED UNSUCCESSFULLY TO GET THE LEGISLATURE TO MOVE TO A COMPARATIVE FAULT SYSTEM, SO NOW THEY ARE TURNING TO THE COURT TO GET A CHANGE IN THE LAW. MARYLAND IS ONE OF A HANDFUL OF STATES THAT STILL PERMIT CONTRIBUTORY NEGLIGENCE AS A COMPLETE BAR TO A PLAINTIFF'S RECOVERY.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT 7/10/12.

COLONY COVE V. CITY OF CARSON - PROPERTY RIGHTS AND JUDICIAL REVIEW U.S. SUPREME COURT - CERT. PETITION

NFIB JOINED A PETITION THAT URGED THE SUPREME COURT TO OVERTURN PRECEDENT REQUIRING PROPERTY OWNERS TO RIPEN THEIR CLAIMS FOR JUST COMPENSATION IN STATE COURT AS A PREREQUISITE FOR A CLAIM UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 1983. THE BRIEF WOULD DISCUSS THE TENSION BETWEEN THE INTENT OF § 1983 AND THE SUBSEQUENT MIX OF STATUTE AND PRECEDENT THAT EFFECTIVELY BLOCKS PROPERTY OWNERS FROM A HEARING IN FEDERAL COURT.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 9/14/11.

SUMMARY OF LEGAL CASES FOR 2012 (CONT.)

COMER V. MURPHY OIL USA (2010) - LIABILITY FOR GREENHOUSE GAS EMISSIONS & COMER V. MURPHY OIL USA II (2012)

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

MISSISSIPPI RESIDENT NED COMER IS THE LEAD PLAINTIFF IN THIS CLASS ACTION LAWSUIT DEMANDING MAJOR DAMAGE PAYMENTS FROM A HOST OF ENERGY COMPANIES ON THE THEORY THAT THE COMPANIES' CARBON EMISSIONS CONTRIBUTED TO GLOBAL WARMING, WHICH IN TURN SUPPOSEDLY CAUSED A STRENGTHENING OF HURRICANE KATRINA, WHICH DAMAGED THEIR PROPERTIES IN 2005. THE DISTRICT COURT DISMISSED THE SUIT.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 5/7/10. THE APPEAL IS DISMISSED
BECAUSE ANOTHER JUDGE RECUSED HIMSELF, DEPRIVING THE EN BANC COURT OF THE
QUORUM TO HEAR THE CASE. CASE PRESENTED TO THE 5TH CIRCUIT AGAIN IN 2012.
AMICUS BRIEF FILED 9/29/12.

CORTEZ V. NACCO - WORKERS' COMPENSATION
OREGON SUPREME COURT

THE OREGON COURT OF APPEALS RULED THAT WORKERS' COMP NEED NOT BE THE
"EXCLUSIVE REMEDY" FOR INJURED WORKERS. THE RULING SIGNIFICANTLY UNDERCUT
LIABILITY PROTECTIONS FOR LLC OWNERS AND MEMBERS. NACCO PETITIONED THE
OREGON SUPREME COURT FOR REVIEW OF THE CASE. NFIB SUPPORTED THE
PETITIONERS AT BOTH THE CERT AND MERITS STAGES.

STATUS: PENDING, AMICUS BRIEF FILED IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR REVIEW ON 8/3/12. AMICUS BRIEF FILED ON THE MERITS ON 2/5/13.

CTIA V. CITY OF SAN FRANCISCO - REGULATORY
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SAN FRANCISCO'S CELL PHONE "RIGHT TO KNOW ORDINANCE" IMPOSES A WARNING OBLIGATION ON RETAILERS OF CELL PHONES WITHIN THE CITY. THE ORDINANCE REQUIRES RETAILERS TO PUT UP POSTERS IN THEIR STORES, ATTACH STICKERS TO THEIR CELL PHONE DISPLAYS, AND DISTRIBUTE "FACTSHEETS," ALL OF WHICH ARE DESIGNED BY THE CITY TO ADVISE CONSUMERS ABOUT THE SUPPOSED RISKS AND

STEPS CONSUMERS CAN TAKE TO AVOID THEM. ON OCTOBER 27, A FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT ENJOINED THE ORDINANCE. THE CASE PRESENTS SIGNIFICANT FIRST AMENDMENT CONCERNS STEMMING FROM THE FACT THAT GOVERNMENTS MAY START REQUIRING BUSINESSES OF ALL SIZES TO MAKE HEALTH DISCLOSURES BASED ON NOTHING BUT THE POSSIBILITY OF HARM TO CONSUMERS. SUCH REGULATIONS WOULD NO DOUBT IMPOSE SUBSTANTIAL BURDENS ON THE SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY.

STATUS: DECIDED. AMICUS BRIEF FILED IN SUPPORT OF CTIA ON 2/1/12. THE APPELLATE COURT STRUCK DOWN THE WARNING ON 9/10/12.

DEFENDERS OF WILDLIFE V. EPA - LEGAL REFORM
D.C. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEAL

IN RECENT YEARS ENVIRONMENTAL GROUPS HAVE INCREASINGLY SOUGHT TO

INFLUENCE PUBLIC POLICY BY BRINGING LAWSUITS AGAINST FEDERAL AGENCIES AND
THEN OFFERING SETTLEMENT AGREEMENTS. THESE "SUE-AND-SETTLE" TACTICS ARE

CONCERNING BECAUSE IT ALLOWS IDEOLOGICALLY DRIVEN ORGANIZATIONS TO HOLD

PRIVATE NEGOTIATIONS WITH FEDERAL AGENCIES AND TO THEN INFLUENCE PUBLIC

POLICY DECISIONS. THIS ISSUE IS OF CONCERN TO SMALL BUSINESS BECAUSE

BUSINESS OWNERS ARE OFTEN IMPACTED BY THESE FORCED POLICY DECISIONS.

IN THIS CASE, ENVIRONMENTALISTS FILED SUIT AGAINST THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY (EPA) AND IMMEDIATELY OFFERED A SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT, WHICH WOULD BIND THE EPA TO A SCHEDULE FOR PROMULGATING ELECTRIC EFFLUENT

LIMITATION GUIDELINES. SINCE THIS WOULD IMPACT THE ELECTRIC UTILITIES

INDUSTRY, THE UTILITY WATER ACT GROUP (UWAG) SOUGHT TO INTERVENE TO

OPPOSE THE TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT. DESPITE THE FACT THAT THE FEDERAL

RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE REQUIRE ONLY THAT AN INTERVENER HAVE AN INTEREST

IN THE CASE, THE DISTRICT COURT DENIED UWAG'S MOTION FOR INTERVENTION.

THE COURT HELD THAT UWAG NEEDED TO DEMONSTRATE THAT IT HAD SUFFERED AN

ACTUAL INJURY BEFORE IT COULD BE ALLOWED TO INTERVENE.

ON APPEAL, THE NFIB LEGAL CENTER JOINED WITH THE NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF

HOME BUILDERS IN FILING AN AMICUS BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF UWAG. WE URGED THE

D.C. CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEAL TO HOLD THAT THE DISTRICT COURT ERRED IN

DENYING THE MOTION TO INTERVENE. WE ARGUED THAT THE D.C. CIRCUIT SHOULD

SIDE WITH THE MAJORITY OF OTHER FEDERAL CIRCUITS IN REJECTING ANY

HEIGHTENED REQUIREMENT BEYOND WHAT THE FEDERAL RULES OF CIVIL PROCEDURE

REQUIRE. FURTHERMORE, WE EXPLAINED THAT THE COURT WOULD BENEFIT FROM

INTERVENTION IN THIS CASE BECAUSE UWAG COULD OFFER IMPORTANT PERSPECTIVE

FROM THE REGULATED COMMUNITY ON THE IMPACT OF SETTLEMENT, WHICH IS

PARTICULARLY IMPORTANT GIVEN THAT THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT WILL AFFECT THE

BROADER PUBLIC.

STATUS: AMICUS BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF INTERVENER-MOTION FILED ON 08/01/12.

DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE V. COX INTERIOR, INC. - STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS FOR

TAX REFUND

KENTUCKY SUPREME COURT

Schedule O (Form 990 or 990-EZ) 2012

KENTUCKY HAS ARGUED THAT FAILURE TO PROTEST A TAX AT THE TIME OF PAYMENT BARS A BUSINESS TAXPAYER FROM LATER OBTAINING A REFUND OF OVERPAID TAXES.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED ON 1/27/12.

DOWNING/SALT POND V. RHODE ISLAND - CHALLENGING STATE TAKING IN FEDERAL COURT

U.S. SUPREME COURT - CERT. PETITION

NFIB JOINED A PETITION THAT URGED THE SUPREME COURT TO OVERTURN PRECEDENT REQUIRING PROPERTY OWNERS TO RIPEN THEIR CLAIMS FOR JUST COMPENSATION IN STATE COURT AS A PREREQUISITE FOR A CLAIM UNDER 42 U.S.C. § 1983. THE BRIEF WOULD DISCUSS THE TENSION BETWEEN THE INTENT OF § 1983 AND THE SUBSEQUENT MIX OF STATUTE AND PRECEDENT THAT EFFECTIVELY BLOCKS PROPERTY OWNERS FROM A HEARING IN FEDERAL COURT. (RELATED CASE COLONY COVE).

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 9/23/11.

DR HORTON - EMPLOYMENT ARBITRATION AGREEMENTS
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

IN LIGHT OF THE COSTS AND TIME ASSOCIATED WITH HANDLING LEGAL DISPUTES IN THE COURTS, MANY BUSINESSES ARE INCREASINGLY TURNING TO ARBITRATION AS A

COST-EFFECTIVE ALTERNATIVE FOR DISPUTE RESOLUTION. IN THIS CASE DR HORTON REQUIRED THAT EMPLOYEES BRING DISPUTES TO ARBITRATION. THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD RULED THAT THOSE AGREEMENTS ARE UNENFORCEABLE.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED ON 6/5/12.

EEOC V. CRST VAN EXPEDITED - ATTORNEY FEES ASSESSED AGAINST EEOC

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 8TH CIRCUIT

THE EEOC FILED A SERIES OF CLAIMS AGAINST TRUCKING COMPANY CRST VAN

EXPEDITED, ALLEGING SEXUAL HARASSMENT OF FEMALE EMPLOYEES. THE DISTRICT

COURT DISMISSED THE CASE, FINDING THE EEOC HAD FAILED TO INVESTIGATE AND

CONSOLIDATE INDIVIDUAL CLAIMS PRIOR TO BRINGING THE TITLE VII LAWSUIT.

THE JUDGE DID NOT APPRECIATE THE EEOC'S LITIGATION STRATEGY OF 'SUE

FIRST, ASK QUESTIONS LATER' AND ISSUED AN ORDER REQUIRING THE EEOC TO PAY

MORE THAN \$4.5 MILLION DOLLARS IN COSTS AND ATTORNEYS FEES TO THE

TRUCKING COMPANY.

STATUS: DECIDED. AMICUS BRIEF FILED IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT ON 9/7/10.

COURT VACATED ATTORNEYS' FEES AWARD 2/22/12.

EEOC V. PEOPLEMARK - EEOC SUBPOENA POWER CHALLENGED
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

THE APPELLATE COURT WILL DETERMINE WHETHER DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE IS AN APPROPRIATE REMEDY IN A TITLE VII ACTION BROUGHT BY THE EEOC WHERE THE AGENCY FAILED TO CONDUCT ANY INVESTIGATION OF THE UNDERLYING INDIVIDUAL

CLAIMS OR ENGAGE IN MEANINGFUL CONCILIATION PRIOR TO FILING SUIT. THE

COURT WILL ALSO CONSIDER WHETHER THE EEOC BE ORDERED TO PAY THE

DEFENDANT'S ATTORNEY'S FEES UNDER SUCH CIRCUMSTANCES.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 6/7/12.

EEOC V. TRICORE REFERENCE LABORATORIES - PROPERTY RIGHTS
US COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE 10TH CIRCUIT

EEOC FILED SUIT AGAINST TRICORE ALLEGING THAT THE COMPANY HAD FAILED TO MAKE REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS FOR A DISABLED EMPLOYEE; HOWEVER, THE AGENCY PRESSED FORWARD WITH ITS LAWSUIT KNOWING FULL WELL THAT THE EMPLOYEE COULD NOT PERFORM ESSENTIAL JOB FUNCTIONS, EVEN WITH REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS. ACCORDINGLY, EEOC FAILED TO MAKE A PRIMA FACIE CASE OF DISCRIMINATION, AND IN PURSUING THE MATTER KNOWING THAT IT COULD NOT DO SO, IT INCURRED LIABILITY FOR TRICORE'S ATTORNEYS' FEES. ON APPEAL, WE ARGUE AS AMICUS THAT THE DISTRICT COURT PROPERLY AWARDED ATTORNEY FEES TO TRICORE.

STATUS: DECIDED. CIRCUIT COURT UPHELD DISTRICT COURT ATTORNEYS' FEES ON 8/16/12.

ELKIN HILLS POWER V. CALIFORNIA - TAXATION OF INTANGIBLE PROPERTY
4TH APPELLATE DISTRICT

NFIB URGED THE APPELLATE COURT TO REVIEW A LOWER COURT DECISION THAT, IN

VIOLATION OF THE CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTION, PERMITTED THE TAXATION OF INTANGIBLE PROPERTY.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS LETTER FILED ON 8/4/11.

EXXONMOBIL V. NYC - CAUSATION IS ATTACKED BY DEFENDANT'S APPEAL COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SECOND CIRCUIT

EXXON HAS APPEALED ITS \$100 MILLION JURY VERDICT REGARDING MTBE. THE AMICUS BRIEF FILED IN SUPPORT OF EXXON MAINTAINS THAT THE ENTIRE AWARD WAS BASED ON HYPOTHETICAL DAMAGE THAT MIGHT OCCUR. THE BRIEF ARGUES THAT AN AWARD BASED ON A "IF THIS THEN THAT" FUTURE INJURY VIOLATES BASIC TORT PRINCIPLES OF CAUSATION AND COULD BE VERY PROBLEMATIC IN THE PRODUCT LIABILITY ARENA.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 4/28/11.

SUMMARY OF LEGAL CASES FOR 2012 (CONT.)

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION V. PHOEBE PUTNEY HEALTH SYSTEM - LEGAL REFORM

U.S. SUPREME COURT

THIS CASE RAISES THE QUESTION OF WHETHER FEDERAL ANTITRUST LAWS APPLY TO STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS ENGAGED IN BUSINESS PRACTICES. HERE THE DEFENDANT OPERATES UNDER A GRANT OF GENERAL CORPORATE POWER FROM A GEORGIA STATE HOSPITAL AUTHORITY. THE FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION ASSERTS THAT THE DEFENDANT VIOLATED ANTITRUST LAWS, BUT THE DEFENDANT ARGUES THAT

THOSE LAWS CANNOT APPLY TO IT BECAUSE IT IS A QUASI-GOVERNMENTAL ENTITY.

GENERALLY QUASI-GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES, LIKE THE DEFENDANT IN THIS CASE,

ARE DEEMED EXEMPT FROM ANTITRUST LAWS UNDER THE "STATE ACTION IMMUNITY

DOCTRINE," SO LONG AS IT IS CLEAR THAT THEY ARE OPERATING IN THE

FURTHERANCE OF A STATE POLICY, AND UNDER ACTIVE SUPERVISION FROM THE

STATE. BUT, WE ARGUE THAT THERE CAN BE NO "STATE ACTION IMMUNITY" IN

CASES LIKE THIS, WHEN THE QUASI-GOVERNMENTAL DEFENDANT IS UNQUESTIONABLY

COMPETING WITH OTHER BUSINESSES IN THE MARKET. SMALL BUSINESSES ARE PUT

AT A COMPETITIVE DISADVANTAGE WHEN TAXPAYER-FUNDED GOVERNMENT ACTORS OR

QUASI-GOVERNMENTAL ENTITIES DIRECTLY COMPETE WITH PRIVATE BUSINESSES.

NFIB BELIEVES THAT THE STATE ACTION IMMUNITY DOCTRINE ONLY APPLIES IF THE

DEFENDANT ACTS TO ADVANCE LEGITIMATE REGULATORY POLICY, AS OPPOSED TO

SOME POLICY OR PROGRAM DESIGNED SOLELY TO BENEFIT A PUBLIC ENTERPRISE.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 8/27/12.

FORD MOTOR CO. V. BOOMER - LEGAL REFORM
VIRGINIA SUPREME COURT

THE CASE WILL DETERMINE WHETHER ASBESTOS PLAINTIFFS CAN SUCCEED WITH THE
"ANY FIBER IS GOOD ENOUGH" THEORY OF CAUSATION THAT ALLOWS EVEN THE MOST
REMOTE DEFENDANTS TO BE DRAGGED INTO THE LITIGATION. THE SUBJECT CASE,
BROUGHT BY A PLAINTIFF NAMED BOOMER, RESULTED IN A VERDICT AGAINST FORD
AND HONEYWELL.

STATUS: DECIDED. AMICUS BRIEF FILED ON 7/3/12. COURT RULED IN FAVOR OF DEFENDANTS ON 1/11/13.

GEORGIA-PACIFIC WEST V. NEDC - REGULATORY
U.S. SUPREME COURT

THIS CASE CONCERNS AMBIGUITY IN THE CLEAN WATER ACT. SPECIFICALLY, IT IS UNCLEAR WHETHER THE CWA WAS INTENDED TO REQUIRE TIMBER HARVESTING COMPANIES TO OBTAIN NPDES PERMITS TO CONTROL STORMWATER FLOWS FROM FOREST ROADS. WE FILED AN AMICUS BRIEF TO ARGUE THAT EPA'S LONG-STANDING INTERPRETATIONS-EXEMPTING TIMBER BUSINESSES FROM THE NPDES PERMIT REGIME-SHOULD BE AFFORDED DEFERENCE BECAUSE EPA'S INTERPRETATION PRESERVES THE PRINCIPLES OF FEDERALISM ENTAILED IN THE NINTH AND TENTH AMENDMENTS.

STATUS: PENDING. FILED IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER 9/04/12.

GENESIS HEALTHCARE AND ELDERCARE RESOURCES - WAGE AND HOUR (FLSA)
SUPREME COURT OF UNITED STATES

NFIB FILED AN AMICUS IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONERS OVER WHETHER AN EMPLOYER,
HIT WITH A PROPOSED COLLECTIVE ACTION UNDER THE FLSA, CAN MOOT A CLAIM BY
MAKING AN OFFER OF JUDGMENT (UNDER FRCP 68) BEFORE OTHER WORKERS HAVE THE
CHANCE TO "OPT IN."

STATUS: PENDING. FILED IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER'S CERT PETITION ON 3/21/12. FILED IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER'S MERITS BRIEF ON 9/6/12.

GREATER ORLANDO CHAMBER OF COMMERCE V. ORANGE CO. - LABOR & EMPLOYMENT CIRCUIT COURT OF THE 9TH JUDICIAL DISTRICT

NFIB MOVED TO INTERVENE IN THE ORLANDO CHAMBER OF COMMERCE'S SUIT

CHALLENGING A BALLOT INITIATIVE THAT WOULD HAVE REQUIRED EMPLOYERS TO

OFFER PAID SICK LEAVE. SUBSEQUENT TO THE LAWSUIT TO ENJOIN THE

INITIATIVE, THE LOCAL LEGISLATORS WITHDREW THE PROPOSAL.

STATUS: DECIDED. INITIATIVE WAS WITHDRAWN BY LAWMAKERS.

HARRIS V. QUINN - FORCED UNIONIZATION OF IL HEALTHCARE PROVIDERS
U.S. SUPREME COURT - CERT PETITION

THE STATE OF ILLINOIS OPERATES MEDICAID-WAIVER PROGRAMS THAT PAY FOR IN-HOME PERSONAL CARE FOR DISABLED INDIVIDUALS. THE INDIVIDUAL
"PROVIDERS" ARE SELECTED AND EMPLOYED BY THE PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES OR THEIR GUARDIANS AND ARE OFTEN RELATIVES OF THE DISABLED PERSONS. ILLINOIS IS COMPELLING THESE PROVIDERS TO FINANCIALLY SUPPORT THE SEIU AS THEIR EXCLUSIVE REPRESENTATIVE FOR BARGAINING WITH THE STATE OVER ITS MEDICAID REIMBURSEMENT RATES AND BENEFITS FOR PERSONAL CARE.

PLAINTIFFS ARE PROVIDERS WHO ASSERT THAT BY COMPELLING THEM TO ASSOCIATE

Name of the organization
NFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER

Employer identification number 62-1570449

WITH AN ORGANIZATION TO PETITION THE STATE FOR MORE BENEFITS, THE STATE

IS VIOLATING THEIR RIGHTS TO FREE EXPRESSIVE ASSOCIATION UNDER THE FIRST

AMENDMENT.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED ON BEHALF OF NRTW 1/2/12.

HORNE V. USDA - PROPERTY RIGHTS

U.S. SUPREME COURT - CERT PETITION AND MERITS

UNDER THE AGRICULTURAL MARKETING AGREEMENT ACT OF 1937, RAISIN FARMERS
MUST TURN OVER A SUBSTANTIAL PORTION OF THEIR CROP (SOMETIMES REACHING
PERCENTAGES AS HIGH AS 30 OR 47 PERCENT OF THE ANNUAL CROP) FOR
BELOW-MARKET OR NO COMPENSATION IN EXCHANGE FOR THE "PRIVILEGE" OF
SELLING THE REMAINDER ON THE OPEN MARKET. THE NINTH CIRCUIT DENIED
FARMERS THE RIGHT TO APPROPRIATELY CONTEST MONETARY FINES IMPOSED ON THE

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 8/27/12. COURT GRANTED CERT AND MERITS BRIEF FILED 1/16/13.

FARMERS WHO ALLEGEDLY FAILED TO COMPLY WITH THE SET-ASIDE.

HOWARD V. A.W. CHESTERTON, INC. - LEGAL REFORM
PENNSYLVANIA SUPREME COURT

THE CASE INVOLVES A KEY ISSUE IN ASBESTOS LITIGATION TODAY - THE "ANY EXPOSURE" THEORY OF CAUSATION. THE PA SUPERIOR COURT AUTHORED ONE OF THE MORE THOUGHTFUL OPINIONS EXPLAINING WHY THIS THEORY BEING PROMOTED BY PAID EXPERTS FOR PLAINTIFFS IS "JUNK SCIENCE." PA LOWER COURTS ARE NOW

Employer identification number 62-1570449

TRYING TO UNDERMINE THIS DECISION.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 12/17/12

INDUSTRIAL COMM'N OF ARIZONA V. MARTIN - WORKERS' COMPENSATION
SUPERIOR COURT OF ARIZONA
ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS

NFIB HAS JOINED THE FIGHT AGAINST THE STATE OF ARIZONA'S UNCONSTITUTIONAL TAKING OF FUNDS FROM THE STATE'S WORKERS' COMPENSATION FUND. ON JANUARY 31, 2009 ARIZONA GOVERNOR JAN BREWER SIGNED SB 1001, WHICH SWEEPS OVER \$4 MILLION FROM THE STATE'S SPECIAL FUND OF THE INDUSTRIAL COMMISSION, THE FUND ESTABLISHED BY ARIZONA'S WORKERS' COMPENSATION STATUTE TO ENSURE INJURED WORKERS RECEIVE BENEFITS MAINLY IN CASES WHERE THE EMPLOYER IS UNINSURED OR THE INSURANCE CARRIER IS INSOLVENT. THESE MILLIONS OF DOLLARS WERE USED TO BALANCE THE STATE'S BUDGET IN VIOLATION OF THE STATE'S CONSTITUTION AND WORKERS' COMPENSATION STATUTE THAT CLEARLY STATE THAT MONEY FROM THE SPECIAL FUND MAY ONLY BE USED TO COVER WORKERS.

STATUS: DECIDED. MOTION TO INTERVENE GRANTED 1/5/10. COURT RULED 6/21/10 IN FAVOR OF THE PLAINTIFFS THAT FUNDS WERE HELD IN TRUST. ARIZONA COURT OF APPEALS OVERTURNED THE TRIAL COURT DECISION ON 12/3/12.

IN RE CARD INTERCHANGE FEE CLASS ACTION - UNFAIR COMPETITION
U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

NFIB FILED AN AMICUS BRIEF OPPOSING VISA/MASTERCARD'S PROPOSED SETTLEMENT
IN AN INTERCHANGE FEE DISPUTE INVOLVING MERCHANTS AND CONSUMERS. NFIB
CONTENDS THAT THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT WOULD PUT SMALL BUSINESS AT A
FURTHER DISADVANTAGE WHEN IT COMES TO NEGOTIATING INTERCHANGE FEES WITH
BANKS.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 11/19/12.

IN RE MASS TORT PROGRAM - ASBESTOS REFORM
PENNSYLVANIA COURT OF COMMON PLEAS OF PHILADELPHIA COUNTY

A BRIEF WAS SUBMITTED TO THE PHILADELPHIA COURT OF COMMON PLEAS CALLING ON THE COURT TO ADOPT REFORMS IN ASBESTOS AND MASS TORT CASES TO ADDRESS ITS "JUDICIAL HELLHOLE" IMAGE. THE COURT RESPONDED TO THE AMICUS BRIEF AND ADOPTED A NEW PROTOCOL FOR MASS TORT CASES THAT THE BRIEF'S RECOMMENDATIONS WITH RESPECT TO REVERSE BIFURCATION, DEFERRAL OF PUNITIVE DAMAGES AND LIMITING CONSOLIDATED TRIALS.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 1/21/12. COURT ADOPTED AMICI RECOMMENDATIONS FOR HANDLING ASBESTOS CLAIMS. SECOND AMICUS BRIEF SUBMITTED 6/1/12.

IN RE NESTLE USA - TAX

TEXAS SUPREME COURT

BUSINESSES IN TEXAS ARGUE THAT THE STATE'S APPLICATION OF THE BUSINESS

FRANCHISE TAX, ALSO KNOWN AS THE MARGINS TAX, DOES NOT TREAT "SIMILARLY

SITUATED TAXPAYERS EQUALLY AND UNIFORMLY" AND THAT THE MARGINS TAX

VIOLATES THE EQUAL AND UNIFORM CLAUSE OF THE TEXAS CONSTITUTION. IN

ADDITION, THE PLAINTIFFS ARGUE THAT NESTLE MUST FILE AS A MANUFACTURER

AND PAY A HIGHER TAX RATE EVEN THOUGH IT HAS NO MANUFACTURING FACILITIES

IN THE STATE AND THIS PRACTICE VIOLATES THE COMMERCE CLAUSE OF THE U.S.

CONSTITUTION. IN ROUND TWO OF THIS DISPUTE, NESTLE HAS PAID THE TAX UNDER

PROTEST AND FILED AN APPEAL REGARDING THE INIQUITIES OF THE TAX.

STATUS: DECIDED. AMICUS BRIEF FILED IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER 9/5/12. ORAL ARGUMENT HELD 9/18/12. COURT DISMISSED CHALLENGE ON 10/19/12.

JANKEY V. LEE - ATTORNEY FEE AWARDS IN ADA LAWSUITS
CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT VICTORY!

THE COURT WILL DETERMINE WHETHER CALIFORNIA'S MANDATORY "PREVAILING

PARTY" ATTORNEY'S FEE PROVISION REQUIRES A PREVAILING DEFENDANT TO

ESTABLISH THAT THE PLAINTIFF'S CLAIM WAS FRIVOLOUS BEFORE AN ATTORNEY'S

FEE AWARD CAN BE MADE.

STATUS: DECIDED. AMICUS BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT FILED ON 1/7/11.

ORAL ARGUMENT SCHEDULED FOR 10/2/12. COURT UPHELD ATTORNEYS' FEE AWARD ON 12/17/12.

SUMMARY OF LEGAL CASES FOR 2012 (CONT.)

KIVALINA V. EXXONMOBIL - LEGAL REFORM/CLIMATE CHANGE

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

THE SUIT SEEKS MONETARY DAMAGES FROM VARIOUS ENERGY COMPANIES FOR THE ALLEGED DESTRUCTION OF KIVALINA, ALASKA BY CLIMATE CHANGE. THE SPECIFIC ISSUE IN THE CASE IS WHETHER THE PUBLIC NUISANCE CLAIMS SEEKING TO SUBJECT AMERICAN BUSINESS THAT EMIT CO2, METHANE AND OTHER SUCH GASSES TO LIABILITY FOR WEATHER-RELATED EVENTS ALLEGEDLY CAUSED BY GLOBAL WARMING VIOLATE THE POLITICAL QUESTION DOCTRINE UNDER ARTICLE III OF THE CONSTITUTION. THE SUIT WAS DISMISSED BY THE U.S. DISTRICT COURT.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 07/07/10.

KLAIRMONT V. GRAINSBORO - LEGAL REFORM

SUPREME JUDICIAL COURT OF FOR THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

NFIB JOINED A COALITION OF INTERESTED GROUPS IN FILING AN AMICUS BRIEF ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS-APPELLANTS IN THIS CASE. OUR BRIEF ARGUED THAT LITIGANTS SHOULD NOT BE ADLE TO ADVANCE CLAIMS AGAINST DUSINESSES UNDER CONSUMER PROTECTION STATUTES SEEKING COMPENSATION FOR PERSONAL INJURIES, WHICH ARE MORE APPROPRIATELY ADVANCED AS COMMON LAW TORT CLAIMS.

STATUS: PENDING. FILED IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS-APPELLANTS ON 3/30/12.

KOONTZ V. ST. JOHNS RIVER MGMT. - PROPERTY RIGHTS

## U.S. SUPREME COURT

THE COURT WILL DETERMINE WHETHER THE GOVERNMENT CAN BE HELD LIABLE FOR A TAKING WHEN IT REFUSES TO ISSUE A LAND-USE PERMIT ON THE BASIS THAT THE APPLICANT WILL NOT ACCEDE TO A PERMIT CONDITION THAT VIOLATES THE ESSENTIAL NEXUS AND ROUGH PROPORTIONALITY TEST FROM NOLLAN V. CA COASTAL COMM. (1987) AND DOLAN V. CITY OF TIGARD (1994).

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 11/28/12. ORAL ARGUMENT SET FOR 1/15/13.

LAMONS GASKET CO. V. SWIU - NLRB OPENS DOOR TO MORE STEALTH CARD CHECK AGREEMENTS

NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

IN A 3-2 DECISION ON AUGUST 27, THE BOARD VOTED TO REVIEW A LANDMARK 2007 CASE, DANA CORPORATION, IN WHICH IT GRANTED DISSENTING WORKERS THE RIGHT TO UNDO A SUCCESSFUL (I.E., EMPLOYER-RECOGNIZED) UNION CARD CHECK, CAMPAIGN AND DEMAND A SECRET BALLOT ELECTION. PRIOR TO THIS DECISION, EMPLOYEES HAD NO WAY TO DEMAND AN ELECTION IF THE EMPLOYER AGREED TO RECOGNIZE A UNION BASED ON AUTHORIZATION CARDS. WORKERS ACROSS THE COUNTRY HAVE ALREADY USED ELECTIONS TO KICK OUT UNWANTED UNIONS, DEMONSTRATING THE UNRELIABILITY OF CARD CHECK INSTANT ORGANIZING CAMPAIGNS. WORKERS FREQUENTLY SIGN UNION AUTHORIZATION CARDS DUE TO UNION ORGANIZERS' INTIMIDATING TACTICS OR EVEN OUTRIGHT LIES ABOUT WHAT

Name of the organization
NFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER

Employer identification number 62-1570449

SIGNING A CARD MEANS.

STATUS: PENDING. NFIB FILED TWO AMICUS BRIEFS ON 11/1/10 IN SUPPORT OF PRESERVING DANA CORPORATION.

LEWIS V. HUMBOLDT ACQUISITION CORP. - BURDEN OF PROOF FOR ADA CLAIMS U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

THIS CASE TESTS THE STANDARDS FOR PROVING AN AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT CLAIM. NOW BEING HEARD EN BANC, THE COURT IS BEING ASKED TO REVERSE THE DISTRICT COURT AND THREE-JUDGE PANEL DECISIONS THAT HELD A PLAINTIFF MUST PROVE THAT HER DISABILITY WAS THE SOLE, RATHER THAN A MOTIVATING, REASON FOR THE DEFENDANT-EMPLOYER'S ADVERSE EMPLOYMENT DECISION.

STATUS: DECIDED. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 8/10/11 IN SUPPORT OF THE EMPLOYER.

COURT FOUND IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFF AND REMANDED TO DISTRICT COURT FOR

TRIAL.

LOBATO V. COLORADO - DEFENDING TAX PAYER BILL OF RIGHTS (TABOR) LAW
COLORADO SUPREME COURT

IN 1992, COLORADO PASSED A TAX PAYER BILL OF RIGHTS ("TABOR"). UNDER TABOR, STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS CANNOT RAISE TAX RATES WITHOUT VOTER APPROVAL AND CANNOT SPEND REVENUES COLLECTED UNDER EXISTING TAX RATES IF REVENUES GROW FASTER THAN THE RATE OF INFLATION AND POPULATION GROWTH,

WITHOUT VOTER APPROVAL. IN LOBATO, THE PLAINTIFFS ALLEGE THAT THE IMPLEMENTATION OF TABOR RENDERS THE PUBLIC SCHOOL SYSTEM SO UNDERFUNDED THAT STUDENTS ARE DENIED AN ADEQUATE EDUCATION, IN VIOLATION OF THE STATE CONSTITUTIONAL MANDATE OF A "THOROUGH AND UNIFORM" SYSTEM. .

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED IN SUPPORT OF TABOR LAW ON 7/18/12.

LOCKE V. KARASS - NONMEMBER UNION FEES

U.S. SUPREME COURT

IN LOCKE, THE COURT WILL DECIDE WHETHER A NATIONAL UNION THAT FUNCTIONS

AS THE EXCLUSIVE BARGAINING AGENT FOR CERTAIN STATE EMPLOYEES CAN CHARGE

LOCAL NONMEMBERS FOR LITIGATION EXPENSES, EVEN WHERE THE LITIGATION DOES

NOT AFFECT THE LOCAL UNION. THE COURT HAS PREVIOUSLY HELD THAT UNIONS

CAN CHARGE NONMEMBERS A SERVICE FEE TO COVER EXPENSES RELATED TO

COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AND CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION, BUT CANNOT CHARGE

NONMEMBERS TO SUPPORT POLITICAL OR IDEOLOGICAL EXPRESSION.

STATUS: DECIDED. COURT RULED THAT IN CERTAIN CIRCUMSTANCES A LOCAL

UNION MAY CHARGE A NONMEMBER AN APPROPRIATE SHARE OF ITS CONTRIBUTION TO

A NATIONAL UNION'S LITIGATION EXPENSES.

LOCKE V. SHORE - REGULATORY RESTRICTION ON INTERIOR DESIGNER U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

NFIB JOINED A LAWSUIT THAT CHALLENGED A FLORIDA LAW THAT PROHIBITS PEOPLE FROM PRACTICING INTERIOR DESIGN UNLESS THEY FIRST GET THE GOVERNMENT'S

PERMISSION. THE LAW REQUIRES THAT THEY SPEND SIX YEARS AND THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS JUMPING THROUGH THE ARBITRARY HOOPS OF FLORIDA'S INTERIOR DESIGN LICENSING LAW. IN MARCH 2011, THE APPELLATE COURT UPHELD A DISTRICT COURT RULING THAT FOUND THE REGULATION CONSTITUTIONAL. THE RULING COMES DESPITE ADMISSIONS BY THE STATE THAT THERE IS NO EVIDENCE THAT THE UNLICENSED PRACTICE OF INTERIOR DESIGN POSES ANY THREAT TO THE PUBLIC.

STATUS: DECIDED. COURT UPHELD THE REGULATION ON 3/2/11. U.S. SUPREME COURT DENIED REVIEW ON 1/9/12.

MCCALL V. UNITED STATES - DEFENDING FLORIDA'S DAMAGES CAP FLORIDA SUPREME COURT

THE U.S. ELEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS RECENTLY UPHELD FLORIDA'S NONECONOMIC DAMAGES CAP UNDER THE U.S. CONSTITUTION, SEE ESTATE OF MCCALL V. UNITED STATES, 2011 WL 2084069 (11TH CIR. MAY 27, 2011). THE CIRCUIT COURT CERTIFIED THE FLORIDA CONSTITUTIONAL QUESTIONS TO THE FLORIDA SUPREME COURT.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANTS ON 9/15/11.

MCDONALD V. CITY HOSPITAL - CHALLENGE TO WEST VIRGINIA'S PUNITIVE DAMAGES
CAP

WEST VIRGINIA SUPREME COURT OF APPEALS

Employer identification number 62-1570449

NFIB JOINED A COALITION AMICUS BRIEF IN A CHALLENGE TO THE STATE'S CAP ON NONECONOMIC DAMAGES FOR MEDICAL LIABILITY ACTIONS. THE COALITION ARGUED IN SUPPORT OF THE STATUTORY CAP ON NONECONOMIC DAMAGES BECAUSE WITHOUT THEM THE DOOR WILL BE OPENED TO SUBJECTIVE, RUNAWAY NONECONOMIC DAMAGE AWARDS.

STATUS: PENDING. ORAL ARGUMENTS HELD MARCH 8, 2011.

MERRILL V. OHIO - PROPERTY RIGHTS

OHIO SUPREME COURT

THE CASE INVOLVES A CHALLENGE TO SHORELINE PROPERTY OWNERS' RIGHT TO EXCLUDE TRESPASSERS ON DRY BEACHES ABOVE THE WATER'S EDGE. THIS IS AN IMPORTANT ISSUE NOT ONLY FOR RESIDENTIAL PROPERTY OWNERS, BUT FOR BUSINESSES ALONG LAKE ERIE WHO WANT TO BE ABLE TO EXCLUDE TRESPASSERS.

THE TRIAL AND APPELLATE COURTS FOUND LARGELY IN FAVOR OF THE LANDOWNERS.

THE OHIO DEPT. OF NATURAL RESOURCES HAS NOW APPEALED TO THE STATE SUPREME COURT.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED ON 9/20/10. ORAL ARGUMENT HELD 2/1/11.

SUMMARY OF LEGAL CASES FOR 2012 (CONT.)

METROPOLITAN MILWAUKEE ASSOCIATION OF COMMERCE V. CITY OF MILWAUKEE -

MANDATED SICK LEAVE

5300HP 1841

CIRCUIT COURT FOR MILWAUKEE COUNTY

MILWAUKEE REQUIRED THAT ALL CITY BUSINESSES PROVIDE THEIR EMPLOYEES WITH PAID SICK LEAVE THROUGH THE PASSAGE OF A BINDING REFERENDUM. NFIB ARGUED THAT THE MEASURE INTERFERES WITH EMPLOYERS' RIGHTS TO NEGOTIATE MUTUALLY BENEFICIAL LABOR AGREEMENTS WITH THEIR EMPLOYEES AND IS AN ILLEGAL EXTENSION OF MILWAUKEE AUTHORITY INTO AREAS OF LAW RESERVED TO THE STATE.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 01/28/09. CIRCUIT COURT GRANTED

MMAC SUMMARY JUDGMENT ON OCTOBER 14, 2010. HOWEVER, THE COURT OF APPEALS

REVERSED AND REMANDED THE CASE BACK TO THE CIRCUIT COURT ON MARCH 24,

2011.

MICHIGAN BUILDING AND CONSTRUCTION TRADES COUNCIL V. SNYDER - PLA
AGREEMENTS

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

IN JULY 2011, GOVERNOR SNYDER SIGNED THE "MICHIGAN FAIR & OPEN COMPETITION IN GOVERNMENTAL CONSTRUCTION ACT," WHICH PROHIBITS GOVERNMENT ENTITIES FROM AWARDING CONTRACTS WITH PROJECT LABOR AGREEMENTS (PLAS).

MICHIGAN BUILDING & CONSTRUCTION TRADES COUNCIL, AFL-CIO SUED TO BLOCK THE LAW. IN FEBRUARY A FEDERAL DISTRICT COURT DECLARED THE LAW INVALID FINDING IT IMPERMISSIBLY INTERFERES WITH THE NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT ("NLRA"). THE STATE APPEALED.

THE ADVERSE IMPACTS OF GOVERNMENT-MANDATED PLAS ARE WELL SUPPORTED, AND MICHIGAN HAS JOINED A LARGE AND GROWING NUMBER OF STATES WHO HAVE DETERMINED THAT GOVERNMENT-MANDATED PLAS DO NOT SERVE THE STATES' INTERESTS. AFTER THE TRIAL COURT STRUCK DOWN THE FIRST LAW, THE LEGISLATURE PASSED AN AMENDED LAW TO CORRECT PERCEIVED DEFICIENCIES. THE TRIAL COURT STRUCK DOWN THE AMENDED LAW. A SECOND APPEAL FOLLOWED.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED IN SUPPORT OF LAW BANNING PLA'S ON 6/15/12. SECOND BRIEF FILED ON 1/22/13.

MIMS V. ARROW FINANCIAL SERVICES, INC. - LEGAL REFORM
U.S. SUPREME COURT

THE APPEAL STEMS FROM THE 11TH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS HOLDING THAT

FEDERAL COURTS LACK SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION OVER PRIVATE ACTIONS

UNDER THE TELEPHONE CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT, 47 U.S.C. § 227. NFIB'S

BRIEF DISCUSSES THE EXTORTION THAT SMALL BUSINESSES FACE WHEN THEY

UNINTENTIONALLY VIOLATE A CONSUMER PROTECTION STATUTE, LIKE THE TCPA OR

DO-NOT-FAX, AND THEN FACE A BARRAGE OF DEMAND LETTERS AND FORM COMPLAINTS

FROM PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL.

STATUS: DECIDED. AMICUS BRIEF FILED ON 10/28/11. COURT RULED IN FAVOR OF PLAINTIFF MIMS ON 1/17/12.

MULHALL V. UNITE HERE - UNION ORGANIZING

## U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT VICTORY!

THE APPELLATE COURT WILL DECIDE WHETHER THE ORGANIZING ASSISTANCE THAT INCLUDES LISTS OF INFORMATION ABOUT NONUNION EMPLOYEES, USE OF PRIVATE COMPANY PROPERTY FOR ORGANIZING, AND A GAG-CLAUSE ON COMPANY COMMUNICATIONS WITH ITS EMPLOYEES ABOUT UNIONIZATION ARE "THINGS OF VALUE," MAKING IT ILLEGAL UNDER SECTION 302 OF THE LABOR MANAGEMENT RELATIONS ACT FOR THE UNION TO DEMAND THEM. IF THE CASE IS SUCCESSFUL, IT WILL BE ILLEGAL IN FLORIDA, GEORGIA, AND ALABAMA (AND POTENTIALLY BLSEWHERE) FOR UNIONS TO DEMAND THAT FROM AN EMPLOYER.

STATUS: DECIDED. AMICUS BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MULHALL FILED 3/28/11. COURT RULED IN FAVOR OF MULHALL ON 1/19/12.

NATIONAL ASSOC. OF HOMEBUILDERS V. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS - ADMIN PROCEDURE CHALLENGE

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

NAHB HAS APPEALED A DECISION FROM THE D.C. DISTRICT COURT THAT HELD A

PARTY MUST SHOW THERE IS "NO SET OF CIRCUMSTANCES" UNDER WHICH THE RULE

BEING CHALLENGING IS VALID. IF UPHELD, THIS RESULT WILL MAKE IT MUCH MORE

DIFFICULT TO BRING FACIAL CHALLENGES TO ANY REGULATION.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 4/11/11.

Employer identification number

62-1570449

NATIONAL RESTAURANT ASSOCIATION (NRA) V. DOL

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

NFIB JOINED A CASE CHALLENGING THE NOTICE OF PROPOSED RULEMAKING (NPRM)
PROCEDURES USED TO ALTER "TIP CREDIT" DISCLOSURE REGULATIONS. TIP
CREDITS ALLOW EMPLOYERS TO PAY EMPLOYEES RECEIVING TIPS UNDER MINIMUM
WAGE AS LONG AS THE EMPLOYEE'S HOURLY WAGE AND TIPS EXCEEDS MINIMUM WAGE.
THE RULEMAKING NOTICE CONTAINED VERY LIMITED CHANGES TO THE CURRENT
REGULATIONS, YET THE PROMULGATED REGULATIONS REQUIRE EXTENSIVE ADDITIONAL
TIP CREDIT DISCLOSURE REQUIREMENTS FOR EMPLOYERS. THE NEW REGULATIONS
REPRESENT A HUGE NEW ADMINISTRATIVE BURDEN WITH COSTLY PENALTIES FOR
FAILED COMPLIANCE FOR BUSINESSES.

STATUS: PENDING. COMPLAINT FILED 6/16/11.

NATSO, INC. V. 3 GIRLS ENTERTAINMENT
U.S. SUPREME COURT - CERT PETITION

THE CASE CONCERNS THE USE OF OVERBROAD DISCOVERY REQUESTS TO SEEK TRADE
ASSOCIATION COMMUNICATIONS WITH THEIR CORPORATE MEMBERS REGARDING
LOBBYING STRATEGIES. THE TENTH CIRCUIT ORDERED SUCH DISCLOSURES, A
RULING IN DIRECT CONFLICT WITH DECISIONS BY THE SUPREME COURT AND OTHER
CIRCUIT COURTS.

STATUS: PENDING. NFIB FILED AMICUS BRIEF ON 10/20/11. REVIEW DENIED ON

1/9/12.

NEIMAN MARCUS GROUP AND LOCAL 1102 - MICRO UNION CHALLENGE
NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

THE NLRB GRANTED REVIEW OF THE REGIONAL DIRECTOR'S DECISION IN NEIMAN MARCUS GROUP, INC. D/B/A BERGDORF GOODMAN. THE REGIONAL DIRECTOR RELIED ON THE MICRO UNION STANDARD ESTABLISHED IN SPECIALTY HEALTHCARE IN FINDING AN APPROPRIATE UNIT THAT WAS COMPOSED OF ONLY SALES ASSOCIATES IN THE WOMEN'S SHOE DEPARTMENT.

STATUS: PENDING. NFIB FILED AMICUS BRIEF ON 6/13/12.

SUMMARY OF LEGAL CASES FOR 2012 (CONT.)

NESTLE DREYER V. NLRB - MICRO UNION CHALLENGE

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

ON DECEMBER 28, 2011, THE NLRB UPHELD A REGIONAL DIRECTOR'S UNIT

DETERMINATION OF MAINTENANCE EMPLOYEES AT NESTLE DREYER'S ICE CREAM

PLANT. THE REGIONAL DIRECTOR'S DECISION WAS BASED ON THE MICRO-UNION

STANDARD FROM SPECIALTY HEALTHCARE. NESTLE-DREYER LOST THE ELECTION AND

REFUSED TO BARGAIN. ON MAY 18, THE BOARD ISSUED A DECISION FINDING THE

COMPANY COMMITTED A ULP BY DOING SO. NESTLE DRYER HAS APPEALED THE ULP

DECISION TO THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT CHALLENGING

THE MICRO UNION STANDARD.

STATUS: PENDING. NFIB FILED AMICUS BRIEF ON 7/11/12.

NESTLE V. COMBS - TAX

TEXAS SUPREME COURT

BUSINESSES IN TEXAS ARGUE THAT THE STATE'S APPLICATION OF THE BUSINESS FRANCHISE TAX, ALSO KNOWN AS THE MARGINS TAX, DOES NOT TREAT "SIMILARLY SITUATED TAXPAYERS EQUALLY AND UNIFORMLY." THE BUSINESSES BRINGING SUIT AGAINST THE STATE ARGUE THAT THE MARGINS TAX VIOLATES THE EQUAL AND UNIFORM CLAUSE OF THE TEXAS CONSTITUTION. IN ADDITION, THE PLAINTIFFS ARGUE THAT NESTLE MUST FILE AS A MANUFACTURER AND PAY A HIGHER TAX RATE EVEN THOUGH IT HAS NO MANUFACTURING FACILITIES IN THE STATE AND THIS PRACTICE VIOLATES THE COMMERCE CLAUSE OF THE U.S. CONSTITUTION.

STATUS: DECIDED. AMICUS BRIEF FILED IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER 01/04/12.

COURT DISMISSED CASE FOR JURISDICTIONAL ISSUE ON 2/10/12.

NOEL CANNING V. NLRB - LABOR

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE D.C. CIRCUIT

NOEL CANNING HAS CHALLENGED AN NLRB DECISION REQUIRING EMPLOYER TO

NEGOTIATE IN GOOD FAITH. IN DOING SO, THE COURT HAS BEEN ASKED TO

INVALIDATE THE BOARD'S RECESS APPOINTMENTS. COALITION FOR DEMOCRATIC

WORKFORCE JOINED WITH THE U.S. CHAMBER OF COMMERCE TO INTERVENE ON BEHALF

OF NOEL CANNING.

CONCLUDES 12/11/12.

STATUS: PENDING. MOTION TO INTERVENE FILED BY CDW ON 3/15/12. BRIEFING

NORTHROP CORP. EMPLOYEE INS. BENEFIT PLANS V. UNITED STATES ADMINISTRATIVE LAW

U.S. SUPREME COURT CERT. PETITION

THIS TAX CASE DEALS WITH THE IRS' FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE

ADMINISTRATIVE PROCEDURE ACT (APA) WHEN IT PROMULGATED A TEMPORARY

REGULATION. THE CASE EXPANDS UPON THE ISSUES IN HOME CONCRETE V. UNITED

STATES, IN WHICH NFIB FILED AN AMICUS BRIEF IN 2011.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER 7/25/12.

POUNDERS V. ENSERCH E&C - LEGAL REFORM
ARIZONA SUPREME COURT

THE CASE INVOLVES CHOICE-OF-LAW AND APPLICATION OF NEW MEXICO'S STATUTE
OF REPOSE FOR ASBESTOS CLAIMS ARISING FROM IMPROVEMENTS TO REAL PROPERTY.

THE PLAINTIFF WAS EXPOSED TO ASBESTOS AT VARIOUS TIMES (1969-1974 AND
1977-1983) WHILE WORKING AS A WELDER IN NEW MEXICO. OVER TWO DECADES
LATER, PLAINTIFF FILED A PERSONAL INJURY SUIT IN ARIZONA. THE ARIZONA
COURT OF APPEALS HELD THAT NEW MEXICO LAW GOVERNED BECAUSE PLAINTIFF'S
"INJURY" TOOK PLACE IN NEW MEXICO AND NEW MEXICO HAS A MORE SUBSTANTIAL
INTEREST IN HAVING ITS LAW APPLIED. IF ARIZONA LAW WERE FOUND TO APPLY,

THE CLAIM COULD PROCEED BECAUSE ARIZONA'S STATUTE OF REPOSE ONLY APPLIES
TO CONTRACT CLAIMS; IT DOES NOT APPLY TO PERSONAL INJURY OR WRONGFUL
DEATH CLAIMS.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 10/26/12.

PPL MONTANA V. MONTANA - UNCONSTITUTIONAL TAKING OF PROPERTY U.S. SUPREME COURT

THIS CASE STEMS FROM A MONTANA COURT DECISION REGARDING THE PROPERTY
RIGHTS OF CERTAIN RIVERS. THE RESULT OF THE MONTANA DECISION IS THAT
AFTER MORE THAN 100 YEARS, PRIVATE LANDOWNERS HAVE BEEN STRIPPED OF THEIR
OWNERSHIP OF SO-CALLED NAVIGABLE RIVER PROPERTIES AND THE STATE IS
CLAIMING MILLIONS IN RETROACTIVE RENT AND FEES.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 9/7/2011 IN SUPPORT OF PROPERTY OWNERS.

RANDAZZO V. JEFFERSON PARISH - TAX APPEAL PROCESS CHALLENGED LOUISIANA SUPREME COURT

THIS CASE INVOLVES A SALES TAX DISPUTE WITH JEFFERSON PARISH. THE PARISH PROCEEDED WITH A COLLECTION ACTION WITHOUT GIVING THE TAXPAYER THE PROPER DUE PROCESS. THE LOWER COURT AGREED WITH THE TAXPAYER, BUT THE COURT OF APPEALS OVERTURNED THE RULING.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 2/13/12.

RIGGS V. GEORGIA PACIFIC - ASBESTOS REFORM
UTAH SUPREME COURT

THE CASE INVOLVES INTERPRETATION OF UTAH'S 1986 LIABILITY REFORM ACT

(LRA), WHICH ABOLISHED JOINT LIABILITY. THE LRA APPLIES PROSPECTIVELY,

AND PLAINTIFF IS ARGUING SHE WAS "INJURED" WHEN SHE WAS EXPOSED TO

ASBESTOS LONG AGO RATHER THAN WHEN SHE WAS DIAGNOSED WITH MESOTHELIOMA IN

2007. APPLICATION OF THE ACT TO POST-1986 EXPOSURES RATHER THAN

POST-1986 DIAGNOSES IS EXTREMELY SIGNIFICANT. SINCE PROBABLY EVERY UTAH

ASBESTOS CASE INVOLVES PRE-1986 EXPOSURES, PLAINTIFF'S THEORY WOULD

RESULT IN FULL JOINT LIABILITY BEING APPLIED EVERY TIME. THIS WOULD NOT

ONLY NULLIFY THE LAW IN ALL UTAH ASBESTOS CASES BUT ALSO RESULT IN FULL

JOINT LIABILITY BEING APPLIED IN ANY OTHER TOXIC TORT CASE INVOLVING A

PRODUCT WITH A LONG LATENCY PERIOD.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 2/24/12.

RITE AID - NLRB OPENS DOOR TO MORE STEALTH CARD CHECK AGREEMENTS

IN A 3-2 DECISION ON AUGUST 27, THE BOARD VOTED TO REVIEW A LANDMARK 2007 CASE, DANA CORPORATION, IN WHICH IT GRANTED DISSENTING WORKERS THE RIGHT TO UNDO A SUCCESSFUL (I.E., EMPLOYER-RECOGNIZED) UNION CARD CHECK

CAMPAIGN AND DEMAND A SECRET BALLOT ELECTION. PRIOR TO THIS DECISION,
EMPLOYEES HAD NO WAY TO DEMAND AN ELECTION IF THE EMPLOYER AGREED TO
RECOGNIZE A UNION BASED ON AUTHORIZATION CARDS. IN VOTING TO REVISIT THE
DANA CASE, THE OBAMA NLRB HAS SIGNALED ITS INTENT TO DENY WORKERS THE
ABILITY TO VOTE ACCORDING TO THEIR CONSCIENCE AND REMOVE AN UNWANTED
UNION FROM THEIR WORKPLACE. NFIB HAS FILED AN AMICUS BRIEF WITH THE
BOARD, URGING IT NOT TO REVOKE THE NEW PROTECTIONS ACCORDED TO WORKERS
SWEPT INTO UNION RANKS THROUGH CARD CHECK FORCED UNIONISM.

STATUS: PENDING.

ROBINSON TOWNSHIP V. COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA - DEFENSE OF ACT 13
PENNSYLVANIA SUPREME COURT

ACT 13 WOULD MAKE IT EASIER FOR THE OIL AND GAS INDUSTRY TO NAVIGATE

LOCAL ZONING LAWS AND RESTRICTS MUNICIPALITIES FROM INTERFERING WITH

DEVELOPMENT OF OIL AND GAS RESOURCES. NFIB SUPPORTS ENVIRONMENTALLY SOUND

EXPLORATION AND DEVELOPMENT OF NATURAL GAS RESOURCES AND SUPPORTED ACT

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED IN SUPPORT OF COMMONWEALTH 9/4/12.

ROUNDY'S - MEANING OF "DISCRIMINATION" IN NONEMPLOYEE ACCESS CASES
NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

THE NLRB SOLICITED AMICUS BRIEFS TO RESOLVE THE ISSUE OF THE PROPER

DEFINITION OF "DISCRIMINATION" IN CASES WHERE EMPLOYERS DENY NONEMPLOYEE

UNION ORGANIZERS ACCESS TO THE EMPLOYER'S PROPERTY. THE NLRB'S

INTERPRETATION OF THE CURRENT RULE FORCES BUSINESS OWNERS TO PERMIT UNION

ORGANIZERS TO CONDUCT A BOYCOTT ON THE BUSINESS' PRIVATE PROPERTY.

NFIB ARGUED THAT IT IS WRONG TO REQUIRE A BUSINESS OWNER TO ALLOW UNION ORGANIZERS ONTO THEIR PRIVATE PROPERTY FOR THE PURPOSE OF HARMING THE BUSINESS. SINCE BOYCOTTS ARE ESPECIALLY DEVASTATING TO SMALL BUSINESSES, THE BOARD'S CURRENT INTERPRETATION IMPERMISSIBLY INTRUDES ON BUSINESS OWNERS PRIVATE PROPERTY RIGHTS.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED ON 1/7/11.

SACKETT V. EPA - REGULATORY TAKING

U.S. SUPREME COURT - CERT PETITION AND MERITS BRIEF

THE CASE CONCERNS A CLEAN WATER ACT VIOLATION THAT THE ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY ISSUED TO THE SACKETTS, LANDOWNERS IN IDAHO. EPA CLAIMS THE LAND IS SUBJECT TO THE CWA, AND WHEN THE SACKETTS PLACED FILL MATERIAL ON THE LOT FOR THE CONSTRUCTION OF A HOME, EPA ISSUED AN ADMINISTRATIVE COMPLIANCE ORDER DIRECTING THE SACKETTS TO REMOVE THE FILL AND RESTORE THE LOT TO ITS ORIGINAL CONDITION. A THREE-JUDGE PANEL OF THE NINTH CIRCUIT RULED THEY CANNOT GET JUDICIAL REVIEW UNLESS THEY FIRST GO THROUGH THE LIKELY FUTILE PROCESS OF APPLYING FOR A FEDERAL WETLANDS

Employer identification number 62-1570449

PERMIT; A PROCESS THAT COULD TAKE YEARS AND COST TENS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS.

STATUS: PENDING. NFIB AMICUS BRIEF FILED ON 3/25/11. COURT ACCEPTED REVIEW AND MERITS BRIEF FILED ON 9/30/11.

SUMMARY OF LEGAL CASES FOR 2012 (CONT.)

SCHLAUD V. SNYDER- FIGHTING THE FORCED UNIONIZATION OF HOME DAY-CARE WORKERS

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

THIS CASE CONCERNS THE COMPULSORY UNIONIZATION OF HOME DAY CARE PROVIDERS WHERE INDEPENDENT BUSINESSES WILL BE FORCED TO PAY UNION DUES AND SERVICE FEES TO NATIONAL UNIONS, BUT THEIR EMPLOYEES WILL NOT HAVE ACCESS TO ANY OF THE BENEFITS TRADITIONALLY SOUGHT BY EMPLOYEES WHO UNIONIZE.

STATUS: PENDING. NFIB AMICUS BRIEF FILED 3/17/11.

SECRETARY OF LABOR V. VOLKS CONSTRUCTORS A/K/A

AKM LLC V. SECRETARY OF LABOR - FIGHTING TO UPHOLD OSHA'S STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS

OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH REVIEW COMMISSION

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE D.C. CIRCUIT

THE NFIB LEGAL CENTER FILED A BRIEF ASKING OSHA'S REVIEW COMMISSION TO UPHOLD THE SIX-MONTH TIME LIMIT FOR OSHA TO ISSUE A CITATION FOR A

Employer identification number 62-1570449

RECORD-KEEPING VIOLATION.

DESPITE THIS LAW, OSHA CONTINUES TO ISSUE CITATIONS FOR FAILING TO

PROPERLY RECORD INJURIES IN ITS FORM 300 LOG FOR VIOLATIONS THAT HAVE

OCCURRED UP TO FIVE YEARS AGO. THE NFIB LEGAL CENTER BELIEVES THAT MAKING

SMALL BUSINESSES SPEND TIME AND MONEY TRYING TO RECALL THE FACTS AND FIND

FORMER EMPLOYEES IN AN ATTEMPT TO DEFEND AGAINST STALE CLAIMS IS UNFAIR.

STATUS: PENDING. NFIB AMICUS BRIEF FILED ON 11/27/07. IN A 2-1 DECISION,
THE COMMISSION AFFIRMED OSHA'S AUTHORITY TO PUNISH EMPLOYERS FOR
RECORDKEEPING VIOLATIONS THAT OCCURRED UP TO FIVE YEARS BEFORE THE
EXPIRATION OF THE SIX-MONTH STATUTE OF LIMITATIONS GOVERNING SUCH
RECORDKEEPING ERRORS, ON THE GROUNDS THAT IMPROPER RECORDKEEPING MAY
CONSTITUTE A CONTINUING VIOLATION OF OSHA'S MANDATORY FIVE-YEAR RECORD
RETENTION REGULATION. EMPLOYER APPEALED TO FEDERAL COURT. AMICUS BRIEF
FILED 8/3/11.

SEE'S CANDY SHOPS - WAGE AND HOUR (ROUNDING PRACTICES)

CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT - PETITION FOR REVIEW

CALIFORNIA COURT OF APPEAL 4TH APPELLATE DISTRICT (REMANDED)

THE CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT GRANTED SEE'S CANDY'S PETITION FOR REVIEW ON THE QUESTION OF WHETHER TIME ROUNDING POLICIES ARE LEGAL UNDER CALIFORNIA LAW. THE ISSUE WAS REMANDED TO THE COURT OF APPEAL WHERE NFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER FILED AN AMICUS ARGUING THAT CALIFORNIA LAW

62-1570449

COMPORTS WITH FEDERAL REGULATIONS ALLOWING TIME ROUNDING.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED IN SUPPORT OF THE EMPLOYER ON 4/10/12.

SIMPKINS V. CSX TRANSPORTATION - WORKPLACE ASBESTOS EXPOSURE (PREMISES LIABILITY)

SUPREME COURT OF ILLINOIS

THE BRIEF ARGUES THAT PREMISES OWNERS, SUCH AS THE DEFENDANT RAILROAD,

OWE NO LEGAL DUTY OF CARE TO REMOTE PLAINTIFFS ALLEGEDLY INJURED AS A

RESULT OF SECONDHAND EXPOSURE TO ASBESTOS OR OTHER SUBSTANCES EMITTED IN

THE WORKPLACE. THE SUBJECT ACTION INVOLVES THE ESTATE OF A WOMAN WHO WAS

ALLEGEDLY EXPOSED TO ASBESTOS CARRIED HOME ON THE PERSON AND CLOTHING OF

HER FORMER HUSBAND, WHO WORKED FOR THE DEFENDANT'S PREDECESSOR RAILROAD

FROM 1958 TO 1964.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS CURIAE BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF CSX TRANSPORTATION FILED 4/25/11. COURT DENIED AMICUS BRIEFS ON 5/31/11.

SPECIALTY HEALTHCARE - NLRB DECIDES WHETHER "MICRO UNIONS" PERMITTED NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS BOARD

THE NLRB WILL SOON DECIDE WHETHER OR NOT LABOR UNIONS WILL BE ALLOWED TO BREAK OFF DIFFERENT SECTIONS OF WORKFORCES INTO SMALL GROUPS TO ORGANIZE FIVE OR 10 WORKERS AT A TIME INSTEAD OF THE WHOLE WORKPLACE AT ONCE - OR

Employer identification number 62-1570449

ORGANIZE USING "MICRO UNIONS."

THE "MICRO UNIONS" WOULD ESSENTIALLY ALLOW LABOR ORGANIZERS TO SECTION OFF COMPANY EMPLOYEES BY SPECIFIC JOB DESCRIPTIONS. FOR EXAMPLE, IF A UNION WERE TRYING TO ORGANIZE A RESTAURANT STAFF, LEADERS WOULD TARGET SERVERS, BUSBOYS, DISHWASHERS, COOKS AND HOSTESSES SEPARATELY.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 3/7/11.

SPIRIT AIRLINES V. DEPT. OF TRANSPORTATION - REGULATORY AND FIRST
AMENDMENT

U.S. SUPREME COURT - CERT PETITION

IN 2012, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION ISSUED A REGULATION THAT REQUIRES AIRLINES TO LIST THE TOTAL FEE FOR A TICKET (INCLUDING TAXES) IN ON-LINE AND PRINT ADVERTISING. THE RULE ESSENTIALLY PROHIBITS AIRLINES FROM HIGHLIGHTING OR CRITICIZING MANDATORILY-IMPOSED TAXES.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 12/27/12.

STATE OF FLORIDA V. HHS - NFIB SUES TO STOP HEALTH CARE LAW

U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE ELEVENTH CIRCUIT

U.S. SUPREME COURT

ON MAY 14, 2010, NFIB JOINED THE MULTI-STATE LAWSUIT CHALLENGING THE

CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE PATIENT PROTECTION AND AFFORDABLE CARE ACT. THE SUIT WAS FILED ON BEHALF OF NFIB BY THE NFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER IN U.S. DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA. THERE ARE A NUMBER OF LEGAL CLAIMS IN THE LAWSUIT, HOWEVER, NFIB IS PRIMARILY CONCERNED ABOUT THE UNCONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE INDIVIDUAL MANDATE. NFIB STRONGLY BELIEVES THAT CONGRESS LACKS THE AUTHORITY TO FORCE AMERICANS TO PURCHASE A PRIVATE PRODUCT, SUCH AS HEALTH INSURANCE, OR FACE PAYING A PENALTY.

ON JANUARY 31, 2011, FEDERAL DISTRICT JUDGE ROGER VINSON RULED THAT THE INDIVIDUAL MANDATE IN THE HEALTHCARE LAW IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL. JUDGE VINSON ALSO FOUND THAT THE MANDATE CANNOT BE SEVERED FROM THE REST OF THE HEALTHCARE LAW, THE ENTIRE ACT MUST BE DECLARED VOID."

STATUS: AFTER SUBSEQUENT APPEAL AND DECISION BY THE U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE 11TH CIRCUIT, THE CASE MOVED TO THE U.S. SUPREME COURT. IN A

DECISION ISSUED JUNE 28, 2012, THE COURT UPHELD THE HEALTHCARE LAW AND

REJECTED NFIB'S CHALLENGE.

TAYLOR V. EASTERN CONNECTION OPERATING, INC. - WAGE & HOUR SUPREME JUDICIAL COURT OF MASSACHUSETTS

THIS CASE ISSUE INVOLVES THE POTENTIAL EXTRATERRITORIAL APPLICATION OF
THE MASSACHUSETTS WAGE ACT. THREE INDEPENDENT CONTRACTORS WHO RESIDE AND
WORK IN NEW YORK SUED EASTERN CONNECTION IN MASSACHUSETTS. PLAINTIFFS

62-1570449

SOUGHT TO PROSECUTE CLAIMS UNDER THE MASSACHUSETTS INDEPENDENT CONTRACTOR STATUTE, THE MINIMUM WAGE ACT, AND THE MINIMUM OVERTIME LAW. THE SUPERIOR COURT GRANTED EASTERN CONNECTION'S RULE 12(B) MOTION TO DISMISS ON THE GROUNDS THAT NEW YORK, RATHER THAN MASSACHUSETTS LAW APPLIES, BECAUSE "NONE OF THE PLAINTIFFS HAVE ANY CONTACT WITH MASSACHUSETTS OTHER THAN THROUGH THEIR EMPLOYMENT BY THE DEFENDANT." PLAINTIFFS APPEALED THE DISMISSAL, AND THE SJC HAS TAKEN THE APPEAL.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 11/30/12. ORAL ARGUMENT SET FOR 1/8/13.

U.S. V. HOME CONCRETE & SUPPLY, LLC - CHALLENGING RETROACTIVE IRS RULE
U.S. SUPREME COURT

THE COURT IS BEING ASKED TO DETERMINE WHETHER A FINAL REGULATION

PROMULGATED BY THE IRS, WHICH REFLECTS THE IRS'S VIEW THAT AN

UNDERSTATEMENT OF GROSS INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO AN OVERSTATEMENT OF BASIS

CAN TRIGGER THE EXTENDED SIX-YEAR ASSESSMENT PERIOD, IS ENTITLED TO

JUDICIAL DEFERENCE. THIS CASE WILL HAVE IMPORTANT RAMIFICATIONS ON THE

LEVEL OF DEFERENCE IRS REGULATIONS RECEIVE, SINCE THE RULE ESSENTIALLY

OVERTURNS EXISTING SUPREME COURT PRECEDENT AND APPLIES RETROACTIVELY.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED IN SUPPORT OF RESPONDENT ON 12/22/11.

U.S. SUPREME COURT

WOULD INCREASE.

62-1570449

VANCE V. BALL STATE - TITLE VII LIABILITY

NFIB FILED AN AMICUS BRIEF SUPPORTING DEFENDANT BALL STATE UNIVERSITY.

PLAINTIFF FILED A COMPLAINT WITH THE EEOC ALLEGING THAT COWORKERS AND AT LEAST ONE "SUPERVISOR" CREATED A HOSTILE WORK ENVIRONMENT BY MAKING OFFENSIVE, RACIALLY CHARGED COMMENTS. THE STANDARDS CREATING EMPLOYER LIABILITY VARIES FOR SUPERVISORS AND CO-WORKERS, THUS THE CLAIM BOILED DOWN TO THE COURT'S DEFINITION OF "SUPERVISOR." THE 7TH CIRCUIT DECLINED TO ADOPT A BROADER DEFINITION OF "SUPERVISOR" THAT IS USED BY OTHER CIRCUIT COURTS, AND THE SUPREME COURT GRANTED CERTIORARI TO RESOLVE THE CIRCUIT SPLIT. IF THE SUPREME COURT ACCEPTS THE BROADER DEFINITION OF "SUPERVISOR," THE THREAT OF EMPLOYER LIABILITY AND FRIVOLOUS LITIGATION

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED ON 10/26/12. ORAL ARGUMENT SET FOR 11/26/12.

WATTS V. COX MEDICAL CENTER - DAMAGE CAP
MISSOURI SUPREME COURT

THE COURT HAS BEEN ASKED TO DETERMINE THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF MISSOURI'S DAMAGES CAP.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 12/30/11.

5300HP 1841

Employer identification number

62-1570449

WHITING V. CBS CORP. AND CRANE CO. - DUTY TO WARN OF ASBESTOS DANGER
COMMONWEALTH OF MASS. APPEALS COURT

THE COURT WILL DETERMINE WHETHER THE DEFENDANTS HAD A DUTY TO WARN OF THE ASBESTOS-RELATED HAZARDS IN REPLACEMENT GASKETS AND PACKING AND EXTERNAL INSULATION MADE BY THIRD PARTIES AND INSTALLED BY THE NAVY.

STATUS: PENDING. AMICUS BRIEF FILED 6/8/12.

FORM 990 PROVIDED TO GOVERNING BODY

PART VI, SECTION B: POLICIES, LINE 11

FOLLOWING AN INDEPENDENT AUDIT OF ITS FINANCIAL STATEMENTS, A DRAFT OF
NFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER'S FORM 990 IS PREPARED. THIS FORM 990
IS REVIEWED INTERNALLY BY NFIB'S TAX ACCOUNTANT, CONTROLLER/TREASURER,
AND SVP/CFO. ANY QUESTIONS ARISING FROM THE INITIAL REVIEW ARE ADDRESSED
TO ENSURE THE RETURN IS COMPLETE AND ACCURATE. ANY NECESSARY
CHANGES/CORRECTIONS ARE MADE ON THE FORM 990 AND THE RETURN AGAIN GOES
THROUGH NFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER'S INTERNAL REVIEW PROCESS.

UPON APPROVAL OF THE SVP/CFO, THE FINAL RETURN IS FILED WITH THE INTERNAL
REVENUE SERVICE. THE FINAL RETURN IS MADE AVAILABLE TO THE BOARD OF
DIRECTORS FOR REVIEW.

WRITTEN CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY

PART VI, SECTION B: POLICIES, LINE 12

EVERY BOARD MEMBER, OFFICER, AND KEY EMPLOYEE OF NFIB SMALL BUSINESS

5300HP 1841

LEGAL CENTER IS REQUIRED TO DISCLOSE ANY ACTUAL OR POTENTIAL CONFLICTS OF INTEREST ON AN ANNUAL BASIS.

PROCESS OF DETERMINING COMPENSATION FOR OFFICERS AND OTHER KEY EMPLOYEES

PART VI, SECTION B: POLICIES, LINE 15

THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS IS RESPONSIBLE FOR

DETERMINING COMPENSATION FOR THE CEO, CFO, SECRETARY AND SVP OF THE

ORGANIZATION. THE TREASURER'S AND EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S COMPENSATION IS

REVIEWED AND SET BY THE CEO. IN NOVEMBER 2011, AN OUTSIDE COMPENSATION

CONSULTING FIRM WAS ENGAGED TO PROVIDE EXPERT ANALYSES REGARDING THE

REASONABLENESS OF THE TOTAL COMPENSATION PACKAGE FOR THE EXECUTIVES OF

NFIB AND ITS AFFILIATED ORGANIZATIONS. THE 2011-2012 RESULTS ALONG WITH

AN IRC 4958 OPINION LETTER WERE PROVIDED TO THE CHAIRMAN OF THE BOARD FOR

THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AT THE FEBRUARY 2012 MEETING.

THE COMMITTEE RELIES ON THIS INDEPENDENT REVIEW TO ENSURE THAT REASONABLE COMPENSATION IS PAID TO THE CEO, CFO, SECRETARY AND SVP. THE COMMITTEE'S PHILOSOPHY IS TO ENSURE THAT THE COMPENSATION FOR THESE POSITIONS RELATIVE TO MARKET COMPARISONS IS COMPETITIVE IN ORDER TO ATTRACT, RETAIN AND MOTIVATE QUALIFIED EMPLOYEES WHILE NOT BEING AT THE TOP OF THE RANGE.

THE COMMITTEE SETS THE COMPENSATION FOR THE CEO, CFO, SECRETARY AND SVP

EACH YEAR DURING THEIR MEETING WHICH IS TYPICALLY HELD IN JANUARY OR

FEBRUARY. MINUTES FROM THESE ANNUAL MEETINGS ARE TAKEN BY THE CORPORATE

SECRETARY DURING THE MEETING. WHEN THE MINUTES ARE REVIEWED AND APPROVED,

Employer identification number 62-1570449

THEY ARE RETAINED WITH ALL OTHER CORPORATE RECORDS.

PART VI, SECTION C: DISCLOSURE, LINE 19

DOCUMENTS AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC

IT IS NFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER'S ("THE CENTER") POLICY TO MAKE AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION, UPON REQUEST, EITHER WRITTEN OR IN PERSON, ITS EXEMPTION APPLICATION, SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS AND ANY LETTER OR DOCUMENT ISSUED BY THE IRS CONCERNING THE APPLICATION. THE CENTER ALSO MAKES AVAILABLE FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION AND COPYING, UPON REQUEST, EITHER WRITTEN OR IN PERSON, ITS FEDERAL FORM 990, RETURN OF ORGANIZATION EXEMPT FROM INCOME TAX. THE FORM 990 IS AVAILABLE FOR A THREE-YEAR PERIOD BEGINNING WITH THE DUE DATE OF THE RETURN (INCLUDING ANY EXTENSION OF TIME FOR FILING). THE FOUNDATION'S CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY IS ALSO AVAILABLE TO THE PUBLIC UPON REQUEST, EITHER WRITTEN OR IN PERSON.

ATTACHMENT 1

## FORM 990, PART III, LINE 1 - ORGANIZATION'S MISSION

THE NFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER IS A NONPROFIT PUBLIC BENEFIT CORPORATION CREATED UNDER THE TENNESSEE NONPROFIT CORPORATION ACT. IT IS ORGANIZED EXCLUSIVELY FOR CHARITABLE, EDUCATIONAL, AND SCIENTIFIC PURPOSES AS PERMITTED BY SECTION 501(C)(3) OF THE INTERNAL REVENUE CODE OF 1986, AS AMENDED (THE "CODE"), INCLUDING, FOR SUCH PURPOSES, MAKING DISTRIBUTIONS TO ORGANIZATIONS THAT QUALIFY AS EXEMPT ORGANIZATIONS UNDER SECTION 501(C)(3) OF THE CODE. THE LEGAL CENTER IS A SUPPORTING ORGANZIATION PURSUANT TO SECTION 509(A)(3) OF THE CODE AND IS ORGANIZED AND OPERATED FOR THE BENEFIT OF NATIONAL FEDERATION OF INDEPENDENT BUSINESS ("NFIB"), WHICH IS A 501(C)(6) ORGANIZATION. THE LEGAL CENTER IS ORGANIZED TO CARRY ON CHARITABLE

Name of the organization
NFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER

Employer Identification number

62-1570449

ATTACHMENT 1 (CONT'D)

# FORM 990, PART III, LINE 1 - ORGANIZATION'S MISSION

ACTIVITIES OF PROVIDING LEGAL EDUCATION AND REPRESENTATION ON ISSUES OF BROAD PUBLIC INTEREST.

ATTACHMENT 2

# FORM 990, PART VI, LINE 17 - STATES

AL, AK, AZ, AR, CA, CO, CT,

DC, FL, GA, HI, IL, KS, KY, ME, MD, MA, MI,

MN, MS, NH, NJ, NM, NY, NC, ND, OH, OK, OR, PA,

RI, SC, TN, UT, VA, WA, WV, WI,

ATTACHMENT 3

# 990, PART VII- COMPENSATION OF THE FIVE HIGHEST PAID IND. CONTRACTORS

NAME AND ADDRESS

DESCRIPTION OF SERVICES

COMPENSATION

JONES DAY

LEGAL SERVICE

634,270.

51 LOUISIANA AVE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20001

CREATIVE RESPONSE CONCEPTS

2760 EISENHOWER AVE, 4TH FLOOR

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314

PUBLIC RELATIONS

236,123.

# ATTACHMENT 4

## FORM 990, PART IX - OTHER FEES

	(A)	(B)	(0)	(D)
	TOTAL	PROGRAM	MANAGEMENT	FUNDRAISING
DESCRIPTION	FEES	SERVICE EXP.	AND GENERAL	EXPENSES
PUBLIC RELATIONS	236,123.	236,123.	0	0
OTHER EXPENSES	92,259.	2,477.	1,232.	88,550.

328,382.

238,600.

1,232.

88,550.

TOTALS

# SCHEDULE R (Form 990)

Name of the organization

Department of the Treasury

Internal Revenue Service

Related Organizations and Unrelated Partnerships

OMB No. 1545-0047	2012	Open to Public

► Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 33, 34, 35, 36, or 37. ▼ See separate instructions. ▶ Attach to Form 990.

Employer identification number 62-1570449

> Identification of Disregarded Ertitles (Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 33.) NFIB SMALL BUSINESS LEGAL CENTER Part

770	(a) Name, address, and EIN (if applicable) of disregarded entity	(b) Primary activity	(c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country)	(d) Total income	(e) End-of-year assets	(f) Direct controlling entity
(1)						
	(2)					
(3)	(3)					
(4)						
(5)						
(9)						
Part II	Identification of Related Tax-Exempt Organizations (Complete if the one or more related tax-exempt organizations during the tax year.)	(Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 34 because it had the tax year.)	wered "Yes" to Fo	rm 990, Part IV,	line 34 because	it had

			47	3	5	(0)	
(a) Name, address, and EIN of related org∈nization	(b) Primary activity	(c) Legal domicile (state or foreign country)	(a) Exempl Code section	Public charity status (if section 501(c)(3))	trolling y	Section 512(b)(13) controlled entity?	2(b)(13) iled /?
						Yes	Š
PENDENT BUS	C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	É	(9) (5)	N/A	N/A		×
53 CENTURY BLVD., SUITE 250 NASHITLES, IN SIZE	MEM. KEFKES.	47	101 101	== /.=			
(2) NFIB RESEARCH FOUNDATION 04-3592337	26					;	
53 CENTURY BLVD., SUITE 250 NASHVILLE, IN 37214	RESEARCH	TN	501(C)(3)	SUP. ORG. I NFIB	NFIB	×	!
1_				i		;	
53 CENTURY BLVD., SUITE 250 NASHVILLE, TN 37214	EDUCATION	TN	501(C)(3)	SUP. ORG. I	INFIB	×	
(4) NFIB SAVE AMERICAS FREE ENTERPRISE TRUST 94-2532364					!	;	
53 CENTURY BLVD., SUITE 250 NASHVILLE, IN 37214	PAC	CA	527	N/A	NFIB	×	
(5) NFIB, THE VOICE OF FREE ENTERPRISE 27-3615830						;	
S3 CENTURY BLVD., SUITE 250 NASH/ILLE, IN 37214	SOC. WELFARE	TN	501(C)(4)	N/A	NFIB	×	
(9)							
(7)	E)						1
and the landsman day and the landsman for Form 090					Schedule R (Form 990) 2012	R (Form 99	30) 2012

For Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the Instructions for Form 990.

2E1307 1.000 5300HP 1841

52737

Section 512(b)(13) controlled entity? Page 2 res No (k) Percentage ownership (h) Percen-tage ownership Yes No Identification of Related Organizations Taxable as a Corporation or Trust (Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, General or managing partner? Identification of Related Organizations Taxable as a Partnership (Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 34 because it had one or more related organizations treated as a partnership during the tax year.) (g) Share of end-of-year assets Code V-UB! amount in box 20 of Schedule K-1 (Form 1065) (f) Share of total (h) Disproportomás altocatora? ŝ income Yes (g) Share of end-of-year assets Type of entity (C corp, S corp, or trust) line 34 because it had one or more related organizations treated as a corporation or trust during the tax year.) (f) Share of total income (d)
(Direct controlling entity × V (e)
Predominant
income (related,
unrelated,
excluded from
tax under
sections 512-514) Legal domicile (state or foreign country) ១ 5 MEMBER BENEFITS (b) Primary activity (d)
Direct controlling pentity 94-2899404 (c) Legal domícile (state or foreign country) 53 CENTURY BLVD., SUITE 250 NASHVILLE, TN 37214-3682 (a) Name, address, and EIN of related organization (b) Primary activity (1) NFIB MEMBER SERVICES CORPORATION (a) Name, address, and EIN of related organization Schedule R (Form 990) 2012 Part IV Part III (C) 3 3 **£ 6** 9 9 ଷ୍ପ 3

Schedule R (Form 990) 2012

S

9

Transactions With Related Organizations (Complete if the organization answered "Yes" to Form 990, Part IV, line 34, 35b, or 36.) Part V

Schedule R (Form 990) 2012 ×× (d) Method of determining 371 Yes × If the answer to any of the above is "Yes," see the instructions for information on who must complete this line, including covered relationships and transaction thresholds. **1**q e ţ, Ξ FMV FMV FMV 117,158. 388,440. 202,581 Amount involved During the tax year, did the organization engage in any of the following transactions with one or more related organizations listed in Parts II-IV? (b) Transaction type (a-s) U z щ LINE LINE LINE Performance of services or membership or fundraising solicitations for related organization(s) Receipt of (i) interest (ii) annuities (iii) royalties or (iv) rent from a controlled entity..... Sharing of facilities, equipment, mailing lists, or other assets with related organization(s) Lease of facilities, equipment, or other assets from related organization(s) Note. Complete line 1 if any entity is listed in Parts II, III, or IV of this schedule. Sharing of paid employees with related organization(s)..... NATIONAL FEDERATION OF INDEPENDENT BUSINESS NATIONAL FEDERATION OF INDEPENDENT BUSINESS Gift, grant, or capital contribution from related organization(s) Other transfer of cash or property from related organization(s) Other transfer of cash or property to related organization(s) Narre of other organization Giff, grant, or capital contribution to related organization(s) Loans or loan guarantees to or for related organization(s) NFIB THE VOICE OF FREE ENTERPRISE Sale of assets to related organization(s) Ε \_ ල 7 = 0 ۵ 6 티 3 **~** \_ 9 9 q фT 3 ပ ~

5300HP 1841

2E1309 1.000

Schedule R (Form 990) 2012

Unrelated Organizations Taxable as a Partnership (Complete if the organization answered "Yes" on Form 990, Part IV, line 37.) Part VI

Provide the following information for each entity taxed as a partnership through which the organization conducted more than five percent of its activities (measured by total assets or gross revenue) that was not a related organization. See instructions regarding exclusion for certain investment partnerships.

(a) (b) Legal Name, address, and EIN of entity (state coo	(b) Primary activity	(c) Legal domicite (state or foreign country)	(d) Predominant income (related, nnrelated, excluded	(e) Are all partners section 501(c)(3) organizations?	(f) Share of total income	(g) Share of end-of-year assets	(h) Disproportionate attocations?	<u></u>	(i) Code V-UBI amount in box 20 of Schedule K-1 (Form 1065)	38.85		(k) Percentage ownership
			section 512-514)	Yes No			Yes	Ŷ.	+	Xes .	S.	
(1)							1.0					
(2)												
(3)								7.2				
44)												
(9)			,									
<u></u>												
<u>(I)</u>	<b>:</b>					:						
(8)						4						
(6)	30											
(10)											.	
(11)												
(12)												
(13)												
(14)												ļ
(15)												
(16)											-	
									Sche	dule R (	Form 99	Schedule R (Form 990) 2012

Schedule R (Form 990) 2012

Page 5

Part VII Supplemental Information

Complete this part to provide additional information for responses to questions on Schedule R (see instructions).