USDC SCAN INDEX SHEET

















CGL 8/4/04 10:02

3:04-CV-01143 AL RAWI V. TITAN CORPORATION

11

AMDCMP.

FILED William J. Aceves (CA Bar # 151031) 225 Cedar Street 2 San Diego, CA 92101 (619) 515-1589 JUL 3 0 2004 Counsel for Plaintiffs 3 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRIC 6 FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA Case No. 04 CV 1143 SALEH, an individual; SAMI ABBAS AL RAWI, an individual; MWAFAQ SAMI ABBAS AL RAWI, an individual; AHMED, an individual; CLASS ACTION ISMAEL, an individual; NEISEF, an individual; SECOND AMENDED CLASS ESTATE OF IBRAHIEM, the heirs and estate of an individual; RASHEED, an individual; JOHN DOE ACTION COMPLAINT NO. 1; JANE DOE NO. 2; CLASSES OF ALLEGING VIOLATIONS OF PERSONS SIMILARLY SITUATED, KNOWN RICO, CONSPIRACY TO HEREINAFTER AS JOHN and JANE DOES NOS. VIOLATE RICO, VIOLATIONS 13 3 - 1050, OF THE ALIEN TORT CLAIMS ACT, VIOLATIONS OF THE 14 Plaintiffs, GENEVA CONVENTIONS, VIOLATIONS OF THE UNITED 15 STATES CONSTITUTION, TITAN CORPORATION, a Delaware Corporation; VIOLATIONS OF THE ADEL NAHKLA, a Titan employee located in Abu **RELIGIOUS LAND USE AND** INSTITUTIONALIZED PERSONS Ghraib, Iraq; CACI INTERNATIONAL INC., a Delaware Corporation; CACI INCORPORATED – ACT, AND COMMON LAW FEDERAL, a Delaware Corporation; CACI N.V., a TORTS. Netherlands corporation; STEPHEN A. STEFANOWICZ, a CACI employee located in Abu Ghraib, Iraq; and JOHN B. ISRAEL, a Titan [DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL] subcontractor located in Abu Ghraib, Iraq, 20 FILED BY FACSIMILE Defendants. 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Case No. 04cv1143 R (NLS)

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

1. This class action alleges that Defendants engaged in a pattern of racketeering activity, violated United States domestic and international law and intentionally and negligently committed a series of tortious acts against Plaintiffs. Defendants contracted with the United States to provide interrogation and other related intelligence services. Instead of providing such services in a lawful manner, they conspired with each other and with certain United States government officials to direct and conduct a scheme to torture, rape, and, in some instances, summarily execute Plaintiffs. This action seeks a permanent injunction against this illegal conduct, compensatory and punitive damages, treble damages and attorneys fees under the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ("RICO"), declaratory relief, and a permanent injunction against any future contracting with the United States.

PARTIES

- 2. Plaintiff Saleh ("Plaintiff Saleh") is a Swedish citizen residing in both Sweden and Dearborn, Michigan. Plaintiff Saleh opposed Saddam Hussein, who had him imprisoned and tortured in the Abu Ghraib prison in Iraq. After being released from prison, Plaintiff Saleh fled from Iraq to Sweden. After the fall of the Hussein regime, Plaintiff Saleh responded to United States' plea for expatriates to return and help rebuild Iraq. Plaintiff Saleh returned to Iraq with funds to invest and rebuild the country. Upon his arrival on or about September 25, 2003, he was detained, sent to the same Abu Ghraib prison where he had been tortured by Saddam Hussein, and was tortured, abused, and otherwise mistreated by the Defendants and their Co-Conspirators.
- 3. Plaintiff Sami Abbas Majdel Al Rawi ("Plaintiff Sami") is a 56-year old Iraqi citizen, residing at Bhagdad Amirya PL636, St 74, House No. 19, Bhagdad, Iraq. He owns and manages a company in Baghdad that had entered into a number of reconstruction contracts with the United States government. On March 1, 2004, Plaintiff Sami was arrested and detained at the Baghdad International Airport Prison, together with his four sons. Plaintiff Sami was tortured, abused, and otherwise mistreated by the Defendants and their co-conspirators. Plaintiff Sami was released without charge on March 6, 2004.

- 4. Plaintiff Mwafaq Sami Abbas Al Rawi ("Plaintiff Mwafaq") is the 28-year old son of Plaintiff Sami. Plaintiff Mwafaq is a lawyer. He was arrested and detained with Plaintiff Sami and his three brothers on March 1, 2004 at the Baghdad International Airport. Plaintiff Mwafaq was tortured, abused, and otherwise mistreated by the Defendants and their co-conspirators. Plaintiff Mwafaq was released without charge on March 6, 2004.
- 5. Plaintiff Ahmed ("Plaintiff Ahmed") is an Iraqi released without charge after five months of detention in Abu Ghraib Prison, Tent No. 7, Camp No. 3. His prison number was No. 154120. Plaintiff Ahmed was tortured, abused, and otherwise mistreated by the Defendants and their co-conspirators.
- 6. Plaintiff Ismael ("Plaintiff Ismael") is an Iraqi released without charge on June 6, 2004, after months of detention in Abu Ghraib Prison in Tent No. 7, Camp No. 3. He also was detained in the Buka Prison. His prison number was No. 154110. Plaintiff Ismael was tortured, abused, and otherwise mistreated by the Defendants and their co-conspirators. He is concerned about his son, Burban, who remains in detention in an unknown location.
- 7. Plaintiff Neisef ("Plaintiff Neisef") is an Iraqi who was detained for seven months in Abu Ghraib Prison, Tent No. 7, Camp No. 3, and for five months in Buka Prison. Plaintiff Neisef was tortured, abused, and otherwise mistreated by the Defendants and their co-conspirators.
- 8. Plaintiff Estate of Ibrahiem ("Ibrahiem Estate Plaintiff") is the heirs and estate of Ibrahiem, a 63-year old man who died in Abu Ghraib Prison as a result of acts and inactions by Defendants and their co-conspirators.
- 9. Plaintiff Rasheed ("Plaintiff Rasheed") is an Iraqi citizen who was detained and tortured in Iraq. Upon information and belief, the Defendants participated in torturing, abusing, and otherwise mistreating Plaintiff Rasheed.
- 10. Plaintiff John Doe No. 1 is an Iraqi citizen who was recently released without charge from the Abu Ghraib Prison. Plaintiff John Doe No. 1 was tortured, abused, and otherwise mistreated by the Defendants and their co-conspirators. The identity of Plaintiff John Doe No. 1 is known to counsel, but he has asked not to be publicly identified due to concerns about his safety.

- 11. Plaintiff Jane Doe No. 2 is an Iraqi citizen who was released without charge on January 22, 2004. She is a 55-year old English teacher. Her 70-year old husband had been tortured to death in Abu Ghraib Prison during the Saddam Hussein regime. Plaintiff Jane Doe No. 2 was tortured, abused, and otherwise mistreated by the Defendants and their co-conspirators. The identity of Plaintiff Jane Doe No. 1 is known to counsel, but she has asked not to be publicly identified due to concerns about her safety.
- 12. Plaintiffs John and Jane Does Nos. 3 500 are the Class of persons who (a) have been forcibly detained in prisons or facilities in or around Iraq subsequent to the fall of the Hussein regime; (b) have been subjected to conditions and abuses that violate United States domestic law, international treaties, and norms of customary international humanitarian and human rights law; and (c) have suffered injuries to their properties and businesses as a result of those conditions and abuses. (This Class shall hereinafter be known as the "RICO Class.")
- 13. Plaintiffs John and Jane Does Nos. 500 1000 are the Class of persons who (a) have been forcibly detained in prisons or facilities in or around Iraq subsequent to the fall of the Hussein regime; (b) have been or will be subjected to conditions and abuses that violate United States domestic law, international treaties, and norms of customary international humanitarian and human rights law; and (c) have suffered injuries as a result of the treatment. (This Class shall hereinafter be known as the "Common Law Class.")
- 14. Plaintiffs John and Jane Does Nos. 1001-1050 are the Class of the estates and heirs of persons who (a) were detained in Iraq; (b) were subjected to conditions and abuse that violates United States domestic law, international treaties, and norms of customary international humanitarian and human rights law; and (c) wrongfully died as a result of those conditions and abuses. (This Class shall hereinafter be known as the "Wrongful Death Class.")
- 15. Defendant Titan Corporation (hereinafter "Defendant Titan") is a publicly traded corporation with headquarters located at 3033 Science Park Road, San Diego, California 92121-1199. Defendant Titan Corporation was formed and incorporated under the laws of Delaware. Defendant Titan Corporation acted at all times relevant to this action through individual agents and employees, who are hereinafter subsumed within the term "Defendant Titan."

8

13

11

14

17

16

18 19

20 21

23

22

24 25

26

- 16. Defendant Titan Corporation employed and directed the action of Defendant Adel Nahkla, an individual identified by the United States as participating in illegal conduct at the Abu Ghraib Prison in Iraq.
- 17. Defendant Titan Corporation retained and/or employed Defendant John Israel as an employee, representative, and/or agent and who was an individual identified by the United States as participating in illegal conduct at the Abu Ghraib Prison in Iraq.
- 18. As an employee and agent of Defendant Titan, and acting within his scope of authority, Defendant Nahkla participated directly and indirectly in illegal conduct at the Abu Ghraib Prison in Iraq and, upon information and belief, other locations.
- As a representative, constructive employee, and/or agent of Defendant Titan, Defendant Israel directed and participated in illegal conduct at the Abu Ghraib Prison in Iraq and, upon information and belief, other locations.
- 20. Defendant CACI International Inc. (hereafter "Defendant CACI") is a publicly traded corporation with headquarters located at 1100 North Glebe Road, Arlington, Virginia 22201. Defendant CACI was formed in 1962 and incorporated under the laws of Delaware. Defendant CACI Corporation acted at all times relevant to this action through individual agents and employees, who are hereinafter subsumed within the term "Defendant CACI" and the term "CACI Corporate Defendants." Defendant CACI does business throughout the United States and the rest of the world.
- 21. Defendant CACI Incorporated – Federal is a subsidiary wholly owned and controlled by Defendant CACI. Defendant CACI Incorporated - Federal was formed and incorporated under the laws of Delaware. Defendant CACI Incorporated – Federal acted at all times relevant to this action through individual agents and employees, who are hereinafter subsumed within the term "Defendant CACI" and the term "CACI Corporate Defendants."

¹ The term "information and belief" is used throughout the Second Amended Complaint to connote those instances when Plaintiffs believe the allegations are likely to have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery.

- 22. Defendant CACI N.V. is a subsidiary wholly owned and controlled by Defendant CACI. Defendant CACI N.V. is a Netherlands corporation doing business in the United States at 1100 North Glebe Road, Arlington, Virginia 22201. Defendant CACI N.V. acted at all times relevant to this action through individual agents and employees, who are hereinafter subsumed within the term "Defendant CACI" and the term "CACI Corporate Defendants."
- 23. Defendant Stephen A. Stefanowicz, a resident of Pennsylvania, is or was employed by Defendant CACI, Defendant CACI Incorporated Federal, and Defendant CACI N.V. (hereinafter "CACI Corporate Defendants"). As an employee and agent of the CACI Corporate Defendants, Defendant Stefanowicz directed and participated in illegal conduct at the Abu Ghraib Prison in Iraq and, upon information and belief, other locations.
- 24. Defendant John B. Israel is or was retained by, constructively employed by, or contracted with Defendant Titan Corporation as its employee, agent, and/or representative.

 Defendant Israel, acting within the scope of his agency and/or authority, engaged and participated directly and/or indirectly in illegal conduct at the Abu Ghraib Prison in Iraq and, upon information and belief, other locations.
- 25. Acting together, Defendants Titan, CACI Corporate Defendants, Stefanowicz, Israel, and Nahkla conspired with certain United States officials (a) to engage in a series of wrongful and illegal acts, including but not limited to, summary execution, torture or other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment, arbitrary arrest and detention, assault and battery, false imprisonment and intentional interference with religious practices; (b) to inflate artificially by these acts the demand for interrogation and other related services such as interpretation and translation; and (c) to profit and gain a competitive advantage from this artificially-inflated demand for such services and from additional government contracts directed to Defendant Titan and CACI Corporate Defendants.
- 26. Each of the Defendants was the agent, employee and/or joint venturer, or working in concert with, other Defendants and was acting within the course and scope of such agency, employment and/or joint venture or concerted activity. To the extent that any particular act was perpetrated by a certain Defendant or Defendants, the remaining Defendant or Defendants confirmed and ratified the same.

27. Each Defendant conspired with other Defendants by entering into an agreement to commit wrongful and tortious acts contained herein and each Defendant participated in or committed a wrongful act in furtherance of said conspiracy that resulted in injury to the Plaintiffs.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 28. This Court has original jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (federal question); 28 U.S.C. § 1332 (diversity jurisdiction); 28 U.S.C. § 1367 (supplemental jurisdiction); 28 U.S.C. § 2201 (declaratory judgment); 28 U.S.C.A. § 1350 (Alien Tort Claims Act); and 18 U.S.C. § 1964 (Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act).
 - 29. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331(a)(3) and § 1391(b)(2).

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 30. This action should be certified as a class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2), which permits the certification of a class when the defendants "have acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the class as a whole" Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2).
- 31. This action should be certified as a class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1)(A), which permits the certification of a class if the lack of a class could lead to inconsistent or varying adjudication with respect to individual members which would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the defendants.
- 32. This action should be certified as a class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(1)(B), which permits the certification of a class when adjudication with respect to individual Plaintiffs would, as a practical matter, be dispositive of the interests of the other putative Class Members.
- 33. This action should be certified as a class action pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3) because common questions of law and fact predominate over any questions affecting only individual members and a class action is superior to other method for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.
- 34. This action should be certified as a class because Plaintiffs satisfy all of the prerequisites to a class action set forth in Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a). Specifically,

(d) There may be additional subclasses suitable for certification.

- 8 -

died as a result of those conditions.

11

17

21

24

25

27

10

11

8

13

15 16

17 18

19

20

22

21

23 24

27

25

28

ALLEGATIONS OF FACT

DEFENDANTS' FINANCIAL GROWTH DEPENDED ON CREATING AND MAINTAINING A DEMAND FOR INTERROGATION SERVICES

- 37. Defendant Titan performs the government contracts at issue in this action through a division previously known as "Titan Systems" and now known as "National Security Solutions." That division has approximately 1,000 government contracts.
- 38. Defendant Titan invested significantly in building capacity for services such as interrogation, interpretation, translation, intelligence gathering, and security (hereinafter referred to as "Interrogation Services").
- 39. As revealed in Defendant Titan's 2003 annual report, "[s]ince January 1, 1998, Titan has acquired 19 government information technology businesses as part of Titan's strategy of consolidating government information technology business." Among others, Titan bought SEMCOR, Pulse Engineering, BTG Inc., Unidyne Corp., VisiCom Services Inc., and Eldyne Inc.
- 40. Defendant Titan became increasingly dependent on federal revenues. Always a high portion of its overall business, Defendant Titan's federal revenues went from 90% in 2000 to 96% in 2004. No business other than federal government business mattered significantly to the bottom line of Defendant Titan.
- 41. Defendant Titan relied almost exclusively on increased demand for the type of intelligence and interrogation services provided by its National Security Solutions business to sustain the company and reach its revenue targets. As recently as May 3, 2004, Defendant Titan attributed a 21 percent increase in revenues – up to \$459 million for the first quarter of 2004 as compared to \$378 million for the first quarter in 2003 – to revenue growth in the National Security Solutions business.
- 42. Defendant Titan also relied heavily on relationships with certain government officials. As the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") filings reveal, Defendant Titan believed the industry experience of its executives was a reason why it obtained new business: "The industry experience of Titan Systems executives and general managers has also helped Titan

Systems to develop a significant presence with many civilian government agencies, which has contributed to Titan Systems' success in securing new contracts."

- 43. CACI Corporate Defendants have been involved in government contracting for many years. Beginning in 2001, the CACI Corporate Defendants began to grow dramatically in terms of both employees (approximately 5,000 employees in 2001 to 6,300 employees in 2003) and revenue.
- 44. CACI Corporate Defendants hit a new revenue record, reporting revenue of \$263.4 million in the second quarter of FY04. This represents a 29% growth from the prior year's results.
- 45. In 2001, CACI Corporate Defendants received an additional \$108.8 million in revenue from the Department of Defense (hereinafter "DoD") over and above what they had received in 2000. In 2003, DoD revenue grew by another \$102.3 million as compared to 2002.
- 46. As with Defendant Titan, CACI Corporate Defendants' growth resulted from a deliberate strategy to build capacity and provide increased amounts of Interrogation Services to the United States. CACI Corporate Defendants' SEC filings reveal "a significant part of the company's growth over the past two years was primarily due to the expansion of the managed network services and intelligence community work."
- 47. To implement the strategy to build Interrogation Services capacity, CACI Corporate Defendants made the following acquisitions:
- (a) On February 1, 2000, they acquired all the common stock of a company known as XEN for \$4.3 million.
- (b) On October 6, 2000, they acquired the contracts and selected assets of the Special Projects Business of Radian International, LLC, a subsidiary of URS Corp. for \$1.3 million.
- (c) On February 28, 2003, they purchased all of the stock of Applied Technology Solutions of Northern Virginia, Inc. for \$13.1 million.
- (d) On May 15, 2003, they acquired all of the assets of Premier Technology

 Group, Inc. ("PTG") for \$49 million. The company paid \$45.6 million in cash and paid the balance
 of \$3.4 million "in the form of earn-out payments tied to the continuation of existing business."

 PTG had been providing professional services to the DoD and United States government intelligence agencies.

- (e) On October 16, 2003, they acquired yet another intelligence company, C-CUBED Corporation. C-CUBED was described in press reports as providing specialized services in support of C4ISR (command control communications computers intelligence surveillance and reconnaissance initiatives) to the DoD and the United States intelligence agencies.
- (f) On October 16, 2003, they acquired all of the outstanding capital stock of Acton Burnell, Inc., another company providing services to the intelligence agencies.
- 48. CACI Corporate Defendants viewed these acquisitions as a means of increasing their intelligence services offerings to the DoD and other unidentified intelligence agencies, which likely include the Central Intelligence Agency (hereinafter "CIA") and the National Security Administration (hereinafter "NSA").
- financially dependent on revenues generated from federal intelligence agency contracts and permitted their other revenue sources (such as commercial, state and local governments) to dwindle. As stipulated in their SEC filings, "continued and expanded focus on DoD and federal civilian agency opportunities has resulted in a reduced emphasis on state and local government businesso. CACI Corporate Defendants maintained close relationships with certain government officials. As their SEC filings reveal, "our senior management team is very important to our business because personal reputations and individual business relationships are a critical element of obtaining and maintaining client engagements in our industry, particularly with agencies performing classified operations. The loss of any our senior executives could cause us to lose client relationships or new business opportunities, which could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated." (Emphasis added.)
- 51. Defendant Titan and Corporate CACI Defendants contracted with the United States using two types of government contract (among others): "indefinite delivery/indefinite quantity" ("ID/IQ") contracts and blank purchase agreements (BPA). These contract vehicles permitted the

 United States government to award substantial contracts for Interrogation Services to Defendants without disclosure to the public and to modify the contract terms without any competitive bidding.

- 52. Defendant Titan and CACI Corporate Defendants recruited heavily throughout the United States to build their capacity to provide Interrogation Services.
- 53. Defendant Titan advertised throughout the United States by posting job positions on their web sites and in newspapers and other print media to obtain persons with relevant skills. These advertisements sought, among other persons, persons skilled in interrogation and persons who had "secret" security clearances.
- 54. CACI Corporate Defendants and Defendant Titan worked together on a contract relating to intelligence services known as "Assistance and Advisory Services" contract. Recently-printed excerpts from Defendant Titan's web site that show Defendant Titan and the CACI Corporate Defendants working together as "Team Titan" are attached as Exhibit A.²
- 55. An employee of Defendant Titan has stated in an email communication that

 Defendant Titan intends to use the Assistance and Advisory Services contract to deploy people to

 Iraq in the near future. Upon information and belief, Defendant Titan and/or the CACI Corporate

 Defendants used and/or continue to use the Assistance and Advisory Services contract as one of the
 contract vehicles related to Interrogation Services conducted in Iraq.
- 56. Defendant Titan and the CACI Corporate Defendants offered persons with the necessary skill sets salaries far in excess of what had been the prevailing market rates for their services. Defendant Titan and the CACI Corporate Defendants were willing to pay above-market rates for interrogation services because they had entered into significant numbers of contracts with various United States agencies, including the United States military, which called for them to provide Interrogation Services. A selection of relevant printouts from the Defendant Titan web site

In the initial Complaint, plaintiffs had attached as Exhibit A various relevant text excerpted from Defendant Titan's web site. (This information is now located in the printouts attached separately for clarity as Exhibit A and Exhibit B.) In the prior version of Exhibit A, there was a reference to a third party included on Defendant Titan's web site as part of "Team Titan." Defendant Titan had not obtained permission to use the name of this third party on its web site. Although this third party was not named or identified in any way in the initial Complaint, the plaintiffs want to make crystal clear that they have not and are not making any allegations against this third party. To further that goal, the name of the third party has been redacted from the revised Exhibit A.

.20

is attached as Exhibit B; a selection from CACI Corporate Defendants' web site is attached as Exhibit C.

- 57. Upon information and belief, neither Defendant Titan nor CACI Corporate Defendants properly screened persons being hired.
- 58. Upon information and belief, neither Defendant Titan nor CACI Corporate

 Defendants nor the Individual Defendants properly trained and supervised persons conducting

 Interrogation Services.
- 59. Defendant Titan acknowledged that it was responsible for supervising its employees located in Iraq. According to a document apparently issued by Defendant Titan, the policy regarding supervision in Iraq was as follows: "While supporting OEF [Operation Enduring Freedom], any professional issues that may arise need to be brought to your site manager's attention. Do not to bring personal or professional issues to the U.S. Government representatives. We are supporting the U.S. Government, but they do not exercise administrative control over the group." See Exhibit E.
- 60. Upon information and belief, Defendant Titan failed to properly fulfill its responsibilities to train and supervise employees. Defendant Titan delegated to a "Human Resource/Administrative Assistant" the critical task of "[e]nsur[ing] that linguists adhere to OSD [Office of the Secretary of Defense] regulations and standards of conduct concerning in-theater operations." See Exhibit B.
- 61. Upon information and belief, CACI Corporate Defendants failed to properly fulfill their responsibilities to train and supervise employees. The CACI Corporate Defendants admit on their web site that Interrogators and other employees in Iraq work under "minimal supervision" or "moderate supervision." For example, one excerpt on the CACI Corporate Defendants' web site stated:

Assists the US Military interrogation support program team leader (under direction and supervision) to increase the effectiveness of getting intelligence information from Detainees, Persons of Interest, and Prisoners of War (POWs) that are in the custody of US/Coalition Forces in the CJTF 7 AOR, in terms of screening, interrogation, and debriefing of persons of intelligence value. Under minimal CACI supervision [see Additional Job Information below], will assist the

government team leader in managing a multifaceted interrogation support cell consisting of database entry/intelligence research clerks, screeners, tactical/strategic interrogators, and intelligence analysts.

(Emphasis added.) See Exhibit C, job description BZSG308.

- 62. Upon information and belief, the document attached as Exhibit D was prepared by a CACI Corporate Defendant employee. Among other information, the document reveals that CACI Corporate Defendants permitted, allowed and/or facilitated untrained persons to perform Interrogation Services.
- 63. Upon information and belief, Defendant Titan and CACI Corporate Defendants permitted their employees to wear, and their employees did wear, uniforms and other attire that portrayed them as part of the United States military..
- 64. Some of the contracts between Defendants and the United States government that may be related to Interrogation Services are identified in Exhibit F. Upon information and belief, some contracts cannot be identified by review of publicly available records because the United States and Defendants kept secret certain contracts, such as those with the CIA and NSA. Upon information and belief, Defendant Titan and the CACI Corporate Defendants provided Interrogation Services under blanket-purchase agreements with agencies not related to Interrogation Services, such as the Interior Department.
- 65. Defendant Titan and CACI Corporate Defendants knew that the amount of Interrogation Services for which the United States contracted was directly related to the United States government's perception of the amount of information that could be obtained by interrogating Plaintiffs.

DEFENDANTS KNEW OR SHOULD HAVE KNOWN THE UNITED STATES INTENDED TO CONDUCT INTERROGATIONS IN ACCORD WITH THE RELEVANT DOMESTIC AND INTERNATIONAL LAWS.

- 66. Defendants knew, or should have known, that the United States intended to conduct interrogations in accord with the relevant domestic and international laws.
- 67. The laws that prohibit summary execution, torture, or other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment, arbitrary arrest and detention, assault and battery, false imprisonment and intentional interference with religious practices include, but are not limited to, the following:

11 12

10

14 15

13

17

16

18 19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

(a) The Constitution of the United States, including the Eighth Amendment, which prohibits cruel and unusual punishment; the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments, which prohibit deprivation of life and liberty without due process of law; and the Fourth Amendment, which prohibits unlawful searches and seizures.

(b) Treaties ratified or signed by the United States, including Articles 55 and 56 of Charter of the United Nations, June 26, 1945, 59 Stat. 1031, T.S. 993, 3 Bevans 1153, entered into force Oct. 24, 1945, which protects human rights and fundamental freedoms and specifically guarantees the right to be free from torture; the Third Geneva Convention, Geneva Convention relative to the Treatment of Prisoners of War, 75 U.N.T.S. 135, arts. 13, 14, 17, 21, 25, 87, 130, entered into force, Oct. 21, 1950, which prohibits acts of torture and abuse against prisoners of war; the Fourth Geneva Convention, Geneva Convention relative to the Protection of Civilian Persons in Time of War, 75 U.N.T.S. 287, arts. 5, 27, 31, 32, 33, 27, 41, 42, entered into force Oct. 21, 1950, which prohibits acts of torture and abuse against civilians; the Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, and Relating to the Protection of Victims of International Armed Conflicts, art. 75, 1125 U.N.T.S. 3, entered into force Dec. 7, 1978, which requires the humane treatment of any person who is in the power of a party to an armed conflict, regardless of status or national origin, and specifically prohibits the use of torture at any time; Article 7 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights, G.A. res. 2200A (XXI), 21 U.N. GAOR Supp. (No. 16) at 52, U.N. Doc. A/6316 (1966), 999 U.N.T.S. 3, entered into force Mar. 23, 1976, which provides that: "No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment;" Article 4 of ICCPR, which states that Article 7 is non-derogable even in times of public emergency; Article 1 of the Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, G.A. res. 39/46, annex, 39 U.N. GAOR Supp. (No. 51) at 197, U.N. Doc. A/39/51 (1984), entered into force June 26, 1987, which prohibits any act: "by which severe pain or suffering, whether physical or mental, is intentionally inflicted on a person for such purposes as obtaining from him or a third person information or a confession, punishing him for an act he or a third person has committed or is suspected of having committed, or intimidating or coercing him or a third person, or for any reason based on discrimination of any kind, when such

- Customary international law, as reflected in the above treaties and (c) international instruments and others, including the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, G.A. res. 217A (III), U.N. Doc A/810 at 71 (1948) which states "no one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment"; the United Nations Declaration on the Protection of All Persons from Being Subjected to Torture, General Assembly Resolution 3452, 30 U.N. GAOR Supp. (No. 34) 91, U.N. Doc. A/1034 (1975), which expressly prohibits "any act by which severe pain and suffering, whether physical or mental, is intentionally inflicted by or at the instigation of a public official on a person for such purposes as . . . intimidating him or other persons"; the American Convention on Human Rights, O.A.S. Treaty Series No. 36, 1144 U.N.T.S. 123 entered into force July 18, 1978, reprinted in Basic Documents Pertaining to Human Rights in the Inter-American System, OEA/Ser.L.V/II.82 doc.6 rev.1 at 25 art. 5 (1992), which provides, "no one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman, or degrading punishment or treatment"; the European Convention on the Protection of Human Rights and Fundamental Freedom, Nov. 4, 1950, Art. 3, 213 U.N.T.S. 221, 224, which provides "no one shall be subjected to torture or to inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment;" and the Restatement (Third) of the Foreign Relations Law of the United States, section 702, which provides: "A state violates international law if, as a matter of state policy, it practices, encourages or condones . . . (d) torture or other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment."
- (d) Statutes and common law of the United States, including but not limited to, United States Code, Title 18, U.S.C. § 1510 (relating to the obstruction of criminal investigations), § 1951 (relating to interference with commerce, robbery, or extortion), § 1952 (relating to racketeering), § 1958 (relating to use of interstate commerce facilities in the commission of murder-for-hire), and §§ 2315 and 2315 (relating to interstate transportation of stolen property); and Article 23 of the Transitional Administrative Law;

- 16 -

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

9

5

6

11

13

16

15

17 18

19

20 21

22 23

24 25

26 27

- (e) Iraqi laws in force under Coalition Provisional Authority Regulation No. 1, including Iraqi Penal Code of 1968 and the Criminal Procedure Code of 1972, which include laws prohibiting murder, attempted murder, rape, assault and battery, and robbery; and.
- (e) state law, including but not limited to the common law of the State of California relating to wrongful death, assault and battery, intentional infliction of emotional distress, negligent infliction of emotional distress, negligent hiring and supervision, and negligence; as well as California Code Cal. Penal Code §§ 182-85 (conspiracy); 186-186.8; (criminal profiteering); 186.11 (fraud and embezzlement); 187-199 (homicide); 203-206.1 (mayhem); 207-210 (kidnapping); 210.5 (hostages); 211-215 (robbery); 217.1-219.3 (attempts to kill); 220-222 (assaults with intent to commit felony); 236-237 (false imprisonment); 240-248 (assault and battery); 261-269 (rape, abduction, carnal abuse of children, and seduction); 302-310.5 (crimes against religion and conscience); 311-312.7 (obscene matter); 313-313.5 (harmful matter); 346-368 (other injuries to persons); 422-422.1 (criminal threats); 484-502.9 (larceny); 503-515 (embezzlement); 518-527 (extortion); 528-539 (false pretenses); 594-625c (malicious mischief).
- 68. The United States government in official pronouncements has repeatedly and forthrightly denounced the use of torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment at all times. In its Initial Report to the United Nations Committee Against Torture, the United States Department of State noted that, "[t]orture is prohibited by law throughout the United States. It is categorically denounced as a matter of policy and as a tool of state authority No official of the government, federal, state or local, civilian or military is authorized to commit or to instruct anyone else to commit torture. Nor may any official condone or tolerate torture in any form." U.S. Department of State: Initial Report of the United States of America to the U.N. Committee Against Torture, Introduction (1999).
- 69. In the same report, the United States explicitly stated that no exigent circumstances permit the use of torture: "No exceptional circumstances may be invoked as a justification for torture. U.S. law contains no provision permitting otherwise prohibited acts of torture or other cruel inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment to be employed on grounds of exigent

 circumstances (for example, during a "state of public emergency") or on orders from a superior officer or public authority." *Id.*

- Nore recently, President Bush, in an address on United Nations International Day in Support of Victims of Torture, reiterated the United States position on the use of torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment: "The United States is committed to the worldwide elimination of torture and we are leading the fight by example. I call on all governments to join with the United States and the community of law-abiding nations in prohibiting, investigating and prosecuting all acts of torture and in undertaking to prevent other cruel and unusual punishment." President George W. Bush, United Nations International Day in Support of Victims of Torture, June 26, 2003.
- 71. The United States annually publishes a compilation of practices and techniques used by foreign governments that transgress the laws against torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment. This publication, called the U.S. Department of State Select Country Reports on Human Rights Practices, criticized the following practices and techniques when engaged in by other countries: repeated slapping, exposure to cold, stripping and blindfolding, food and sleep deprivation, threats to detainees or family members, dripping water on the head, squeezing of the testicles, mock executions, and sexual humiliation.
- 72. The United States has adopted regulations to govern the military to ensure its adherence to the Geneva Conventions and United States laws generally, including a 1995 Central Command regulation.
- 73. The United States' Supreme Court recently reaffirmed in Sosa v. Alvarez-Machain, 2004 U.S. Lexis, 4763 (June 29, 2004) that the federal district courts should recognize private claims under federal common law for violations of international law norms that have definite content and acceptance among civilized nations, such as the international law against torture.

FORMATION OF A CONSPIRACY TO INCREASE DEMAND FOR INTERROGATION SERVICES

74. Defendants knew or should have known that United States domestic and international law governing the conduct of interrogations and other methods of obtaining

7

10

11

14

17

22

20

25

28

intelligence from detained persons prohibits them from torturing, abusing, or otherwise mistreating Plaintiffs.

- Defendants knew or should have known that torturing, abusing, or otherwise mistreating Plaintiffs may result in their divulging information (whether true or untrue) in order to end their torture or other mistreatment.
- 76. Upon information and belief, Defendants were indifferent as to whether their Interrogation Services yielded useful or reliable information able to be used by the United States. Instead, they wanted to ensure that the Interrogation Services created the impression of effectiveness and met with "quotas" imposed by the United States government for intelligence gathering.
- 77. Certain government officials who were involved with Defendants' intelligence gathering efforts were indifferent to whether Defendants' Interrogations Services complied with the relevant laws. Those government officials who were indifferent to the lawfulness of Defendants' conduct and who were otherwise involved with, directed, supervised or ignored Defendants' wrongful acts are hereinafter referred to as "conspirators," or "co-conspirators," or are subsumed within the term "Torture Conspirators" defined below.
- 78. Defendants and co-conspiring government officials decided, ratified and/or agreed, expressly and/or implicitly, that the efforts to acquire information from Plaintiffs should not be hampered by ensuring that interrogation efforts complied with the mandates of United States domestic and international law.
- 79. The Torture Conspirators knew, or should have known, that there are many United States and international laws that strictly circumscribe the manner in which the Plaintiffs could lawfully be treated.
- 80. Defendants and certain government officials conspired and formed an ongoing criminal enterprise designed to flout the United States domestic and international laws prohibiting the torture, abuse, and other mistreatment of the Plaintiffs (hereinafter "Torture Conspiracy"). (The actors implementing this Torture Conspiracy are hereinafter referred to as "Torture Conspirators," which includes the corporate defendants, individual defendants and certain government officials).

11

14 15

16

17

18 19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26 27

- 81. This criminal enterprise was premised on the fact that Defendants and certain government officials knew, and intended, that creating an environment and setting conditions in which persons were being tortured, abused, and otherwise mistreated would result in more persons "willing" to provide so-called "intelligence" (of whatever value) to their interrogators in order to end their mistreatment. In turn, an environment in which the United States perceived the Interrogation Services as being productive and useful would create, maintain, and increase the United States' demand for Defendants' Interrogation Services.
- 82. The Torture Conspiracy began in or around 2001 and, upon information and belief, is on going. The Torture Conspiracy exists separate and apart from the ongoing lawful operations of the corporate Defendants.
- 83. Certain government officials and senior management in Defendant Titan and CACI Corporate Defendants had relationships that assisted in the formation and implementation of the Torture Conspiracy. Upon information and belief, these relationships were formed and fostered by meetings, telephonic discussions, in-person discussions, email discussions and other communications that occurred in, among other places, California, Virginia and the District of Columbia.
- 84. The corporate Defendants formed and implemented the Torture Conspiracy in order to make money selling Interrogation Services to the United States and in order to gain a competitive advantage in the market. The corporate Defendants also formed and implemented the Torture Conspiracy to ensure that they did not lose money on their past acquisitions of Interrogation Services capacity.
- 85. The individual Defendants formed and implemented the Torture Conspiracy in order to obtain personal financial rewards and/or financial rewards for their employers.
- 86. The Torture Conspirators actively recruited individuals willing to participate in the illegal conspiracy. Upon information and belief, the Torture Conspiracy took steps in California, Virginia and other locations throughout the United States to screen potential applicants to ascertain whether they would be willing to engage in illegal acts. Certain Team Titan postings sought "male U.S. citizens" and revealed that applicants "must undergo a favorable U.S. Army

7

11 12

10

13

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25 26

27 28 Counterintelligence screening interview." Applicants perceived as potentially willing to participate in the conspiracy were retained to provide Interrogation Services.

- 87. Upon information and belief, Defendant Titan employees were essential to the Interrogations conducted in an unlawful manner in Iraq. Defendant Titan supplied employees "to work 12 hour shifts and in excess of 60-hour weeks in order to provide continuous contract linguist support that this 24x7 operation requires." See Exhibit B, job description OAT730. Defendant Titan employees "work as part of a civil-military team in an unstructured environment; [they] live and work in a harsh environment." See Exhibit B, job description TOSG26. They are expected to "[i]dentify and extract information components meeting military information requirement list criteria, and to "[p]rovide input to reports" See Exhibit B, job description OAT730.
- 88. Upon information and belief, CACI Corporate Defendants employees were essential to the Interrogations conducted in an unlawful manner in Iraq. CACI Corporate Defendants supplied, among other persons, "Interrogators" and "Jr CI Agent[s]," who "[c]onduct[] interrogations of detainees." See Exhibit C, job descriptions BZSG224 and BZSG191. CACI Corporate Defendants also supplied, among other persons, "Intelligence Analysts" who:

Provides intelligence analytical support to the interrogation team during development and execution of the interrogation plan/cycle. Interfaces with higher, lower and adjacent intelligence organizations to fully prepare interrogation team for exploitation of detainees, as well as preparing post interrogation analytical products/assessments that support further targeting efforts, source development and analysis of the threat.

(Emphasis added.) See Exhibit C, job description BZSG192. CACI Intelligence Analysts not only provided guidance before Interrogations, but also provided feedback afterward about how the next Interrogation could be more effective.

89. Upon information and belief, CACI Corporate Defendants amended the CACI Code of Ethics and Business Conduct Standards to facilitate the Conspiracy. The 2002 version of the CACI Code of Ethics and Business Conduct Standards stated that:

> All employees should be aware that if they are a party to violations that affect or involve transactions with the U.S. Department of Defense or other U.S. government agencies, a record of any involvement and disciplinary action taken will be made available to the U.S. government.

(Emphasis added.) See Exhibit G. This language was changed on some date between 2002 and 2003 to read:

> All employees should be aware that if they are a party to any demonstrably illegal activity, the Company in its discretion may make a record of any involvement and disciplinary action taken available to the appropriate law enforcement officials.

(Emphasis added.) See Exhibit G.

- 90. The Torture Conspiracy was successful in achieving its unlawful ends. With assistance from certain conspiring government officials, Defendants were able to reap handsome monetary rewards in exchange for facilitating setting the conditions and assisting in detaining the Plaintiffs under unlawful conditions and torturing, abusing, and otherwise mistreating them.
- 91. During the period 2001 to present, upon information and belief, Defendant Titan earned millions of dollars in revenue from the provision of Interrogation Services. These fruits of the criminal Torture Conspiracy have been invested in the ongoing operations of Defendant Titan.
- 92. During the period 2001 to present, upon information and belief, CACI Corporate Defendants earned millions of dollars in revenues from their provision of Interrogation Services. These fruits of the criminal Torture Conspiracy have been invested in the ongoing operations of CACI Corporate Defendants.
- 93. Upon information and belief, each individual Defendant, through their participation in the Torture Conspiracy, earned far more money per hour than they could otherwise have earned, and had far more demand for their services than would have existed, absent the Torture Conspiracy.
- 94. Upon information and belief, the corporate Defendants also benefited financially by forming the Torture Conspiracy because their co-conspirators used their influence to ensure that the corporate Defendants were awarded contracts or modifications of existing contracts on a no-bid basis. Some of these no-bid contracts are identified in Exhibit F.
- 95. Numerous predicate acts have been committed by the conspirators (and others acting at their direction) in their implementation of the Torture Conspiracy.

27

23

24

25

26

- 96. The predicate acts include, but are not limited to, kidnapping, murder, assault and battery, unlawful imprisonment, obstruction of justice, and other acts intended to be humiliating and mentally devastating to those who practice the faith of Islam.
- 97. On information and belief, the Torture Conspirators working in Guantánamo developed an approach to interrogation ("tiger teams") based on study and review of what practices would be most humiliating to those who practice the Muslim faith. On information and belief, the Torture Conspirators conspired to, and adopted this same interrogation method in Iraq. Specifically, in or around October 2003, five Interrogation Teams (including Torture Conspirators) who had been conducting interrogations in Guantánamo were sent to Iraq to set up a "Gitmo-style" prison at Abu Ghraib. ("Gitmo" is the colloquial term used for Guantánamo Bay.)
- 98. Certain employees of the Defendants have admitted to engaging in these predicate acts. For example, on or before May 21, 2004, an unknown employee of Defendant Titan working in Iraq admitted to stripping, handcuffing, and forcibly restraining putative Class Members as they were placed by the employee and others in sexual positions.
- 99. Upon information and belief, the United States government has sought and obtained additional admissions from employees of Defendant Titan and CACI Corporate Defendants during the course of ongoing investigations into the allegations of the torture, abuse, and other mistreatment of detainees in Iraq.
- 100. Upon information and belief, Defendant Titan has admitted that it cannot bill the United States government for services provided by Defendants Nakla and Israel.

SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF WRONGFUL ACTS RELATING TO PLAINTIFF SALEH

101. On or about September 25, 2003, the Torture Conspirators detained Plaintiff Saleh without any cause. Plaintiff Saleh, an opponent of Saddam Hussein, was returning to Iraq with certain monies and a vehicle to assist with the rebuilding efforts. The Torture Conspirators tied him up, placed a hood over his head, and placed him in the trunk of a vehicle. The Torture Conspirators stole his car and cash he had brought with him to invest in rebuilding Iraq.

28

27

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- 24 -

Case No. 04cv1143 R (NLS)

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

11

13

14 15

17

161

18 19

20

21 22

24

25

23

26

- Pouring chemicals on his body. (m)
- Tying his hands above his head and sodomizing him while slapping his head (n) back and forth.
- (o) Placing him naked on a table, face down with a hood over his head, and grabbing his penis and inserting fingers up his anus.
 - Urinating on him. (p)
- Shooting him with plastic bullets to his chest as he was trying to call for (q) prayer.
- Forcing him to carry buckets of feces as the Torture Conspirators bumped the (r) buckets to have the feces cover Plaintiff Saleh.
 - (s) Denying his ability to perform his prayers.
- 104. Plaintiff Saleh also observed the Torture Conspirators summarily execute other detainees. A Torture Conspirator shot randomly at a crowd of detainees, killing approximately five prisoners, including an individual by the name of "Saed," whom Plaintiff Saleh had befriended. Mr. Saed was shot in the neck and chest and left to bleed on the ground for a couple of hours. Plaintiff Saleh witnessed two men dying slowly, without being provided medical treatment.
- 105. Plaintiff Saleh also observed the Torture Conspirators strip and rape two young male detainees. The Torture Conspirators tied their hands, and raped them in front of Mr. Saleh and other prisoners. The Torture Conspirators then warned Plaintiff Saleh and other plaintiffs that if they told anyone, they would be next.
- Plaintiff Saleh observed the Torture Conspirators strip naked three plaintiffs and 106. hang them by their hands from a hook in the ceiling, while a laughing Conspirator beat on their genitals and sodomized them with a stick in front of other detainees.
- Plaintiff Saleh observed the Torture Conspirators rounding up and imprisoning local 107. females. For approximately 13 days, Mr. Saleh heard constant screaming and crying at night from many females. Mr. Saleh heard some females screaming "No! No! Shame on you! This is against God's laws." Although Plaintiff Saleh did not see the acts, he is convinced the Torture Conspirators were raping these women.

5

6

4

7 8

10

11

9

12

13

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

27

28

26

108. To date, Mr. Saleh's money and car have not been returned.

SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF WRONGFUL ACTS RELATING TO PLAINTIFF AHMED

- The Torture Conspirators detained Plaintiff Ahmed and his father Ibrahiem (now 109. deceased) without cause in the Abu Ghraib Prison.
- 110. The Torture Conspirators tortured, abused, and otherwise mistreated Plaintiff Ahmed and his father Ibrahiem by committing the following acts, among others:
- Removing their clothes and spraying them with cold water during the cold (a) winter;
- (b) Stripping them of their clothes entirely and then tying their hands and legs together and allowing fierce and hungry dogs to come two inches away from their faces and bark in their faces;
- (c) Kicking them with their heavy military boots on all parts of their bodies including their heads, backs, private parts, and stomach;
- (d) Hitting them with guns on their bodies, including their heads, backs, stomach, and private parts;
 - Removing all their clothes and leaving them outside for days; (e)
- (f) Depriving them of food and keeping them in the cold for such lengths of time as to cause fainting;
- (g) Lifting their hands above their heads and leaving them standing in that position for days, and beating them whenever they moved or twitched;
- (h) Leaving them lying on their stomachs naked on the floor with their hands tied above their heads for long hours.
- Plaintiff Ahmed was forced to observe the Torture Conspirators torturing his father 111. and putative Class Plaintiffs by physically and verbally assaulting them, humiliating them, including sexual humiliation.
- Plaintiff Ahmed was forced to observe the Torture Conspirators torturing his father 112. to such a degree that he died.

2

3

Plaintiff Ahmed also suffered property losses as a result of actions by the Torture Conspirators. They destroyed his house, took \$3,200 in cash, \$1,500 worth of gold, jewelry and other property.

SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF WRONGFUL ACTS RELATING TO PLAINTIFF ISMAEL

- 114. The Torture Conspirators detained Plaintiff Ismael without cause in the Abu Ghraib Prison and the Buka Prison.
- 115. Thereafter the Torture Conspirators continued to detain and otherwise mistreat Plaintiff Ismael and committed the following acts, among others, during his Abu Ghraib Prison detention:
- (a) During interrogation, hitting him with electric cables and kicking him with boots if he did not answer or did not answer in the manner desired by the Torture Conspirators;
- (b) Tying his hands behind his backs and terrorizing him by shooting electric guns at him;
- (c) Stripping him, tying his hands behind his back and releasing dogs to attack his private parts;
 - (d) Using demeaning and dehumanizing language;
- (e) Depriving him of sleep by use of loud music or loose dogs roaming around the tent;
- (f) Stripping his clothes off and forcing him to stand on one leg for as long as 6 hours, during which they would hit him with a rifle if he showed any sign of fatigue or moved in any manner;
 - (g) Hitting his private parts repeatedly.
- 116. During a particular interrogation, the Torture Conspirators asked Plaintiff Ismael a question that he refused to answer. As a result, they stripped off his clothes and covered his face with a bag. Hours later they removed the bag and showed him two photographs of sexual torture committed on detainees known to Plaintiff Ismael. The first photograph showed a young boy (age 12-15) being sexually molested by a person in a United States uniform. The Torture Conspirators

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

- 28 - Case No. 04cv1143 R (NLS)

- (c) Placing him and other male detainees in a room with a naked female detainee who had a brown mesh bag on her head and who was screaming;
- Depriving him of sleep for as much as 48 hours by placing him in a room (d) with very loud music close to his ears;
- Spraying cold water on him and placing him outside in the cold for long (e) periods of time.
- During his detention in the Buka Prison, the Torture Conspirators committed the 121. following acts, among others:
- Stripping him, tying his hands and feet together with other detainees, and (a) placing them on a dog's leash and dragging their naked bodies on the hot summer sand;
 - Hitting him with their heavy boots on his head; (b)
- (c) Forcing him to stand in the hot summer sun outside with his hands tied behind his neck for periods between 6 hours to 24 hours without movement, and beating him if he showed any sign of movement or fatigue.
- The Torture Conspirators raped Plaintiff Neisef. A female conspirator placed a hood over his head and called in two other conspirators, who held Neisef down while she raped him. After sexually abusing him for approximately thirty minutes, she left him naked on the floor and told him "it is our job to take your manhood away from you by the time you leave, you son of a
- The Torture Conspirators forced Plaintiff Neisef to touch other detainees' body parts 123. by threatening him with attack dogs. The Torture Conspirators poured cold water on Plaintiff Neisef and the other detainees, wrapped electric wire around their penises, and gave them electric shocks. Plaintiff Neisef started to bleed and suffered a ruptured vein on his penis. The Torture Conspirators refused to tend his wounds.
- 124. The Torture Conspirators again degraded Plaintiff Neisef sexually by forcing him to assume a dog position and by threatening to sodomize him with a stick.
- 125. The Torture Conspirators prevented Plaintiff Neisef from praying. Whenever he and other detainees tried to pray the religious prayer of salah, the Torture Conspirators would place

1	their heavy boots on their heads and prevent them from lifting their heads off the ground. When				
2	asked, "why do you torture us and prevent us from worshipping God?", the Torture Conspirators				
3	answered "you are under our authority, we can do whatever we want with you."				
4	126.	Plaint	riff Neisef suffered property losses as a result of actions by the Torture		
5	Conspirators. They damaged his house, took \$6,000 in cash, \$1,000 worth of gold and jewelry.				
6			CDECVERGEN AND DO OF MIDONOPHIA A CITIC		
7	SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF WRONGFUL ACTS RELATING TO PLAINTIFF IBRAHIEM ESTATE				
8	127. The Torture Conspirators detained, tortured, abused, and otherwise mistreated				
9	Ibrahiem as described above in the paragraphs relating to Plaintiff Ahmed.				
10	128.	The T	orture Conspirators wrongfully killed Ibrahiem by torturing him and thereafter		
11	refusing to provide him the needed medical attention to prevent his death.				
12					
13	SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF WRONGFUL ACTS RELATING TO PLAINTIFF SAMI				
14	129.	The T	orture Conspirators subjected Plaintiff Sami to a series of unlawful acts,		
15	including, but not limited to, the following:				
16		(a)	Hooding him for extended periods of time so that he was completely		
17	disoriented and had difficulty breathing.				
18	i	(b)	Handcuffing him with flexi-cuffs around the wrists for extended periods		
19	causing skin lesions.				
20		(c)	Depriving him of food, water and hygiene facilities.		
21		(d)	Repeatedly kicking and beating him;		
22		(e)·	Subjecting him to loud rock music;		
23	•	(f)	Depriving him of sleep;		
24		(g)	Making him stand on one leg for a prolonged period and beating him		
25	whenever he fell down;				
26		(h)	Forcing him to crouch up and down repeatedly until he fell over.		
27					

1	1 130. At the time of his arrest, Plaintiff Sami had in his possession \$65,750 a	and			
2	15,350,000 Iraqi dinars, as well as other valuables. The Torture Conspirators wrongfully				
3	confiscated and kept this money and property following Plaintiff Sami's arrest.				
4	 				
5	SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF WRONGFUL ACTS RELATING TO PLAINTIFF MWAFAQ				
6	131. While detained the Torture Conspirators subjected Plaintiff Mwafaq to	a series of			
7	unlawful acts, including, but not limited to, the following:				
8	(a) Hooding him for two days so that he was completely disoriente	d and had			
9	difficulty breathing;				
10	(b) Handcuffing him with flexi-cuffs around the wrists for extende	d periods			
11	causing skin lesions;				
12	(c) Depriving him of food, water and hygiene facilities;				
13	(d) Repeatedly kicking and beating him, particularly around the he	ad, which			
14	required stitches to his eyelids;				
15	(e) Subjecting him to loud rock music;				
16	(f) Depriving him of sleep;	•			
17	(g) Making him stand on one leg for a prolonged period and beatin	g him			
18	whenever he fell down;				
19	(h) Forcing him to crouch up and down repeatedly until he fell ove	r.			
20	SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF WRONGFUL				
21	ACTS RELATING TO PLAINTIFF RASHEED				
22	132. The Torture Conspirators participated in detaining Plaintiff Rasheed w	ithout cause.			
23	133. Throughout his detention and interrogation the Torture Conspirators pa	33. Throughout his detention and interrogation the Torture Conspirators participated in			
24	torturing and otherwise mistreating Plaintiff Rasheed by subjecting him to the following acts,				
25	among others:				
26	(a) Forcing him to lie on a cold floor and pouring cold water on hir	n;			
27	(b) Electrocuting his tongue and anus;				
28	(c) Beating his feet with iron skewers;				

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

Case No. 04cv1143 R (NLS)

(d) Pulling out his toe nails; and 1 2 (e) Tying his hands, hanging him on the ceiling and beating him severely on all 3 parts of his body. 4 SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF WRONGFUL 5 6 On or about August 24, 2003, the Torture Conspirators detained Plaintiff John Doe 134. 7 No. 1 without cause. Initially detained and interrogated at the United States military prison facility 8 at the Baghdad International Airport, Iraq, Plaintiff John Doe No. 1 was eventually transferred to 9 Abu Ghraib Prison. Plaintiff John Doe No. 1 was recently released from detention without charge. 10 135. Throughout his detention and interrogation the Torture Conspirators tortured, abused, and otherwise mistreated Plaintiff John Doe No. 1 by subjecting him to the following acts, 11 among others: 12 13 (a) Hooding him for extended periods of time so that he was completely 14 disorientated and had difficulty breathing; 15 (b) Humiliating and degrading him by making him walk "like a dog" on all 16 fours: 17 (c) Restraining him in awkward and painful positions; 18 (d) Sexually humiliating him by stripping him naked and parading him in front 19 of other prisoners and prison guards, including women; 20 (e) Subjecting him to extremes of temperature by pouring cold water on him, causing him to lose consciousness; 21 22 (f) Threatening to kill him as well as his wife; 23 (g) Placing electric cables on his body and threatening to use electrical shocks 24 on him; 25 Hanging weights on his neck for extended periods resulting in spinal (h) 26 damage; (i) Continually mocking his Islam faith and interrupting his efforts to pray; 27 28

- 32 -

Case No. 04cv1143 R (NLS)

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

- 33 -

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

Case No. 04cv1143 R (NLS)

1 (b) Hooding her for extended periods of time so that she was completely 2 disorientated and had difficulty breathing; 3 (c) Handcuffing her with flexi-cuffs around the wrists and ankles for extended periods causing skin lesions; 4 5 (d) Depriving her of food, water, and hygiene facilities; (e) Threatening and intimidating her with guard dogs; 6 (f) Threatening her and members of her family with death; and Interrogating her for extended periods while she was restrained in awkward 8 (g) 9 positions. 10 SPECIFIC EXAMPLES OF WRONGFUL ACTS 11 RELATING TO PUTATIVE CLASS PLAINTIFFS 12 140. On or about August 31, 2003 to September 9, 2003, the Torture Conspirators issued, 13 or caused to be issued, a report that expressly directed other non-conspirators to violate the law and set the conditions for the continued success of the Torture Conspiracy. The report stated "it is 14 15 essential that the guard force be actively engaged in setting the conditions for the successful exploitation of the internees." See Maj. Gen. Antonio M. Taguba, U.S. Army Report on Iraqi 16 17 Prisoner Abuse (May 5, 2004) at 8 (attached as Exhibit H). 18 On September 13, 2003, the Torture Conspirators located in Basrah, Iraq, arrested 141. 19 nine putative Class Plaintiffs in a hotel. They forced the nine men to kneel, face and hands against the ground, as if in a prayer position. They then stamped on the back of the neck of those persons 20 21 raising their head. They confiscated their money without issuing a receipt. This torture and theft is 22 documented by a report prepared by the International Committee of the Red Cross (hereinafter 23 "ICRC) attached as Exhibit I. 24 142. Thereafter, also on September 13, 2003, the Torture Conspirators took the nine 25 putative Class Plaintiffs to Al-Hakimiya, a former office previously used by the *mukhabarat* in 26 Basrah, and beat them. 27

14

15

10

11

21

22

18

26 27 28

- 143. On or about September 13, 2003, the Torture Conspirators beat one man to death. He was aged 28, married, and the father of two children. This murder is documented in Exhibit I, the report prepared by the ICRC.
- 144. On or about September 13, 2003, the Torture Conspirators beat two other putative Class Plaintiffs so severely that they had to be hospitalized with severe injuries, including, but not limited to, broken noses, severely broken ribs and skin lesions on the faces. Approximately one week after the injuries were intentionally inflicted by the conspirators, an International Red Cross physician examined the victims in the hospital and observed haematomas with dried scabs on the abdomen, buttocks, sides, thigh, wrists, nose and forehead.
- A few weeks prior to September 22, 2003, the Torture Conspirators located at Camp Buka, Iraq, kidnapped a 61-year old putative Class Plaintiff, tied him up, placed a hood over his head, and forced him to sit on the hot surface of a vehicle until he lost consciousness and suffered severe burns to his buttocks.
- In September or October 2003, the Torture Conspirators located in the so-called 146. "High Value" section of a prison in Iraq tortured a putative Class Plaintiff. They placed a hood over his head, handcuffed his hands behind his back, and forced him to lie on a hot surface until he was severely burned. Conspirators' assault on this person caused such substantial injuries that he was hospitalized for three months and forced to undergo several skin grafts, and the amputation of his right index finger. He suffered the permanent loss of the use of his left fifth finger secondary to burn-induced skin retraction and extensive burns over the abdomen, anterior aspects of the lower extremities, the palm of his right hand and the sole of his left foot.
- 147. In or around November 2003, Torture Conspirators located in Ramadi, Iraq, detained approximately 30 putative Class Plaintiffs in a house. The conspirators released German shepherd dogs into the house and encouraged the dogs to attack the detainees.
- On or about November 19, 2003, Torture Conspirators located in Iraq managed to wrest control over the detention conditions in Abu Ghraib prison from those charged with such control under normal military procedures. The Torture Conspirators' success in gaining control over the conditions of detention is reflected in a memorandum signed by General Sanchez, which

formally transferred tactical control over the conditions of detention to the 205th Military Intelligence Brigade.

- 149. On or about December 12, 2003, the Torture Conspirators located in Abu Ghraib, Iraq, terrorized a putative Class Plaintiff with German shepherds. They stripped this victim and subsequently permitted the dogs to attack him.
- 150. On or around Ramadan, 2003, the Torture Conspirators located in Abu Ghraib, Iraq tortured a putative Class Plaintiff by putting sandbags on his head, stripping him naked, forcing him onto his hands and knees, piling other naked prisoners on top of him, taking pictures from front and back views of the pile of naked prisoners, forcing him to stroke his penis, pretending to put his penis in the mouth of a guard while taking pictures, playing with his penis with a pen, writing on his buttocks, leaving him naked in a cell with no mattress for two days and denying him all food but bread and water for three days.
- 151. On or around Ramadan, 2003, the Torture Conspirators located in Abu Ghraib, Iraq tortured a putative Class Plaintiff by stripping him naked, ordering him to stroke his penis in front of a female guard, placing three other naked prisoners on his back, forcing him onto his stomach and then placing six other prisoners on top, taking pictures of him in a pile of naked prisoners, writing on his body, forcing him and others to walk and bark like dogs, beating him on the face and chest and forcing him to sleep on the floor with bags on his head for ten days.
- 152. The Torture Conspirators located in Abu Ghraib, Iraq tortured a putative Class Plaintiff by handcuffing him to a cell door for two hours, pouring cold water on him, putting his head in urine, beating him with a broom, stepping on his head and legs, pressing a broom into his buttocks, spitting on him and yelling at him over a loudspeaker for three hours.
- 153. On September 10, 2003 the Torture Conspirators in Abu Ghraib, Iraq tortured a putative Class Plaintiff by placing him in solitary confinement for sixty-seven days, during which time they further tortured him by hitting him on the chest, cuffing him to a window for five hours, and depriving him of food for twenty-four hours.

154. Beginning in January 2002 and, upon information and belief, continuing to present, the Torture Conspirators have engaged in an ongoing pattern and practice of illegal acts designed to generate alleged "intelligence" from Plaintiffs and putative Class Plaintiffs. Defendants and their co-conspirators used physical and psychological coercion in a systematic way to extract alleged "information" or other forms of co-operation from Plaintiffs allegedly deemed to have "intelligence value."

- 155. The Torture Conspirators committed a series of acts specifically designed to mentally devastate Plaintiffs and putative Class Plaintiffs by attacking and ridiculing their religious faith of Islam.
- 156. The Torture Conspirators conducted this illegal activity in several prisons and detention centers, including but not limited to, the Umm Qasr camp in Iraq, Camp Buka in Iraq, the Abu Ghraib prison in Iraq, Camp Cropper near the Bhagdad Airport in Iraq, the Wood Building in Iraq, the Steel Building in Iraq, and the Tikrit holding area formerly known as the Saddam Hussein Islamic School.
- 157. Beginning in January 2002 and, upon information and belief, continuing to present, the Torture Conspirators, including but not limited to the corporate Defendants and the named Individual Defendants, continually tortured, abused, and otherwise mistreated Plaintiffs and putative Class Plaintiffs by repeatedly engaging in the following acts:
- (a) Hooding, used to prevent Plaintiffs and putative Class Plaintiffs from seeing and to disorient them, and also to prevent them from breathing freely. The conspirators used one or sometimes two bags, sometimes with an elastic blindfold over the eyes which, when it slips down, further impedes proper breathing. The Torture Conspirators use hooding in conjunction with beatings, thus increasing anxiety as to when blows would come. The practice of hooding also allows the Torture Conspirators to remain anonymous and act with impunity. At times, Plaintiffs

- 38 -

Case No. 04cv1143 R (NLS)

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

- (r) Repeatedly attacking and beating them over several days, for several hours each time, as they are handcuffed to the bars of their cell door in humiliating (i.e. naked or in underwear) and/or uncomfortable positions causing physical pain;
- (s) Exposing them to loud noise or music, prolonged exposure to the sun over several hours, including during the hottest time of the day when temperatures could reach 122 degrees Fahrenheit or higher;
- (t) Forcing them to remain for prolonged periods in stressful positions such as squatting or standing with or without their arms raised;
- (u) Depriving them of sleep for days or weeks, by various means, including but not limited to throwing cold water on them and illuminating their cells with powerful arc lighting for 24-hours per day;
- (v) Engaging in other acts for the purpose of ridiculing and attacking their religious faith of Islam.
- 158. In addition to torturing, abusing, and otherwise mistreating Plaintiffs and putative Class Plaintiffs in order to make them more willing to talk, Torture Conspirators failed to provide Interrogation Services that complied with the laws governing arrest and detention as well as interrogation. As observed by the ICRC, for example, the Torture Conspirators failed to inform detainees of the reasons for their arrest, even when repeatedly asked to do so. The Torture Conspirators also interrogated Plaintiffs and putative Class Plaintiffs without charging them.

CONTINUING PATTERN AND PRACTICE OF ATTEMPTING TO OBSTRUCT JUSTICE

- 159. The Torture Conspiracy's activities have been observed by, among others, the ICRC. These observations were verbally shared with the United States on several occasions, including April 1, 2003. These observations were also shared with the United States in memoranda dated May 2003, July 2003, and February 2004. Upon information and belief, the ICRC also had additional communications on dates not known to Plaintiffs.
- 160. ICRC reports as well as reports by other entities, such as Amnesty International and allied countries, resulted in concerns being raised by some United States government officials about

Plaintiffs' treatment. For example, Secretary of State Colin Powell wrote a strongly worded letter to Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld on April 14, 2003, urging that the mistreatment of the detainees cease. Secretary Powell asserted that the mistreatment of the detainees was a threat to national security.

- 161. Torture Conspirators took steps to obstruct justice and interfere with the steps being taken by the ICRC and certain United States government officials to investigate allegations of mistreatment.
- attempting to persuade others in positions of authority that the ICRC reports were not credible and should not be used to guide the United States' actions. However, the conspirators had no information or evidence upon which to rely to suggest the ICRC reports were not credible. Rather, the Torture Conspirators intentionally made false statements in order to prevent the certain United States officials from discovering and ending the Torture Conspiracy.
- 163. Among other steps taken to obstruct justice, the Torture Conspirators attempted to move Plaintiffs and putative Class Plaintiffs out of the view of the investigators. *See* Exhibit I.
- obstruct justice in relation to the summary executions. They issued an "International Death Certificate" for the person they killed that attributed the death directly to "card-respiratory arrest asphyxia" and claimed the "cause of the condition" was "unknown." The conspirators made these false statements on official documents to obstruct the on-going investigations into the murder, including an investigation conducted by the United States military, which began on or about October 3, 2003. Upon information and belief, these documents were sent to the United States.
- 165. For example, the Torture Conspirators, beginning in or around October 2003 and continuing to present, attempted to prevent the commencement of an investigation into the assault on a putative Class Plaintiff.
- 166. Upon information and belief, the Torture Conspirators took steps to obstruct justice in the District of Columbia, Virginia, California, and other states, as well as abroad.

10

15

13

16 17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26 27

28

Upon information and belief, the Torture Conspirators have summarily executed at 167. least 15 persons.

- Upon information and belief, the Torture Conspirators have caused as many as 50 suicides.
- The Torture Conspirators have caused serious physical injuries, including 169. irreversible brain damage, broken bones, permanent paralysis, and permanent physical ill health.
- The Torture Conspirators have caused persons to become seriously mentally ill. 170. Plaintiffs subjected to abuse by the Torture Conspirators have developed, among other conditions, concentration difficulties, memory problems, verbal expression difficulties, incoherent speech, acute anxiety reactions, abnormal behavior and suicidal tendencies. For example, the ICRC observed one person held in isolation to be unresponsive to verbal and painful stimuli. His heart rate was 120 beats per minute and his respiratory rate 18 per minute. He was diagnosed as suffering from somatoform (mental) disorder, specifically a conversion disorder.
- 171. The Torture Conspirators have caused extensive damage to certain Plaintiffs' businesses and properties, including, upon information and belief, putative RICO Class Members' businesses and properties located in the United States.

CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS ACT ("RICO")

- 172. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- Defendant Titan and CACI Corporate Defendants, together with the Individual 173. Defendants violated the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ("RICO"), 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968.
- The corporate Defendants operated and continue to operate ongoing publicly-traded 174. corporations formed under Delaware law. These corporations, together with the co-conspiring government officials and the individual Defendants, have formed an association-in-fact and

combined to conduct legitimate business for the United States in California, other states, and overseas. This association-in-fact conducted both legal and illegal business and constitutes an ongoing Enterprise as that term is defined by RICO. The Enterprise began in or around January 2002 and continues as an ongoing concern that engages in legitimate activity separate and apart from the criminal and illegal activity.

- 175. Defendant Titan, CACI Corporate Defendants and the Individual Defendants together with the co-conspiring government officials worked together on a repeated and continuous basis to facilitate and engage directly and indirectly in the illegal racketeering activity. The predicate acts include, but are not limited to, acts and threats of murder, assault and abuse, kidnapping, and obstruction of justice.
 - 176. Defendants were and continue to be associated with and employed by the Enterprise.
- 177. Defendants and employees employed by the Enterprise engaged in a pattern of racketeering activity as that term is defined in 18 U.S.C. § 1961(5) and as described above and in the accompanying exhibits.
- 178. The Defendants and their co-conspirators engaged for several years and are still engaging in a pattern of racketering activity (referred to as the "Torture Conspiracy") that is separate and apart from the legitimate activity conducted by the Enterprise. The acts of the Enterprise and the acts of the Torture Conspirators have a major impact on interstate commerce.
- 179. Defendants have earned millions of dollars from the Torture Conspiracy.

 Defendants implemented the Torture Conspiracy in order to earn millions of dollars for

 Interrogation Services that would not have been earned through the Enterprise's legitimate conduct of business.
- 180. Plaintiff Sami, Plaintiff Ahmed, Plaintiff Neisef, and the putative RICO Class Members have been injured in their business or property, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c). The impact caused by Defendants' pattern and practice of criminal conduct, if not remedied by this Court, will continue to harm the named Plaintiffs and putative RICO Class Members.
- 181. The Torture Conspiracy's victims include all detainees who have been killed, tortured, abused, or otherwise mistreated by the Torture Conspirators. The Enterprise's victims

also include United States citizens were harmed by Defendants' illegal conduct, such as former military police officer Spc. Dean Baker who was injured while posing as an uncooperative prisoner during a training session.

182. As a direct and proximate result of the Torture Conspirators' actions as aforesaid, Plaintiff Sami, Plaintiff Ahmed, Plaintiff Neisef, and the putative RICO Class have been damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT II CONSPIRACY TO VIOLATE RACKETEER INFLUENCED AND CORRUPT ORGANIZATIONS ACT ("RICO")

- 183. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 184. Defendants and their co-conspirators in the government conspired to violate the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Act ("RICO"), 18 U.S.C. §§ 1961-1968.
- 185. The corporate Defendants operated and continue to operate ongoing publicly-traded corporations formed under Delaware law. These corporations, together with the co-conspiring government officials and the individual Defendants, have formed an association-in-fact and combined to conduct legitimate business for the United States in California, other states, and overseas. This association-in-fact conducted both legal and illegal business and constitutes an ongoing Enterprise as that term is defined by RICO. The Enterprise began in or around January 2002 and continues as an ongoing concern that engages in legitimate activity separate and apart from the criminal and illegal activity.
 - 186. Defendants were and continue to be associated with and employed by the Enterprise.
- 187. Defendants facilitated and/or directed, expressly and implicitly, that employees employed by the Enterprise engage in a pattern of racketeering activity as that term is defined in 18 U.S.C. § 1961(5) and as described above and in the accompanying exhibits.
- 188. The Torture Conspirators engaged for several years and is still engaging in a pattern of criminal conduct.
- 189. Defendants and their co-conspirators conspired together to conduct, facilitate and to participate directly and indirectly in the conduct of the affairs of the Enterprise through a pattern of

racketeering activity as that term is defined in 18 U.S.C. § 1961(5) and as described above and in the accompanying exhibits.

- 190. The Enterprise has earned millions of dollars in exchange for participating with coconspiring government officials in the racketeering activities described above. The Enterprise and
 the co-conspirators designed and implemented the Torture Conspiracy in order to earn millions of
 dollars for Interrogation Services that would not have been earned through the Enterprise's
 legitimate conduct of business.
- 191. The acts of the Enterprise and the acts of the Torture Conspirators have a major impact on interstate commerce.
- 192. Plaintiff Sami, Plaintiff Ahmed, Plaintiff Neisef, and the putitive RICO Class Members have been injured in their businesses or properties, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 1964(c). The impact caused by Defendants' pattern and practice of criminal conduct, if not remedied by this Court, will continue to harm the named Plaintiffs and putative RICO Class Members.
- 193. The Enterprise's victims include not only the named Plaintiffs but all detainees who have been killed, tortured, abused, or otherwise mistreated by the Torture Conspirators. The Enterprise's victims also include all United States citizens, who are subjected to greater security risks as a result of Defendants' illegal conduct.
- 194. As a direct and proximate result of the Torture Conspirators' actions as aforesaid, Plaintiff Sami, Plaintiff Ahmed, Plaintiff Neisef, and the putative RICO Class have been damaged in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT III CLAIM UNDER THE ALIEN TORT CLAIMS ACT – SUMMARY EXECUTION

- 195. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 196. The deliberate killings, under color of law, of Ibrahiem and putative Wrongful Death Class Members were not authorized by a lawful judgment pronounced by a regularly constituted

court affording all the judicial guarantees which are recognized as indispensable by civilized peoples.

- The acts described herein constitute summary execution in violation of the law of 197. nations under the Alien Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1350, in that the acts violated customary international law prohibiting summary execution as reflected, expressed, and defined in multilateral treaties and other international instruments, international and domestic judicial decisions, and other authorities.
- The acts described herein violate the Alien Tort Claims Act, which recognizes as federal common law those international norms that have definite content and acceptance among civilized nations. The acts described here are within the body of acts that violate such definite and accepted international norms and are within the body of acts deemed actionable under the federal common law by the United States' Supreme Court in Sosa v. Alvarez Machain, 2004 U.S. LEXIS 4763 (June 29, 2004).
- 199. Defendants are liable for said conduct in that Defendants set the conditions, directly and/or indirectly facilitated, ordered, acquisced, confirmed, ratified and/or conspired with certain government officials to execute summarily Ibrahiem and other members of the putative Wrongful Death Class.
- 200. Plaintiffs and putative Class Members were forced to suffer severe physical and psychological abuse and agony.
 - .201. Plaintiffs are entitled to monetary damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

CLAIM UNDER THE ALIEN TORT CLAIMS ACT -

- 202. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 203. Plaintiffs bring this claim on their own behalf and on behalf of the class against all Defendants.

- 204. The acts described herein were inflicted deliberately and intentionally for purposes which included, among others, punishing the victim or intimidating the Plaintiffs and putative Class Members. Torture includes rape and other sexual assault.
- The acts described herein constitute torture in violation of the law of nations under 205. the Alien Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1350, in that the acts violated customary international law prohibiting torture as reflected, expressed, and defined in multilateral treaties and other international instruments, international and domestic judicial decisions, and other authorities.
- 206. The acts described herein violate the Alien Tort Claims Act, which recognizes as federal common law those international norms that have definite content and acceptance among civilized nations. The acts described here are within the body of acts that violate such definite and accepted international norms and are within the body of acts deemed actionable under the federal common law by the United States' Supreme Court in Sosa v. Alvarez Machain, 2004 U.S. LEXIS 4763 (June 29, 2004).
- 207. Defendants are liable for said conduct in that Defendants set the conditions, directly and/or indirectly facilitated, ordered, acquiesced, confirmed, ratified and/or conspired with certain government officials to commit the acts of torture against the Plaintiffs and potential class members.
- 208. Plaintiffs and putative Class Members were forced to suffer severe physical and psychological abuse and agony.
 - 209. Plaintiffs are entitled to monetary damages and other relief to be determined at trial.

CLAIM UNDER THE ALIEN TORT CLAIMS ACT – CRUEL. INHUMAN OR DEGRADING TREATMENT

- 210. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 211. Plaintiffs bring this claim on their own behalf and on behalf of the putative Class Members against all Defendants.

- 212. The acts described herein had the intent and the effect of grossly humiliating and debasing the Plaintiffs and class members, forcing them to act against their will and conscience, inciting fear and anguish, and breaking their physical or moral resistance.
- 213. The acts described herein constitute cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment in violation of the law of nations under the Alien Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1350, in that the acts violated customary international law prohibiting cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment as reflected, expressed, and defined in multilateral treaties and other international instruments, international and domestic judicial decisions, and other authorities.
- 214. The acts described herein violate the Alien Tort Claims Act, which recognizes as federal common law those international norms that have definite content and acceptance among civilized nations. The acts described here are within the body of acts that violate such definite and accepted international norms and are within the body of acts deemed actionable under the federal common law by the United States' Supreme Court in *Sosa v. Alvarez Machain*, 2004 U.S. LEXIS 4763 (June 29, 2004).
- 215. Defendants are liable for said conduct in that Defendants set the conditions, directly and/or indirectly facilitated, ordered, acquiesced, confirmed, ratified and/or conspired with certain government officials to cause the cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment of Plaintiffs and class members.
- 216. Plaintiffs and putative Class Members were forced to suffer severe physical and psychological abuse and agony.
 - 217. Plaintiffs are entitled to monetary damages and other relief to be determined at trial.

COUNT VI CLAIM UNDER THE ALIEN TORT CLAIMS ACT – ENFORCED DISAPPEARANCE

- 218. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 219. Plaintiffs bring this claim on their own behalf and on behalf of the putative Class Members against all Defendants.

- 220. The Torture Conspirators abducted Plaintiffs and class members and thereafter refused to acknowledge their abduction or their fate.
- 221. The acts described herein constitute the enforced disappearance of Plaintiffs and class members in violation of the law of nations under the Alien Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1350, in that the acts violated customary international law prohibiting enforced disappearances as reflected, expressed, and defined in multilateral treaties and other international instruments, international and domestic judicial decisions, and other authorities.
- 222. The acts described herein violate the Alien Tort Claims Act, which recognizes as federal common law those international norms that have definite content and acceptance among civilized nations. The acts described here are within the body of acts that violate such definite and accepted international norms and are within the body of acts deemed actionable under the federal common law by the United States' Supreme Court in *Sosa v. Alvarez Machain*, 2004 U.S. LEXIS 4763 (June 29, 2004).
- 223. Defendants are liable for said conduct in that Defendants set the conditions, directly and/or indirectly facilitated, ordered, acquiesced, confirmed, ratified and/or conspired with certain government officials in bringing about the enforced disappearance of Plaintiffs and putative Class Members.
- 224. As result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, Plaintiffs and putative Class Members were deprived of their freedom, separated from their families and forced to suffer severe physical and mental abuse.
- 225. Plaintiffs seek compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT VII CLAIM UNDER THE ALIEN TORT CLAIMS ACT – ARBITRARY DETENTION

- 226. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 227. Plaintiffs bring this claim on their own behalf and on behalf of the putative Class Members against all Defendants.

- 228. The acts described herein constitute arbitrary arrest and detention of Plaintiffs and class members in violation of the law of nations under the Alien Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1350, in that the acts violated customary international law prohibiting arbitrary detention as reflected, expressed, and defined in multilateral treaties and other international instruments, international and domestic judicial decisions, and other authorities.
- 229. The acts described herein violate the Alien Tort Claims Act, which recognizes as federal common law those international norms that have definite content and acceptance among civilized nations. The acts described here are within the body of acts that violate such definite and accepted international norms and are within the body of acts deemed actionable under the federal common law by the United States' Supreme Court in *Sosa v. Alvarez Machain*, 2004 U.S. LEXIS 4763 (June 29, 2004).
- 230. Defendants are liable for said conduct in that Defendants set the conditions, directly and/or indirectly facilitated, ordered, acquiesced, confirmed, ratified and/or conspired with certain government officials in bringing about the arbitrary arrest detention of Plaintiffs and putative Class Members.
- 231. As result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, Plaintiffs and putative Class Members were deprived of their freedom, separated from their families and forced to suffer severe physical and mental abuse.
 - 232. Plaintiffs are entitled to monetary damages and other relief to be determined at trial.

 COUNT VIII

 CLAIM UNDER THE ALIEN TORT CLAIMS ACT WAR CRIMES
- 233. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 234. The acts described herein constitute war crimes in violation of the law of nations under the Alien Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1350, in that the acts violated customary international law prohibiting war crimes as reflected, expressed, and defined in multilateral treaties and other international instruments, international and domestic judicial decisions, and other authorities.
- 235. The acts described herein violate the Alien Tort Claims Act, which recognizes as federal common law those international norms that have definite content and acceptance among

civilized nations. The acts described here are within the body of acts that violate such definite and accepted international norms and are within the body of acts deemed actionable under the federal common law by the United States' Supreme Court in *Sosa v. Alvarez Machain*, 2004 U.S. LEXIS 4763 (June 29, 2004).

- 236. Defendants are liable for said conduct directly and also in so far as they set the conditions, directly and/or indirectly facilitated, ordered, acquiesced, confirmed, ratified and/or conspired with certain government officials to commit the war crimes against Plaintiffs and putative Class Members.
- 237. Defendants' acts described above constitute war crimes in violation of the applicable provisions of the Geneva Conventions, the Additional Protocols thereto, and customary international law.
- 238. Defendants' acts violated, among others, Article III Common to the Geneva Conventions, the Third Geneva Convention, the Fourth Geneva Convention and Additional Protocol I to the four Geneva Conventions.
- 239. Defendants' acts were deliberate, willful, intentional, wanton, malicious and oppressive and should be punished by an award of punitive damages to be determined at trial. Plaintiffs and putative Class Members were forced to suffer severe physical and psychological abuse and agony.
 - 240. Plaintiffs are entitled to monetary damages and other relief to be determined at trial.

COUNT IX CLAIM UNDER THE ALIEN TORT CLAIMS ACT – CRIMES AGAINST HUMANITY

- 241. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 242. The acts described herein committed against Plaintiffs constitute crimes against humanity, including willful killing, torture, rape, arbitrary arrest and detention, and other inhumane acts committed as part of a widespread or systematic attack against any civilian population or persecutions on political, racial or religious grounds. Leaders, organizers, instigators and

22

21

20

23

24 25

27

28

26

accomplices participating in the formulation of these acts are responsible for all acts performed by any person in execution of such plan.

- 243. The acts described herein constitute crimes against humanity in violation of the law of nations under the Alien Tort Claims Act, 28 U.S.C. § 1350, in that the acts violated customary international law prohibiting crimes against humanity as reflected, expressed, and defined in multilateral treaties and other international instruments, international and domestic judicial decisions, and other authorities.
- The acts described herein violate the Alien Tort Claims Act, which recognizes as 244. federal common law those international norms that have definite content and acceptance among civilized nations. The acts described here are within the body of acts that violate such definite and accepted international norms and are within the body of acts deemed actionable under the federal common law by the United States' Supreme Court in Sosa v. Alvarez Machain, 2004 U.S. LEXIS 4763 (June 29, 2004).
- 245. Defendants are liable for said conduct directly and also in so far as they set the conditions, directly and/or indirectly facilitated, ordered, acquiesced, confirmed, ratified and/or conspired with certain government officials to commit the crimes against humanity against the Plaintiffs and putative Class Members.
- 246. Plaintiffs and putative Class Members were forced to suffer severe physical and psychological abuse and agony.
- 247. Plaintiffs are entitled to monetary damages and such other relief as to be determined at trial.

VIOLATION OF THE GENEVA CONVENTIONS

- 248. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 249. Plaintiffs bring this claim on their own behalf and on behalf of the putative Class Members against all Defendants.

- 250. As detailed above, Plaintiffs and putative Class Members were tortured, abused, and otherwise mistreated in violation of specific protections of the Third and Fourth Geneva Conventions.
- 251. Violations under the Geneva Conventions are direct treaty violations, and are also violations of customary international law.
- 252. Defendants are liable for said conduct directly and in so far as they set the conditions, directly and/or indirectly facilitated, ordered, acquiesced, confirmed, ratified and/or conspired with certain government officials to violate the Geneva Conventions.
- 253. As result of Defendants' unlawful conduct, Plaintiffs are entitled to monetary damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT XI CLAIMS UNDER THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES – VIOLATION OF THE EIGHTH AMENDMENT

- 254. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 255. Plaintiffs and putative Class Members were treated in a manner that violates the Constitution of the United States and its Amendments. Defendants imprisoned Plaintiffs and putative Class Members and thereafter intentionally, and with deliberate disregard for any injury Plaintiffs would suffer, inflicted cruel and unusual punishment on them.
- 256. Defendants were acting under the color of the law of the United States when they imprisoned Plaintiffs and putative Class Members. Defendants were acting under the color of the law of the United States when they inflicted cruel and unusual punishment on Plaintiffs and putative Class Members.
- 257. Defendants' actions were accorded the color of United States law because they were conspiring with certain public officials, including certain military officials, and other persons acting in an official capacity on behalf of the United States.
- 258. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violations of the Eighth Amendment, Plaintiffs suffered physical and mental injuries. In addition, they have suffered present and future economic damage.

1	259. Plaintiffs are entitled to compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be
2	determined at trial.
3	COUNT XII
4	CLAIMS UNDER THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES – VIOLATION OF THE FIFTH AND FOURTEENTH AMENDMENTS
5	260. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth
6	herein.
7	261. Plaintiffs and putative Class Members were treated in a manner that violates the
8	Constitution of the United States and its Amendments. Defendants intentionally, and with
9	deliberate disregard for any injury Plaintiffs and putative Class Members would suffer, deprived
10	Plaintiffs of life and liberty without due process of law.
11	262. Defendants were acting under the color of the law of the United States when they
12	deprived Plaintiffs of life and liberty without due process of law.
13	263. Defendants' actions were accorded the color of the United States law because they
14	were conspiring with certain public officials, including certain military officials, and other persons
15	acting in an official capacity on behalf of the United States.
16	264. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violations of the Fifth and
17	Fourteenth Amendments, Plaintiffs suffered physical and mental injuries. In addition, they have
18	suffered present and future economic damage.
19	265. Plaintiffs are entitled to compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be
20	determined at trial.
21 22	COUNT XIII CLAIM UNDER THE CONSTITUTION OF THE UNITED STATES – VIOLATION OF THE FOURTH AMENDMENT
23	266. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth
24	herein.
25	267. Plaintiffs and putative Class Members were treated in a manner that violates the
26	Constitution of the United States and its Amendments. Defendants intentionally, and with
27	deliberate disregard for any injury Plaintiffs and putative Class Members would suffer, violated the
28	right to be free from unlawful seizures.
.	

- 53 -

Case No. 04cv1143 R (NLS)

27

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

- 268. Defendants were acting under the color of the law of the United States when they unlawfully searched and seized Plaintiffs and putative Class Members.
- Defendants' actions were accorded the color of the United States law because they were conspiring with certain public officials, including certain military officials, and other persons acting in an official capacity on behalf of the United States.
- 270. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violations of the Fourth Amendment, Plaintiffs suffered physical and mental injuries. In addition, they have suffered present and future economic damage.
- Plaintiffs are entitled to compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be 271. determined at trial.

COUNT XIV AND INSTITUTIONALIZED PERSONS ACT

- 272. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 273. Plaintiffs and putative Class Members were treated in a manner that violates the Religious Land Use and Institutionalized Persons Act, 24 U.S.C. § 2000cc-1 (hereinafter "RLUIPA"). Defendants intentionally imposed a substantial burden on the Plaintiffs' and putative Class Members' exercise of their religious beliefs.
- Defendants were acting under the color of the law of the United States when they imposed this substantial burden on Plaintiffs' exercise of their religious beliefs.
- 275. Defendants' actions were accorded the color of the United States law because they were conspiring with certain public officials, including certain military officials, and other persons acting in an official capacity on behalf of the United States.
- 276. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violations of the RLUIPA, Plaintiffs suffered damages.
- Plaintiffs are entitled to compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial. Plaintiffs also are entitled to recover attorneys' fees under RLUIPA.

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

ASSAULT AND BATTERY

- All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth
 - Defendants unlawfully intended to and did inflict immediate injury upon Plaintiffs.
- Defendants intentionally assaulted, battered, and made other offensive contacts; and aided and abetted the assaulting, battering and offensively contacting, of the Plaintiffs and putative
- 281. Plaintiffs and putative Class Members did not consent to the offensive contacts. Plaintiffs feared for their personal safety and felt threatened by Defendants' actions.
- 282. As a direct and proximate result of the assaults and batteries, Plaintiffs and putative Class Members suffered physical and mental injuries. In addition, they have suffered present and future economic damage.
- Plaintiffs are entitled to compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

SEXUAL ASSAULT AND BATTERY

- 284. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 285. Certain Plaintiffs and certain putative Class Members were raped and otherwise sexually assaulted and battered by Defendants and their co-conspirators.
- Defendants intended to, and did, cause offensive sexual contacts with intimate parts 286. of another, including but not limited to Plaintiffs. Defendants acted to cause Plaintiffs' imminent apprehension of harmful and offensive contact with their intimate parts.
- 287. Plaintiffs and putative Class Members did not consent to the contacts. Plaintiffs and putative Class Members feared for their personal safety and felt threatened by Defendants' actions.
- 288. As a direct and proximate result of the rapes and other sexual assaults, Plaintiffs and putative Class Members suffered physical and mental injuries. In addition, they have suffered present and future economic damage.

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

- 55 -Case No. 04cv1143 R (NLS)

1	289. Plaintiffs are entitled to compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be
2	determined at trial.
3	
4	COUNT XVII WRONGFUL DEATH
5	. 290. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth
6	herein.
7	291. Detainee Ibrahiem wrongfully died as a result of intentional and negligent actions
8	and inactions by Defendants and their co-conspirators.
9	292. Defendants breached a custodial duty to Detainee Ibraheim when Defendants'
10	intentional and negligent actions and inactions caused Detainees Ibraheim's injuries and wrongful
11	death.
12	293. The Ibrahiem Estate Plaintiff and the putative Wrongful Death Class are the estates
13	and heirs of the dead detainees, which seek redress for the emotional, physical and pecuniary
14	injuries caused by the deaths.
15	294. Plaintiff Ibrahiem Estate is entitled to compensatory and punitive damages in an
16	amount to be determined at trial. COUNT XVIII
17	FALSE IMPRISONMENT
18	295. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth
19	herein.
20	296. Plaintiffs and putative Class Members were intentionally and falsely imprisoned and
21	had their liberty restrained without proper authority by Defendants and their co-conspirators.
22	Plaintiffs and putative Class Members did not consent to the imprisonment.
23	297. As a direct and proximate result of the false imprisonment, they suffered physical
24	and mental injuries. In addition, they have suffered present and future economic damage.
25	298. Plaintiffs are entitled to compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be
26	determined at trial.
27	
28	
- 11	•

- 56 -

Case No. 04cv1143 R (NLS)

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT

and

COUNT XIX INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 299. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 300. Defendants intentionally inflicted severe emotional distress by way of extreme and outrageous conduct on Plaintiffs and putative Class Members. Defendants intended or recklessly disregarding the probability of Plaintiffs and putative Class Members suffering emotional distress when directing offensive conduct toward Plaintiffs and putative Class Members or carrying out offensive conduct while aware of Plaintiffs' and putative Class Members' presence.
- 301. As a direct and proximate result of the intentional infliction of emotional distress, they suffered and continue to suffer physical and mental injuries. In addition, they have suffered present and future economic damage.
- 302. Plaintiffs are entitled to compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

COUNT XX NEGLIGENT HIRING AND SUPERVISION

- 303. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 304. Defendants Titan and CACI Corporate Defendants acted negligently and directly harmed Plaintiffs and putative Class Members by:
- (a) failing to take the appropriate steps in hiring proper personnel to perform interrogation services;
 - (b) failing to properly screen personnel before their hiring;
 - (c) failing to train personnel properly to perform interrogation services legally;
 - (d) negligently setting the conditions which facilitated the abuse.

- 305. Defendants Titan and CACI Corporate Defendants acted negligently and directly harmed Plaintiffs and putative Class Members by failing to take appropriate steps to supervise those persons performing Interrogation Services.
- 306. Plaintiffs are entitled to compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- 307. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 308. Defendants negligently inflicted severe emotional distress on Plaintiffs and putative Class Members.
- 309. Defendants had a custodial duty to Plaintiffs and putative Class Members, which they breached.
- Defendants had a duty to bystanders Plaintiffs and putative Class Members, who had close relationships to the victims, were present at the scene of the infliction of injury, and were immediately aware of the victim's injury.
- As a direct and proximate result of the negligent infliction of emotional distress, Plaintiffs and putative Class Members suffered and continue to suffer physical and mental injuries. In addition, they have suffered present and future economic damage.
- 312. Plaintiffs are entitled to compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

- 313. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein. Defendants wrongfully converted certain Plaintiffs' and the putative RICO Class Members' possessions. Plaintiffs owned and had a right to the property at the time of conversion.
- Plaintiffs are entitled to compensatory and punitive damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

11

14

19

21

25

27

- 315. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 316. Defendants' were unjustly enriched by their criminal conduct. Defendants should be prevented from benefiting from their illegal and criminal conduct.
- 317. Plaintiffs are entitled to an order requiring Defendants to disgorge their ill-gotten gains. Plaintiffs are entitled to an order preventing Defendants from continuing to be unjustly enriched by their co-conspiring government officials influencing the award of government contracts.

COUNT XXV

VIOLATION OF LAWS GOVERNING CONTRACTING WITH THE UNITED STATES

- 318. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 319. Defendants violated the United States Federal Acquisition Regulations, the United States Truth in Negotiations Act, the United States Cost Accounting Standards, and other laws and regulations that govern the placement and implementation of contracts.
- 320. Defendants should be prevented from benefiting from conduct that violates these laws and regulations.
- 321. Plaintiffs are entitled to an order requiring Defendants to disgorge their ill-gotten gains. Plaintiffs are entitled to an order preventing Defendants from being awarded any future contracts from the United States.

COUNT XXVI DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF

- 322. All preceding paragraphs are hereby incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.
- 323. Plaintiffs request declaratory and injunctive relief to prevent Defendants from continuing their illegal and inhuman treatment of Plaintiffs.

- 324. Plaintiffs request declaratory and injunctive relief to prevent Defendants from continuing to receive payments under existing contracts and from entering into new contracts with the United States. Plaintiffs do not have any other remedy available at law.
- 325. Plaintiffs request declaratory and injunctive relief to prevent any additional torture and abuse, including all of the acts described above.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

- 326. Plaintiffs are entitled to any and all remedies available to them as a result of the conduct alleged herein, including, but not limited to:
 - (a) compensatory damages to make them whole;

1	(b) punitive damages in an amount sufficient to punish Defendants and to deter
2	them from engaging in similar misconduct;
3	(c) equitable declaratory and injunctive relief as is permitted by law (including
. 4	RICO), including, but not limited to, an injunction against any continued torture and abuse and an
5	injunction against any future government contract awards;
6	(d) treble damages to the extent permitted by RICO and RULIPA;
7	(e) attorneys' fees and costs, including but not limited to such fees and costs as
8	may be awarded under RICO and RULIPA.
9	Date: July 30, 2004
10	0. 11 /11 / 1/11
11	William Heeves /8/6 Junt Duly /8/6
12	William J. Aceves (CA Bar # 151031) Susan L. Burke Joyce S. Meyers
13	San Diego, CA 92101 MONTGOMERY, MCCRACKEN, Telephone: (619) 515-1589 WALKER & RHOADS, LLP
14	Facsimile: (619) 696-9999 123 South Broad Street Serving 9s Local Counsel Only Philadelphia, PA 19109
15	Telephone: (215) 772-7514 Facsimile: (215) 772-7620
16	The board Michigan William Mesent Had M. Ille
17	Barbara Olshansky Shereef Hadi Akeel
18	Michael Ratner MELAMED, DAILEY & AKEEL, P.C. Jeffrey Fogel 26611 Woodward Avenue
19	Jennifer Green Huntington Woods, MI 48072-2026 Judith Brown Chomsky Telephone: (248) 591-5000
20	Jules Lobel Facsimile: (248) 541-9456 CENTER FOR CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS
21	666 Broadway, 7th Floor New York, NY 10012
22	Telephóne: (212) 614-6439 Facsimile: (212) 614-6499
23	brech Marrher Ab Kun Felherclash
24	Joseph Margulies Susan Feathers LINIVERSITY OF DENINSYLVANIA
25	/Locke Bowman UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA MACARTHUR JUSTICE CENTER LAW SCHOOL UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO LAW SCHOOL 3400 Chestnut Street
26	1111 East 60th Street Philadelphia, PA 19104-6204 Chicago, IL 60637 Telephone: (215) 898-0459
27	Telephone: (773) 702-9560 Facsimile: (773) 702-0771
28	Attorneys for Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs
11	

Case No. 04cv1143 R (NLS)

SECOND AMENDED COMPLAINT